STATE OF NEW JERSEY
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
DOCKET NO. EDS 10706-17

F.H. and M.H. o/b/o J.H., :

D . . . .

Petitioner, :

-vs- :
West Morris Regional High :

Board of Education,

Respondent. :

TRANSCRIPT

OF

RECORDED PROCEEDINGS

April 9, 2018

## **BEFORE:**

THE HONORABLE THOMAS BETANCOURT, A.L.J.

## **APPEARANCES:**

CLEARY GIACOBBE ALFIERI JACOBS, LLC

By: Jodi S. Howlett, Esq. By: Danielle Pantaleo, Esq. Attorney(s) for Petitioner

WARSHAW LAW FIRM

By: Julie Warshaw, Esq. Attorney(s) for Respondent

Transcriber: Peggy Wasco CRT SUPPORT CORPORATION 2082 Highway 35, P.O. Box 785 South Amboy, N.J. 08879 Phone: (732) 721-4330 Fax: (732) 721-7650 I N D E X

## OPENING STATEMENT

By Ms. Warshaw 8

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
JOSEPH CUSACK					
By Ms. Howlett By Ms. Warshaw	12/22	67	109	113	
KENDRA DICKERSON					
By Ms. Howlett By Ms. Warshaw By The Court	117/131 163	166	199	206	127
TRACY COSTA					
By Ms. Howlett By Ms. Warshaw	216	228	231		

	EXHIBITS		3
NO.	DESCRIPTION	I.D.	EVID.
R-1	504 Plan, 12/7/16	52	52
R-2	Special Education Referral Form	58	59
R-3	Pre-Referral Intervention	62	63
R-4	Parental Invitation to Initial Meeting, 1/3/17	121	122
R-9	Eligibility Determination Report	154	155
R-12	Programs offered in BSP Program	220	227
R-13	Letter from ICCPC indicating that J.H. was in their care, 10/20/16	47	47
R-14	Medical Clearance from ICCPC, 12/12/16	50	51
R-15	Letter from ICCPC with medical support, 1/6/17	64	64
R-16	Psychological Evaluation	125	131
R-17	Psychiatric Evaluation, 3/15//17	143	144
R-18	Social History Report	141	142
R-19	Psycho-educational Testing Report	143	
R-24	Seventh grade report card	98	99
R-25	Eighth grade report card	22	23
R-26	Official student transcript	33	33
R-27	2016/17 Course Schedule	40	41
R-33	Standardized testing results, 2013	28	29
R-34	NJ ASK Spring of 2014 standardized testing results in English language arts, and mathematics	27	27
R-35	State assessment in science, 5/2015	30	30
R-36	PARCC Assessment, English	36	37
R-37	PARCC Assessment, Mathematics	37	38
R-39	Note from J.H.'s parents, 10/10/16	44	44

	EXHIBITS		4
NO.	DESCRIPTION	I.D.	EVID.
R-40	Note from Plaza Family Medical, 10/11/16	45	46
P-26	Draft IEPs	88	92
P-29	E-mails from parents to school personnel	189	211
P-31	Being Successful Program Brochure	77	
P-32	Psychoeducational Evaluation Report by Dr. Schubert, 8/21/17	185	212
P-33	Independent Psychiatric Report by Dr. Ellen Platt, 9/6/17	186	212

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloquy THE COURT: This is the matter of F.H. and M.H. on behalf of J.H. vs. West Morris Regional High School Board of Education. The docket number is EDS 10706-17. Today is April 9, 2018. I am Judge Betancourt. Appearances for the District? MS. HOWLETT: Jodie Howlett on behalf of the respondent school district. THE COURT: Good morning.

For the petitioners?

MS. WARSHAW: Julie Warshaw, Warshaw Law Firm, representing the petitioners.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. WARSHAW: Good morning.

THE COURT: Let's address respondent's motion. I'm reserving on it simply because I have not had the time to review the paperwork, and particularly, the recordings of the IEP meeting. So, I can't make a decision without having reviewed everything, considered it, done some research, so we're going to start your case today. I'll get to it sometime this week and hopefully get an order out, one way or the other, by week's end or early next week. Okay?

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, just one other outstanding matter. I believe there was briefs that Colloquy

were filed on the scope of the hearing.

THE COURT: I'm going to address it. I mean, it's kind of all encompassing, in terms of the motion, and so, I'm going to address all of it in one order.

Go ahead.

MS. WARSHAW: Are we going to have oral argument on this motion?

THE COURT: No, we're not. Okay? I'd like to actually start a hearing, as opposed to continually filing motions and having arguments, arranging settlement conferences that we don't do anything at other than say "Hello" to each other and then you leave without starting anything. I know you guys agreed to that, but I wasn't aware that we weren't going to move the case the last time we were here, after we didn't settle.

So, go ahead.

MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, just to clarify for the record, with last time, I had spoken to Ms. Howlett the week before and she had indicated to me that there was a good chance that the case was going to settle and that's why I agreed, but --

THE COURT: I said I didn't know -- I didn't know.

MS. WARSHAW: -- but when we came here, she

Colloquy / Opening Statement - Warshaw had a no-pay position, which is not --1 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MS. WARSHAW: -- what we had expected. MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor --4 5 THE COURT: It didn't settle. If it didn't settle, it didn't settle. I don't want to go back and 6 7 forth why it didn't settle or what happened. It didn't settle and we didn't move the case. That's my -- from 8 9 my perspective, we didn't move the case, one way or the 10 other. It didn't settle, but nobody called a witness, 11 so -- and I didn't know we weren't going to do anything 12 if there was no settlement. That was just what I was -13 - that's all I meant, okay? I'm not mad at either of 14 you. You're both very nice, very good attorneys, so 15 let's move on. 16 First witness. 17 MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Openings? Because we haven't 19 started yet. Do you want to do an opening? 20 MS. HOWLETT: The District --21 THE COURT: The District waives? 22 MS. HOWLETT: Yeah, the District waives their 23 opening. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Opening? 25 MS. WARSHAW: We'd like to do an opening, but

Colloquy / Opening Statement - Warshaw also, we haven't had the benefit of a list of who's 1 2 going to be even called today. We were entitled to that. I asked last week and I asked over the weekend. 3 I still haven't -- I didn't even know who was going to 4 be called today, so it's a little unfair for me to have 5 6 to do cross examination when I don't even know who the 7 witnesses are. MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, the petitioners were 8 9 provided with a witness list in accordance with the 10 pre-hearing order within the time frame provided by the 11 order and the regulations. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So, if you have a witness -13 - you saying that never happened? 14 MS. WARSHAW: We got a witness list but 15 there's like 19 people on the list. 16 THE COURT: That's the list. 17 MS. WARSHAW: But, Your Honor, we're required 18 to tell, you know, the respondent who we're calling at 19 what time. They should be at least -- at least giving 20 us the names of the people who they're calling today. 21 I don't even have a list now, so that would be --THE COURT: Call your first witness. 22 23 Well, wait a minute. You wanted to do an 24 opening. Go ahead. 25

MS. WARSHAW: Yes, I'd like to do an opening.

Opening Statement - Warshaw

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

This -- this case is about a 17 year old girl, J.H., who has been suffering from school-related anxiety. And today, and throughout this hearing, you're going to be hearing testimony about how J.H. came to where she is today and how she was unable to attend school due to the school-related anxiety, but she is now able to function in a smaller, quieter high school with appropriate supports. You will hear testimony about the appropriateness of the proposed -the inappropriateness of the proposed IEP, both in the classification and the placement. You will hear testimony about the independent evaluations and how J.H. was diagnosed with a specific learning disability and sensitivity to noise and the school refused to amend their proposed classification or their proposed placement.

You will hear testimony about how the school district ignored our attempts, from mid-August through September, to try to get the IEP amended and to reflect J.H.'s true diagnosis and her needs. You will hear about petitioner's efforts and how they had no choice but to find an appropriate placement of J.H. and how they had to unilaterally place her at the Purnell School. You will hear how well she is doing academically, emotionally, and socially.

Opening Statement - Warshaw / Colloquy Petitioner respectfully requests that the 1 2 Court take into consideration all the facts, the 3 evaluation reports, and how petitioners were ignored by the school district, the timing and the lack of 4 5 efforts, and the failures by the school district, and 6 the lack of any appropriate placement for J.H., which 7 caused J.H. to have to be unilaterally placed. Petitioners believe this Court, after this Court hears 8 9 the testimony and examines the documentary evidence, 10 that this Court will find in favor of the petitioners. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 MS. WARSHAW: Thanks. 13 THE COURT: First witness? 14 MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm just 15 going to grab her. 16 THE COURT: Sure. Other than have dead time, 17 I'm going to pause it. MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I don't know who 18 19 these people are. Can they put on the record who they 20 are? 21 THE COURT: Wait for Ms. Howlett. We're on 22 the record. Wait for Ms. Howlett to come back. 23 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. 24 THE COURT: Other than have dead time, I'm 25 just going to pause it.

200 2	Colloquy 11
1	(RECORDING PAUSED)
2	THE COURT: Okay, we're back on the record.
3	Have a seat right there, please.
4	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: While you were out of the room,
6	Ms. Warshaw inquired as to the two individuals seated
7	next to you. I told her to wait until you came back.
8	Would you identify them?
9	MS. PANTALEO: Danielle Pantaleo of Cleary
10	Giacobbe.
11	THE COURT: How are you?
12	MS. PANTALEO: Good. Good morning.
13	MR. REINEK: Good morning, Your Honor.
14	Michael Reinek (phonetic) for the District (out of
15	microphone range).
16	THE COURT: Okay. How are you?
17	Good morning. Ready to testify?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: Raise your right hand.
20	JOSEPH MICHAEL CUSACK, RESPONDENT'S
21	WITNESS, SWORN.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Would you state your
23	full name and spell your last name, please?
24	THE WITNESS: Joseph Michael Cusack, C-U-S-A-
25	C-K.

Colloquy / Cusack - Direct 12 1 THE COURT: C-U-S-A-C-K. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Go ahead. MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT: 6 Good morning. 7 Α Good morning. Joe -- can I call you Joe? 8 9 Α Sure, absolutely. 10 Okay. It's a little less formal. 11 Just for purposes of the Court, can you just 12 describe your position and place of employment? Sure. I'm a guidance counselor at West Morris 13 Α Central High School. I've been there since July of 14 15 2007 and I'm a counselor for approximately 220 16 students. 17 And what are some of your duties as guidance 18 counselor at West Morris Central? 19 THE COURT: Hold on. Sorry about that. 20 walls. THE WITNESS: So, primary is, I'm an 21 22 educational specialist, so I monitor students' academic 23 progress. I make sure that their graduation 24 requirements are met. I advise students on college 25 application process, career planning. If a student is

Cusack - Direct 13 1 in a situation where we'd require medical 504, we'll 2 implement that through the I&RS committee, CST evaluations. I participate in all of those meetings as 3 4 the guidance counselor. BY MS. HOWLETT" 5 6 And you said you were employed since 2007? 7 Α In West Morris Central, correct. And then what did you do before that? 8 9 Prior to that, I was a history teacher at Roxbury 10 High School since '96. 11 Anything before that or --12 Α College. 13 College. Q 14 Α I graduated college in '95. 15 And where did you go to college? 16 Sienna College in Loudonnville, New York. Α 17 And did you get a degree there? 18 Yes, I have a bachelor of arts in history and a 19 secondary education certification from the State of New 20 York. 21 And do you have any additional -- like a master's degree or anything? 22 23 Yes, I have a master's degree from Montclair State 24 University in master of arts and social sciences with a 25 concentration in history in 2002 and I earned my school

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

name.

Cusack - Direct 14 counseling -- master's in school counseling from Centenary College -- then Centenary College, it's now a university -- in Hackettstown in 2000 and -- oh boy -six -- no, seven. And what about licenses? Do you have any licenses through the State or --Yes, I have a standard New Jersey teaching certificate in social studies and a school counseling certificate, New Jersey State School Counseling, as well as a supervisor's certification and a director of school counseling -- director of guidance certification as well. Okay. Anything else you want to tell us about yourself? No, I think that's good. Okay. So let's talk. You know why we're here, the student that we're talking about. MS. HOWLETT: For purposes of the record, can we use her name or would you rather initials? THE COURT: It doesn't matter to me, but I think, probably, let's just use the initials because for some reason on education matters, we've been getting a lot of OPRA requests, so let's not use the

MS. HOWLETT: That's fine, Your Honor.

1 for clarification.

BY MS. HOWLETT:

Q So we're going to refer to the student as J.H.

A Okay.

Q So, can you just tell us, just off the bat, how you first knew of J.H., how you first learned about her?

A Sure. Well, she was added to my caseload back in -- when she was in the eighth grade. She was a -- you know, we would have -- we typically do -- and again, I can't remember the details, but when we have incoming eighth graders, we do meetings where they're assigned to our -- and we develop a schedule for the incoming year. I've worked with J.H.'s parents in the past and with two of their other sons -- I know they have three. I believe one other son had a -- yes, three sons -- had a different counselor. But I have worked with the family since probably 2008 or nine.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: 2008.

THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, so around there. So, then J.H., when she enrolled in - - she started in September, you know, I worked with her as her guidance counselor.

BY MS. HOWLETT:

Cusack - Direct 16 1 And that was, for the Court's purpose, Q 2 September of what year? September of 2015? Hold on. Can I refer to --3 Α No. 4 Q 5 Α Okay. All right. 6 Sorry. To your best recollection. 7 Α To my best recollection, I think her -- so, she's a junior now, so this is 17/18, so 15/16. So, '15, 8 9 yes. 10 Okay. If you don't --11 Yeah, I believe it was September of '15. 12 Did you have any first initial impressions of 13 J.H. when you met her? I don't know if you recall when you met her. 14 15 She's a lovely girl and she was a very, you know, 16 sweet young lady. She started off -- you know, I 17 always check in on my students as they start their 18 freshman year and there was nothing that -- nothing out 19 of the ordinary. She had -- yeah, she started off, in 20 her freshman year, she started off strong. 21 Did she come into the district as classified 22 for special ed? 23 No, she did not. 24 And when she came into the district, did she

25

have a 504 plan?

Cusack - Direct 17 1 Not that I'm aware of. Α 2 Anything like that, that you're aware of? 3 Α No. Is it part of your practice to review a 4 5 student's records when they come in? 6 Α Yes. 7 So, would that include their elementary school records? 8 9 Typically, we could go back to the elementary 10 school records if we're doing an evaluation, however, I 11 would usually look at the eighth grade classes, you 12 know, their grades, comments from teachers, just to 13 kind of get a general overview of the student. I don't look too far because I feel like we're a separate 14 15 district from the middle school and kind of like, you 16 know, kids are starting off with a clean slate and, you 17 know, I don't like to have a -- I like to develop my 18 own first impression of my students. 19 When J.H. enrolled, would you have reviewed 20 any of her records? I don't recall if I specifically -- I did, yes, I 21 22 would have reviewed her grades in her incoming records, 23 yes. And standardized testing is all in the file. All 24 of those things are there, yes.

MS. HOWLETT: Just give me one moment, Your

25

Colloguy 18

Honor.

MS. WARSHAW: I'm going to object to some of this testimony because I know Your Honor said that you're going to review the motions later on, but the fact is that we did not ever receive her entire student file, so I can't -- I'm at a disadvantage and my clients are at a disadvantage because they have not received their full -- the full standard file.

THE COURT: We went through this before. You said you sent it, she said she didn't have it.

MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, we haven't received it all. We asked for things. My clients signed an authorization November 3<sup>rd</sup>. They also signed an authorization for Purnell School, October 17<sup>th</sup>, 2017. We've never received the complete file at all.

THE COURT: What did you receive?

MS. WARSHAW: I didn't receive any of the HIB investigations. I didn't receive anything regarding disciplinary issues. I never received the e-mails and the correspondence between the teachers and everything regarding this child. I didn't receive anything regarding the behavioral support program and the Being Successful program. There were numerous other things that I didn't receive and I've been asking for it. I even had to OPRA request them and I still didn't

```
19
                               Colloquy
        receive them all and I keep getting denials.
 1
 2
                   THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to deal with
 3
         the OPRA, so --
                   MS. WARSHAW: Well, I --
 4
 5
                   THE COURT: I can't order anybody to comply
 6
        with it, so --
 7
                   MS. WARSHAW: But, Your Honor, if he's going
        to talk about student files and stuff, we don't have
 8
 9
         the complete file and we never received it so --
10
                   THE COURT: I'm going to stop you for a
         second. All he's talking about is the eighth grade
11
12
         files, which they don't have the ability to send you.
13
                   MS. WARSHAW: Yes, they do. When we asked for
         -- my clients signed --
14
15
                   THE COURT: The eighth grade files?
16
                   MS. WARSHAW: My clients --
                   THE COURT: It's a different district.
17
18
                   MS. WARSHAW: It doesn't matter. The eighth
19
         grade files were brought to the high school and they
20
        were --
21
                   THE COURT: Stop for a second.
22
                   Ms. Howlett?
23
                   MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, the petitioners were
24
        provided with a true and accurate copy of the student's
25
         pupil records. If there are records that they claim
```

Colloquy

2.0

are in existence but aren't included, they're not in existence and maintained by the District. These, as Your Honor mentioned, are records that came from her elementary school, and all these documents were provided to petitioner's counsel in accordance with the pre-hearing order.

MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, they were not provided to me.

THE COURT: All right, well --

MS. WARSHAW: The only time I received records

THE COURT: Stop, stop. She says "Yes," you say "No," and what am I supposed to do, flip a coin?

MS. HOWLETT: They're in the binder, Your

Honor.

MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I --

THE COURT: You know what? If you want to brief it, brief it. I'm going to allow him to testify and if I have to -- if I have to make an exception, or rather, if I have to go back to it and exclude some of the testimony sometime in the future, I'm going to do it. But, you know, unless you can -- if you're going to submit a motion and say what you did in terms of asking for information and what you didn't get and let her respond to it -- this, I sent it; this, I didn't

Colloquy 21

get it. I don't have an ability to make a decision on that because I don't know.

MS. WARSHAW: She can prove that she sent it then, because, honestly, I don't have it and I got different things under OPRA than I did under -- in her binder, and I --

THE COURT: So I have an officer of the court telling me she sent what she has and you're telling me you didn't get it. Again, I don't know what to do.

MS. WARSHAW: So she --

THE COURT: I'm not going to flip a coin. I'm going to let him testify. If you want to file a motion about -- in limine regarding something, feel free to do it. Right now, he's testifying.

MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Well, we already sent -- submitted motions before the trial started regarding this. This is what we had submitted, so --

THE COURT: The only motion I have is the District's motion.

MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, we had asked for a - we had asked for a conference call and we've asked to
strike to strike the answer and for them not to be able
to present --

THE COURT: Well, you didn't file a motion.

Did you file a motion or did you --

```
Colloquy / Cusack - Direct
                                                                22
                   MS. WARSHAW: I filed an informal motion to
 1
 2
         the Court, yes.
                   THE COURT: Then I'll have to go back and
 3
         look. I don't see it.
 4
                   MS. WARSHAW: A few weeks ago, March 27th,
 5
 6
         actually.
 7
                   THE COURT: Okay.
                   MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Thank you.
 8
 9
                   THE COURT: I'm going to let him testify.
10
                   Go ahead.
11
                   MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
         DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT (CONT'D):
12
13
                   Mr. Cusack -- is it "Cusack" or --
              Correct. Cusack is fine.
14
15
                   Can you just turn -- there's a binder in
16
         front of you with a bunch of documents. If you could
17
         just flip to the tab marked 25 and if you can describe
18
         what that document -- at the bottom it's marked --
19
         excuse me -- it's marked "WM-110."
20
         Α
              Yes.
21
                   And if you could just describe to the Court
22
         what that document appears to be.
23
              This appears to be a copy of her final eighth
24
         grade report card.
25
                                             (R-25 Marked for
```

Cusack - Direct 23 1 Identification) 2 And have you seen this before? Yes, I have. 3 Α MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, respondent's move R-4 5 25. 6 THE COURT: Ms. Warshaw? 7 MS. WARSHAW: No objection. 8 THE COURT: Okay. It's in. 9 (R-25 Entered into 10 Evidence) 11 BY MS. HOWLETT: 12 Mr. Cusack, I certainly don't want you to --13 we can all read it, so we don't want you to, but if you 14 could just -- when you look at a student's report card 15 from their elementary school, what are some of the 16 things that you look at on a report card like this? 17 I look for anything that seems out of place grade-18 wise. I want to -- I'm looking for strengths; I'm 19 looking for weaknesses. The eighth grade, in looking 20 at this now, language arts would have been, you know, 21 towards the end of eighth, an area of weakness, for 22 example. She's a very talented musician. It doesn't 23 surprise me she had A plus's in band. She plays the 24 clarinet. So, yeah, so grades, I would look for that. 25 I would also look for other things that might give me a

red flag as to attendance issues, perhaps. As you can see, she has, you know, several absences each quarter, which is not unusual.

- Q And would you also read the instructor comments that are included?
- A Yes. Yes, I mean --

- Q And when you read J.H.'s report card, was there anything, you know, really notable in here?

  A No. I mean, just similar to my first impression of her, you know, she's a pleasure to have in class.

  You know, she's a cooperative student. You can see some of these here -- "good effort," "satisfactory" -- shows -- you know, you can see in Spanish -- yeah.
- Q So, in short, did this -- did J.H.'s report card raise any red flags to you?
- A No, not at all.
- A Typically, only if it comes to a point where we have to -- where we are going to be doing an I&RS referral or a CST referral. That's not something I would normally go -- for every one of my students, to look into their file as they come in. If I'm seeing a weakness -- let's say a student is struggling in math and I -- the teacher is concerned that perhaps they're at the wrong level, I would review their file and see

if there is a pattern of weakness in math -- standardized testing results for math, as an example.

Q Would you have reviewed J.H.'s standardized testing from elementary school?

A Not from elementary school. Typically -- I mean,

I believe -- again, I may have photocopied a couple of
the -- everything from sixth, seventh, and eighth
grade, NJ ASK results, yes. From the elementary
schools, most likely, no.

Q I'm sorry. I went to an elementary school that was K to eight, so when I say "elementary school," I just mean the sending district.

A Oh, okay. Absolutely. Yes, I would have referred to the most -- like sixth, seventh, and eighth grade, I may have photocopied and I cannot remember. If it's in this file, it would be in here somewhere. Maybe the fifth grade scores or fourth or fifth grade scores may be in there. But, yes, I would refer -- through the process of evaluation, I would have reviewed those results.

Q So now that we've clarified elementary school

A Yes, I'm sorry.

Q No, no, no, that's okay. That's my misunderstanding.

Do you also review the report cards? You said eighth grade -- I'm not testifying for you, but I believe you testified earlier that you reviewed the eighth grade report card.

A Yes.

Q Do you also review sixth and seventh grade as well?

A If there were a need to do so, yeah. I mean, as they're coming in, I'll look at the -- we do review the eighth grade report cards when we have their meetings in -- all eighth graders come up for a meeting at the high school so they can get to see -- meet me, get to know the building, and we develop their schedule requests for the next school year, so I would refer to the report card that we had as of that moment to determine if the levels were the appropriate levels and to kind of help the family to develop the best possible schedule.

- Q Do you recall whether you would have looked at J.H.'s report cards from sixth and seventh grade?

  A I do not recall.
- $\,$  Q  $\,$  Not a problem. Can I please ask you to turn to R-34 and describe what that document is?
- A Sure. R-34 is a copy of the NJ ASK Spring of 2014 standardized testing results in English language arts

```
27
                           Cusack - Direct
 1
         and mathematics.
 2
                                             (R-34 Marked for
 3
                                             Identification)
 4
                   And have you seen this document before?
 5
         Α
              Yes, I have.
 6
                   And it's in regard to what student?
 7
         Α
              J.H.
                   MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we move R-34.
 8
 9
                   THE COURT: Any objection?
10
                   MS. WARSHAW: No objection.
11
                   THE COURT: Okay.
12
                                             (R-34 Entered into
13
                                             Evidence)
14
         BY MS. HOWLETT:
15
                   And just because there's not -- we don't have
16
         to go into all the sub --
17
         Α
              Sure.
18
                -- subtesting, but if you could just indicate
19
         -- like, interpret this for us --
20
         Α
              Sure.
21
                -- what the -- what the results of her New
22
         Jersey ASK scores were.
23
              So, for the New Jersey ASK, a proficient score is
24
         200, a minimum of 200, and the proficient range is 200
25
         to 249. Anything above 250 is considered advanced
```

Case 2.	19-CV-14465-SDW-LDW DOCUMENT 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 28 01 590 Page D.  Cusack - Direct 28
1	proficient. Anything below 200 is considered to be
2	partially proficient. J.H. scored 242 in English
3	language arts, and 250, at the advanced proficient
4	range, in mathematics.
5	Q So did these scores raise any raise flags to
6	you?
7	A Not at all, no.
8	Q And if you could just turn to R-33, please,
9	and describe for us what that document is.
10	A Those are the standardized testing results for
11	J.H. from the previous school year, 2013, and same
12	(R-33 Marked for
13	Identification)
14	Q Hold on.
15	A Did I refer to the wrong one?
16	Q No, you're fine. Just hold your horses.
17	A Okay. Sorry.
18	Q Have you seen this document before?
19	A Yes, I have.
20	Q Okay. And it's and you said it's for what
21	student?
22	А Ј.Н.
23	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we would like to
24	move R-33.
25	THE COURT: Any objection?

```
29
                           Cusack - Direct
 1
                   MS. WARSHAW: No, Your Honor.
 2
                   THE COURT: Thank you.
 3
                                             (R-33 Entered into
 4
                                            Evidence)
 5
        BY MS. HOWLETT:
 6
                  Now we can talk about it.
 7
        Α
             Okay.
              Q And can you do the same thing --
 8
 9
        Α
              Sure.
10
              Q -- and just summarize what this -- these
11
        results mean?
12
             Again, English, language arts, and mathematics,
13
        she scored -- same criteria -- above a 200 is
        proficient; above 250 is advanced -- she scored 225 in
14
15
        both English language arts, and mathematics.
16
              Q And did those results raise any red flags for
17
        you?
18
        Α
             No.
19
                   And can you turn to R-35? It's marked "128"
20
        on the bottom.
21
        Α
             Yes.
                  A little hard to see. And can you describe
22
23
        what this document is? This one looks a little
24
        different.
25
             Yes, this appears to be a photocopy of a sticker.
```

	Cusack - Direct 30
1	Oh, no, I'm sorry. This is this is her permanent
2	record card, so, yes, she is this is the science.
3	Should I go on or do I need to
4	Q Yeah, describe it for us, yeah.
5	A I'm sorry. Yes, this is the this is the State
6	assessment in science.
7	(R-35 Marked for
8	Identification)
9	Q And it's for what student?
10	А Ј.Н.
11	Q And is it is there a time frame that this
12	is for, these results?
13	A This is May of 2015, so she would have right
14	before she entered. She was in the eighth grade, so
15	right before she entered the high school.
16	Q Have you seen this before?
17	A Yes.
18	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we'd like to move R-
19	35?
20	THE COURT: Objection?
21	MS. WARSHAW: No objection.
22	(R-35 Entered into
23	Evidence)
24	BY MS. HOWLETT:
25	Q And can you just describe for us what the

31

1 what this means?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

- It's, of course, an assessment in science and she scored 250, at the advanced proficient level.
- Thank you. So let's talk about J.H.'s ninth grade year. You testified earlier that she was -- that you were assigned to her as a counselor.

Α Yes.

- So, were there any issues with her in ninth grade that you were aware of?
- No, nothing stands out at all that she -- if I recall, she had strong grades. There was -- I can't remember off the top of my head what her report card was, but that would --
- Do you remember receiving any reports from teachers --

Α No.

- -- that there were any issues?
- Nothing. Nobody had received -- had reached out, 19 that I remember, had reached out to me, expressing any 20 concern with her, you know, academically or socially.
  - Do you recall any absence issues in ninth grade?
  - I do recall, in discussing -- in reviewing her record, that she did have -- I believe it was -- again, I'm just -- this is just from memory; it may not be the

exact number -- but around 16 or 15 unexcused absences, but there was no pattern of anything that was alarming. She -- they were scattered throughout -- spread throughout the entire school year, one day here, one day there, a couple of two days in a row, if I recall. I believe, at some point towards the very end of the school year, she had several medically excused absences, according to the record. And in order to have a medically excused absence, the family just provides a doctor's note, so that obviously would have happened here.

Q To your recollection, during ninth grade, did the parents ever reach out to you with any concerns about J.H. at school?

A I mean, not that -- not that I recall any -nothing, I mean, that would have -- I can't -- I can't
say for certain, but I do not recall any phone calls
expressing, you know, concern about academics or
anything else.

Q Mr. Cusack, can you just turn to R-26, please

A Okay.

 $\mbox{Q}$  -- for us? It's marked "112" at the bottom. And just describe what that document is.

A Sure. This is a copy of the official student

Cusack - Direct 33 transcript for J.H. It is -- it basically is her first grade -- first year of classes, so ninth grade classes. There is a list of the courses, the levels at which she took each course, the final course grade, and the credit amounts she earned, and then the course -- the block to the right is 16/17, her sophomore year, those were the courses that she was enrolled in during the sophomore year. So this is not a final transcript of her sophomore year; this is the final transcript that we had on file as of -- when I generated the CST evaluation. (R-26 Marked for Identification) So have you seen this document before? I have seen this document, yes. I'm sorry -- yes. That's okay. Q MS. HOWLETT: Respondent would like to move R-26, Your Honor. THE COURT: Any objection? MS. WARSHAW: No objection. (R-26 Entered into Evidence) BY MS. HOWLETT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Cusack, does this -- does this accurately reflect J.H.'s -- the classes which she was enrolled in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cusack - Direct 34 and her grades for her ninth grade year? Yes, it does. And can you tell us where those are shown? think you kind of told us before, it might be on the left hand side? On the left column is the 15/16 school year, which is the final -- any course that we have a final grade on is from the 15/16 school year and is in the left column, I'm sorry. We're just going to talk about 15/16 just for a minute. Α Sure. We're in ninth grade for now. Can you just tell us, what are the -- what are the "AV's" next to the --Those reflect advanced level, so -- yes, we have several advanced levels.

Yeah, can you describe the way -- every high school is a little bit different, so can you describe the different levels of the core classes?

Absolutely. So, the levels start off -- if a Α student is -- comes in under the umbrella of the child study team, they may have self-contained courses, which is very small level classes -- very small group of students. The next level up would be studies level.

That's for students that are fully mainstreamed.

There's usually two teachers in the room, a

collaborative. And the next level above that is

academic. That is the kind of first tier or your

college prep courses. And then the next level is

advanced level, and beyond that is honors, for

Q A lot of different levels.

freshman. The only --

A There are a lot of levels, yes. The only exception to that -- there are a couple of things; maybe I'll just clarify. Advanced Algebra I is the highest level any student can take in Algebra I; there is no honors level. Band is academic. There is -- students do not have the option to take an elective at a higher level than academic until their sophomore level. And French I -- all foreign language that we offer, the first and second year are labeled as advanced.

- Q So, are all these courses that say "AV," those would be the advanced block of that course?

  A Yes.
- Q And can you -- again, we can all read, so you don't have to go verbatim -- but can you summarize, you know, her grades, or maybe indicate what her grade point average would have been in ninth grade?

36 Cusack - Direct 1 Absolutely. I mean, as of -- she was just on the Α 2 border of a -- she has a 3.48 GPA as of the end of her 3 freshman year -- a very strong GPA; that's honor roll. 4 Yeah, very -- she had excellent grades. 5 When you saw this report card, did you have 6 any concerns about J.H. academically? 7 Α No. Would she have also taken standardized 8 9 testing during her ninth grade year? 10 Α She most likely would have, yes. 11 Can I just have you turn to R-36, please? 12 And just describe to the Court what that document is. 13 Sure. This is the PARCC assessment, so she Α 14 performed on the --15 (R-36 Marked for 16 Identification) 17 0 Hold on. 18 Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, PARCC assessment. And it's for what student? 19 20 Α J.H. 21 And is there, like, a time frame that this 22 would pertain to or taken? 23 This would have -- this would have been in the 24 spring of her -- of the ninth grade year; I believe 25 April. It was held at that time, yes.

Cusack - Direct 1 That's what time of year they take it? Q 2 I believe -- yes, it's always in the Spring. year, the actual testing dates fluctuate, but it is 3 typically April or May. 4 5 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we'd like to move R-6 36. 7 MS. WARSHAW: No objection. THE COURT: Thank you. 8 (R-36 Entered into 9 10 Evidence) 11 BY MS. HOWLETT: 12 And I'm sorry. And you've seen this --13 Yes, I have. Α -- have you seen this document before? 14 15 can you just describe for the Court what the results of 16 this assessment mean? 17 So, this is the English language arts literacy 18 report and she performed -- she earned a 758 and that 19 is -- that is proficient in the language arts testing. 20 And can you just flip one more over to R-37 21 and just describe what this document is? 22 Sure. This is the mathematics assessment for the Α 23 2015/16 school year, also taken in spring of her ninth 24 grade year. 25 (R-37 Marked for

Cusack - Direct 38 1 Identification) 2 And have you seen this document before? Yes, I have. 3 Α MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I would like to move 4 5 R - 37. 6 MS. WARSHAW: No objection. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. (R-37 Entered into 8 9 Evidence) 10 BY MS. HOWLETT: 11 And can you just do the same thing and 12 indicate or interpret what this report means? 13 Sure. So, in this report, this is the mathematics Α assessment, and she did not achieve the level four --14 15 it's approaching expectations is what she's earned and 16 that is a 735; 750 would have been the -- I don't want 17 to say passing mark, because it's -- it's basically 18 saying it's -- met expectations is level four at 750, 19 so she was just under that. 20 Anything concerning about this report? 21 Nothing that -- I mean, she was in the -- right in the middle of middle range. She's approaching 22 23 expectations. This is the first time a lot of students 24 took this test. It was a computerized test. The first 25 time PARCC was administered to these students and it

was a -- it's not an alarming result. If she were down in the -- you know, if she were down in the lower -- level one or level two, having performed as well as she did in the other assessments, I would have -- I would have been concerned. But a 735 would not --- would not alarm me.

- Q Does this document indicate what the state and district averages are also?
- A I'm sorry. I'm not aware of the actual averages for the state or district.
- Q If you take a look at this document -A Yes. Oh, I'm sorry, yes. Yes, in the -- how
  student -- how she compared with the other students, in
  the lower right corner, how students in New Jersey
  performed. The district average was 741 and the state
  average was 741 and J.H. scored a 735, so she was -again, she was six points under the district and state
  average. I'm sorry -- six points under.
  - Q Let's move onto tenth grade.
- A Okay.

- Q Anything notable between summer of ninth grade and tenth grade that you're aware of?
- A No, nothing.
  - Q And then what happens at the beginning of the tenth grade year? I guess that would be 16/17 (out of

Cusack - Direct 40 1 microphone range.) 2 Well, I mean, students report and they -- I mean, they're the -- they've been there before and they 3 basically just roll into their first day schedule. 4 First day is -- all classes meet. We have a rotating 5 6 schedule, so the first class -- all eight classes would 7 have met that first day of school. Do you remember anything notable about J.H.? 8 9 Did she appear for school? 10 On the -- on the first day, yes, the --Α 11 To your recollection. 12 To my recollection, she was present in the opening 13 days of school. 14 And can you just turn to R-27 for me, please? 15 It's marked "113" at the bottom. And just describe 16 what this document is, at least that first page, for 17 the Court. 18 This is a copy of J.H.'s course schedule for her 19 sophomore year, her tenth grade year. 20 (R-27 Marked for 21 Identification) 22 And that year was, as we've previously 23 discussed? 24 Α 2016/17. 25 And have you seen this document or generated

Cusack - Direct 41 1 it? 2 Yes, I have. 3 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we'd like to move R-4 27. 5 MS. WARSHAW: No objection. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 (R-27 Entered into Evidence) 8 BY MS. HOWLETT: 9 10 And are these courses consistent with what 11 she did in ninth grade? Would this be the natural 12 progression? 13 Yes, exactly. She's moving -- she's moving along at the progression that we would expect, having 14 15 performed as well as she did in her ninth grade year, 16 yes. 17 And you previously testified that the "AV" 18 next to the course name indicates that it's an advanced 19 level course. 20 That's correct. Α 21 Is that also true for this? 22 Yes, it is. Α 23 And to your recollection, this accurately 24 reflects the courses that J.H. was enrolled in? 25 Yes, it does. Α

1 Q Did you receive any correspondence or any 2 telephone calls or what happened with J.H. after, you 3 know, the commencement of the school year? Sure. In late September, mid to late September --4 5 and again, I cannot remember the exact date -- I did 6 receive a phone call from Ms. H., from J.H.'s mom, 7 indicating that she was having a difficult time and that she was going to be out of school. Typically, if 8 9 a student is going to be out of school for a few days -10 - if they were having her, you know, checked out -- I would gather work from the teachers, communicate that 11 to the teachers, that the student is going to be out 12 13 for -- we're not sure. You know, at that point in 14 time, obviously, we didn't know how long. So, you 15 know, we requested work for her. 16 So you would have done that? You would have 17 reached out to the teachers? 18 Yes, yes. Α 19 Were you surprised to hear that she was 20 having trouble? Yes. Yeah, I was. You know, she had -- again, 21 22 freshman -- ninth grade year went well, and again, she 23 -- she's the type of student that, occasionally, I 24 would check on and we would have met in her ninth grade

year to schedule, and other than that, I mean, nothing

25

```
Cusack - Direct
                                                                 43
         -- again, no red flags until that -- late that month.
 1
 2
                   So that was late September, you testified.
 3
         Α
              Yes.
                   So then what happened after you had that
 4
 5
         initial -- to your recollection -- after that initial
 6
         communication from the parent or from Mom?
 7
              So, I -- you know, so J.H. continued to be out and
         then I received another communication indicating that
 8
 9
         she was going to be starting a program at ICCPC, and if
10
         I recall correctly, it was -- depression and anxiety
11
         was what the -- what she -- J.H.'s Mom had indicated.
12
                   THE COURT: Did you say it was depression and
13
         anxiety?
                   THE WITNESS: I believe that's what -- I
14
15
         believe that's what I recall.
16
                   THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
17
                   Proceed.
18
                   MS. HOWLETT: We'll clear it up.
19
         BY MS. HOWLETT:
20
                   Mr. Cusack, could you turn to R-39, please?
21
         Α
              Sure.
22
                   Thank you. And just describe for the Court
23
         what this document is.
24
              This is a note from J.H.'s parents, dated October
25
         10<sup>th</sup>, 2016.
```

Cusack - Direct 44 1 (R-39 Marked for 2 Identification) Have you seen this document before? 3 Yes, I have. 4 Α 5 And did you receive a copy when it was sent 6 to you? 7 Α Yes. MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I'd like to move R-8 9 39, please. 10 MS. WARSHAW: No objection. 11 (R-39 Entered into 12 Evidence) 13 BY MS. HOWLETT: Now I'm just going say you can describe what 14 15 this document is indicating or what your impressions 16 were when you received it. 17 So, it basically verifies what -- you know, the 18 conversation that I had with J.H.'s Mom and Dad. They 19 were both in communication with me. It's that she has 20 -- should I read the first line? 21 You don't have to read it verbatim --22 Okay. Α 23 -- but just what your -- your takeaway was. 24 It verified -- sure -- that she was -- that due to 25 what they describe as "crippling anxiety and

Cusack - Direct 45 1 depression," that she was not able to attend school at 2 that point in time and that they were requesting home, you know, tutors until she gets back on her feet, is 3 what they say. 4 5 And will you please just flip one more, to R-40? 6 7 Α Sure. And describe what this document is for the 8 9 Court, please. 10 This is a note from Plaza Family Medical, I Α 11 imagine her pediatrician, which verifies from a -- from 12 a medical professional that -- that J.H. was being seen 13 in their office for treatment of depression and 14 anxiety. 15 (R-40 Marked for 16 Identification) 17 And, I'm sorry, did you say the date for the 0 18 Court? 19 This was -- I'm sorry -- December 11th, 2016. Α 20 THE COURT: October 11<sup>th</sup>. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. October 11th, 2016. 21 22 Thank you. 23 BY MS. HOWLETT: 24 And have you seen this document before? 25 Yes, I have. Yes, I have. Α

Q Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$  HOWLETT: Your Honor, I would like to move R-40, please.

MS. WARSHAW: No objection.

5 (R-40 Marked for

6 Identification)

## BY MS. HOWLETT:

Q And I'm sorry, you started to describe it, but now, if you could just let us know what your impressions of the letter were.

A In order to start home instruction in our district, it's policy that we receive a note from the family requesting it and a medical note from a doctor, verifying that this condition exists. And therefore, once we have those two documents, I am then able to begin the process of home instruction. So, it's a much -- it's the next step involved in, like, kind of, you know, instead of just sending work home, now we would be providing actual tutors because she has -- you know, to supplement the classroom time.

Q And did you start that process in accordance with the policy?

A I did. Well, I started this and, well, to my recollection, I did start that but then she was, I believe, almost immediately after this, involved in the

	Cusack - Direct 47
1	ICCPC and a private tutoring company that works for
2	they contract with that organization took over the
3	actual tutoring.
4	Q Can you turn to R-13, please?
5	A Okay.
6	Q Can you describe what this document is?
7	A So, this is a document from ICCPC, indicating that
8	J.H. was now in their care, verifying that she would
9	not be out. So, once we received this document I'm
10	sorry.
11	(R-13 Marked for
12	Identification)
13	Q Have you seen this document before?
14	A Yes, I have. Yes, I have.
15	Q And can you just tell us what the date is on
16	this?
17	A October 20 <sup>th</sup> , 2016.
18	Q Thank you.
19	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I would like to move
20	R-13.
21	MS. WARSHAW: No objection.
22	(R-13 Entered into
23	Evidence)
24	BY MS. HOWLETT:
25	Q Now you can tell us what what the document

says or what your impressions of the document were.

A Sure. So, this document indicates to me -indicated to me that J.H. was not going to be returning
to West Morris Central anytime in the very near future
and they indicated initially that it was a two week
window that they were looking at. She would be
attending their partial program from 9 a.m. to 2:30
p.m., which is obviously during the course of our
normal school day, so they were requesting that we
transition over to American Tutor and -- for homebound
instruction. So, I can't recall how that works. I
believe there's an afternoon component, after the
morning therapy, the therapeutic component, where they
-- the tutors would work on the students on the
educational.

Q So, do you recall what happened after? So, you get this document. You've already testified that the private tutoring company had taken over.

A Yes.

Q Do you recall what happened next? This is the end of October?

A So, she enrolled in the program. We would -- this letter would have basically indicated that she was out of -- going to be out of the district, out of the building. There's a designation in the attendance

record, I believe it's HH, that they put in as an -- or MED, which is medical. I cannot recall which one went in, but it just indicates that she's not going to be attending class in the building and she was receiving her partial -- the -- she was attending -- to the best of my knowledge, she was attending that program at ICCPC.

Q And to your recollection, did you have any telephone conversations? You said earlier that you were in touch with the parents.

## A Yes.

Q Can you just describe what some of those communications were like?

A Well, just checking in, you know, updates, to see how she's doing. I recall that the -- I believe the therapist had reached out to me at some point to -- just to, you know, just to kind of update. Mostly, I was in communication with the tutor company, just to make sure that, you know, the educational component was being taken care of.

Q And then, was J.H. later cleared to return to school?

## A Yes, she was.

Q And discharged from -- was she discharged, to your recollection, from whatever program she was in?

A Yes. We would not have -- she would not have been able to attend if we did not have an actual note from ICCPC clearing her to return to school.

Q Can you please turn to R-14 and describe what that document is for the Court?

A This document is dated December 12<sup>th</sup> of 2016. It is from ICCPC and it's signed --

THE COURT: December 2<sup>nd</sup>.

THE WITNESS: December  $2^{nd}$  -- I'm sorry -- December  $2^{nd}$ .

BY MS. HOWLETT:

Α

Q That's okay.

A It is signed by the program psychiatrist, as well as the senior clinician, and that -- in the note, it states that she is -- J.H. is medically cleared to return to school on Wednesday, December 7<sup>th</sup>. The plan would be for her to be with us for half days and then she would continue with the program at ICCPC -- I believe it would be the therapeutic component -- in the afternoon.

(R-14 Marked for

Identification)

Q And have you seen this document before?

Yes, I have.

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I would like to move

Cusack - Direct 51 1 R-14.2 MS. WARSHAW: No objection. THE COURT: All right. 3 (R-14 Entered into 4 5 Evidence) 6 BY MS. HOWLETT: 7 And does this document, did it make any other recommendations to you --8 9 Α Yes. 10 -- about what J.H. might need when she 11 returns to school? 12 Yes. So, one of the things -- and anytime, with a 13 student coming back into the building, we always look at a 504 plan. Medical documentation -- again, this 14 15 sufficed. And they -- we always ask -- in this 16 situation, I always defer to the professionals that 17 have been working with her. So we basically, almost 18 verbatim, put in these recommendations into the 504 19 plan that I developed with Mrs. H. and J.H. 20 And is it your common practice to review the 21 medical information and then incorporate that into a 22 504 plan? 23 Yes, yes. 24 So what happened after you received that 25 letter, do you recall?

Cusack - Direct 52 1 So, I would have scheduled a meeting with J.H. and 2 her Mom and that would -- the purpose of that meeting was to re-enter the building and also to make sure that 3 when she re-entered, that this 504 plan would be in 4 place with the supports that were recommended from --5 6 from the therapist the psychiatrist, and that did take 7 place. The 504 plan was in place when she returned. So did you develop a 504 plan for --8 9 Α Yes, I did. 10 Can you just turn to R-1, please? 11 Α Sure, yes. 12 And can you just describe this document to 13 us? Sure. This document is the 504 plan that was 14 Α 15 developed. The date of the meeting was December the  $7^{th}$ , 2016. 16 17 (R-1 Marked for 18 Identification) 19 And did you prepare this plan? 0 20 Yes, I did. Α 21 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I would like to move 22 R-1. 23 MS. WARSHAW: No objection. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 (R-1 Entered into

BY MS. HOWLETT:

Q And so, what was the purpose of the 504 plan, if you could just generally talk about it.

Evidence)

A So, in this case with J.H., it was to ensure that there was a smooth transition back to the high school. So, she had been out at this point for over two months and any time that a student is out of the building for that long, you know, there's a concern that they may have difficulty acclimating back, especially with, you know the diagnosis that J.H. has. So we developed these accommodations to make sure that she was as comfortable as possible, that she had the support in the building that she needed from a psychological standpoint, and also the support from an academic standpoint as well.

Q And there's not that many, I'm not going to make you read them verbatim, obviously, but if you could just describe what the accommodations are that are provided in the 504?

A Absolutely. You know, we wanted to reduce as much anxiety as possible, so anytime there was an assessment, we offered extended time and we also offered the opportunity to take those assessments in a quiet setting, so she would not have had to take them

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in a classroom with the other students. One thing that we viewed, that we've found with students with anxiety, if they're allowed breaks, where the teacher is fully aware that they will not be in the room. They just kind of get up and they walk and we call it a walking pass. Some students just need to get a little of that nervous energy out and they walk around the hall and then they return to class. So, we gave J.H. that flexibility. We have a room called the Zen Zone in our building where it's basically about the size of this room. It's dark, dim lit. It's got water features. It's got aroma therapy going. It's just a quiet place of relaxation where students who are stressed out can access if they need to. And then, she, of course, had access to counseling in the guidance and the CST as well. Even though she did not have a -- she was not under the umbrella of the CST at that time, we do have psychologists on hand that were willing to meet with her.

Q And were -- it indicates on this document -- it appears to indicate that Mom and J.H. were present. Is that accurate?

A Yes, that is correct.

Q And did they offer -- did they offer insight at the meeting or any comments on the 504 plan?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

55

Yeah, I recall discussing what the recommendations Α were from the middle school -- I'm sorry -- from ICCPC and, you know, that's why, you know, the three of us worked on this together. Did they raise any concerns about what was in here? Not that I recall. Α And did the parents sign the 504 plan? Α Yes, they did. And that's indicated where? On the second page, WM002, the participants, Α parent and student. Did they sign anywhere else on this document? The back page of where they -- the notification of rights, parental, is on the back and Mrs. -- and J.H.'s Mom and I signed and dated that on December the  $7^{th}$ . Thank you. So what happened after the 504 0 plan meeting, from the entry meeting? So, J.H. returned to school for that day. I Α recall specifically going -- at some point, seeing her, checking in on her to see how she was doing. I specifically recall her smiling and saying things are okay. And at that moment -- you know, this was earlier on in the day, and then I don't remember -- and after

that, the next communication I remember was, I believe,

that Monday, where I received, I believe, an e-mail from J.H.'s Mom saying that there was a setback on Friday and that she would not be in school Monday.

Q Were you aware of any setbacks or issues that had happened at school?

A Not -- no, no -- I did not receive any communications from any teachers or anyone saying that there was an issue that -- no.

MS. HOWLETT: One moment, Your Honor. I'm sorry.

## BY MS. HOWLETT:

Q So, to your recollection, J.H. did not return after that?

A No, she did not come back into the building after that -- I believe a day and a half that she was there, perhaps.

Q Now we're talking about getting up to the break, right?

A Yes, it's coming up at this point. We're in December, so it's coming up to the holiday break, yes.

Q Do you recall receiving any subsequent correspondence about -- maybe after break, about what was going to happen with J.H., whether she was going to return to school or receive additional treatment?

A Yes. So, I did receive communication from J.H.'s

parents, that they were going to take the break to decide what course of action they were going to take. At this point, I think, to them, I believe it was clearly difficult for her to return to West Morris on a full-time basis and they had mentioned specifically that they were going to potentially withdraw her and enroll in a small, private school. I believe American Christian was what they specifically mentioned as an option they were exploring. I don't recall if they ever -- if they actually went and visited or not. I don't know.

Prior to that, you know -- yes -- or the other option was to work on a child study team evaluation to determine if she would remain in the district and to see if she qualified for CST assistance. And the discussion was, would there be possibly an out-of-district placement that could be appropriate for her and I explained that we can't -- that's not something we could even entertain until she was evaluated and determined to be eligible by the child study team. So they said they were going to take the holiday break to discuss that as a family and to see if they were going to either withdraw her, enroll in a smaller school, or pursue the CST evaluation.

Q And do you recall what happened after break?

58 Cusack - Direct 1 Yes, I believe there was -- I received an e-mail 2 indicating that they would like to pursue the CST evaluation and then I -- there should be a packet in 3 here somewhere which is the full report that I 4 5 generated, with the supporting documentation. 6 THE COURT: Just for the record, CST is child 7 study team. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 8 MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor. 9 10 THE COURT: We all know what that means, but 11 somebody reading a transcript might not. 12 THE WITNESS: Sure, sure. 13 BY MS. HOWLETT: Mr. Cusack, could you just turn to exhibit R-14 15 2? 16 Sure. Α 17 (Out of microphone range) and can you describe what this document is? 18 19 This is our standard special education referral 20 form. 21 (R-2 Marked for 22 Identification) 23 And have you seen this before? 24 Yes, I have. 25 Did you fill this out or did someone else

```
59
                           Cusack - Direct
 1
         fill it out?
 2
              I filled it out.
 3
                   MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I would like to move
 4
         R-2.
 5
                   THE COURT: Any objection?
 6
         BY MS. HOWLETT:
 7
              Q
                   And now, this document, can --
                   THE COURT: (Out of microphone range)
 8
 9
                   MS. HOWLETT: I'm sorry.
10
                   MS. WARSHAW: No objection.
11
                   MS. HOWLETT: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
12
                   THE COURT: Thank you.
13
                                             (R-2 Entered into
                                             Evidence)
14
15
         BY MS. HOWLETT:
16
                   You stared to describe before, what is this
17
         used for?
18
              So, this is used for determining -- like,
19
         basically, this is the form that's submitted to the
20
         child study team, where we support -- where I advocate
21
         on behalf of my student to establish -- to start this
22
         child study team process -- referral process.
23
                   Is it in triplicate form or something?
24
         Because it's tough to read, like, the bottom. Is it a
25
         -- is it just a poor copy?
```

A No, it's just a standard -- it's actually -- I think it's bright orange, so that might be why it's dark.

Q Right.

A And I don't know why it was cut off on the bottom, honestly. I believe that would have been my last note, though. I have the original with me. I don't know if that would help.

Q That's okay unless it comes up later.

A Okay.

Q You know, you can hold on to your file. But do you recall -- so, what was the basis for the referral?

A So, it was -- the -- one of the questions that they had was would it be possible for J.H. to go to a smaller school setting while remaining within the district. And again, the only way that that could happen is if she was classified by this child study team and eligible for an alternate setting. So this -- so the paperwork, I gather, you know, the different forms from the doctors, from ICCPC, any other information, together for the CST to review to determine if, in fact, she would be, you know, eligible to be reviewed for a CST eval.

Q So what happens to this form after you fill

1 it out?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A It was submitted to Camille Greco, who is the CST's administrative assistant, and she marked it "Received" on January 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2017.

 ${\tt Q}$   ${\tt And}$  you said before that the parents mentioned something about an out-of-district placement.  ${\tt A}$   ${\tt Yes.}$ 

Q Can you talk a little bit more about what they said about that?

So, they had mentioned a few names that they had been interested in. One school was a school that's called Fusion Academy, which is a -- basically, it's a -- from what I understand -- again, I spoke with a person that represented Fusion Academy -- I believe it's a one-on-one educational component where students are -- and I don't know what their student population is. From what it was described, there's kind of a common area where students can -- like a study lounge and then they go from room to -- kind of station-tostation almost, where they receive a block of time with one-on-one instruction from a teacher in that area and that it's purely -- again, my impression of this is that it's educational, 100 percent focused on educational. I'm not aware of any therapeutic component that goes along with that school -- again,

that I'm aware of. So that was one of the -- one program that they had thought about, again, in addition to the mentioning of looking at American Christian as a possible -- like, a smaller school setting as well.

Those were the ones that we had -- that I recall speaking to, so -- yeah.

Q And at this time, had she been evaluated yet?

A No, she -- this was just -- this was just the paperwork that's submitted -- needs to be submitted in order to schedule the eligibility -- no, I'm sorry -- not eligibility meeting -- the nature and the scope.

Q And can you just turn to R-3, please, and describe what this document is?

A This is a document that indicates the pre-referral intervention. So, the District asks us to, prior to doing -- to submitting a CST evaluation, what steps did I take as the counselor to -- that didn't -- you know, to help the student prior to, and for whatever reason, did not work. And then, you know, since these pre-intervention actions did not work, now we're moving on to the next step of a formal evaluation process.

(R-3 Marked for

Identification)

Q And have you seen this before?

A Yes, I have.

Cusack - Direct 63 1 Did you complete anything on this form? Q 2 I completed the form in entirety, yes. MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we'd like to move R-3 3. 4 5 THE COURT: Any objection? 6 MS. WARSHAW: No objection. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. (R-3 Entered into 8 9 Evidence) 10 BY MS. HOWLETT: 11 Mr. Cusack, this form indicates that there's 12 a section that's entitled "Other Information You Feel is Important to this Referral." Can you just read what 13 you wrote there? Did you write the response? 14 15 Α I did. 16 And can you just read what you wrote? 17 "J.H.'s parents explored private school settings 18 but have opted to keep J.H. at West Morris Central to 19 pursue the CST evaluation." 20 And when is this dated, this form? 21 This would have been submitted with the initial 22 form, January 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2017. 23 And then can you just please turn to R-15? It's marked "51" at the bottom. 24 25 Α Sure.

Cusack - Direct 64 And just indicate for the Court what this is. 1 Q 2 This is an additional letter from ICCPC, signed by Dr. Shorzan (phonetic), the program psychiatrist, and 3 Melissa Douglas, the senior clinician, and it indicates 4 5 it is basically the medical support for support for a 6 child study team evaluation, where they basically 7 document or summarize, I should say, the treatment that they had provided up to this point, and then, using the 8 9 DSM -- I would imagine IV at this point in time, maybe 10 V -- they determined the actual diagnoses. 11 (R-15 Marked for 12 Identification) 13 So, have you seen this document before? Q Yes, I have. 14 Α 15 And it's dated when? 16 January  $6^{th}$ , 2017. Α 17 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we'd like to move R-18 15. 19 THE COURT: Any objection? 20 MS. WARSHAW: No objection. 21 (R-15 Entered into 22 Evidence) 23 BY MS. HOWLETT:

And to your recollection, was this document provided with any sort of formal assessment report or

24

25

65

evaluation report?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A I don't recall receiving anything other than this document.
- Q And again, without, you know, reading it, but just --

A Sure.

Q What was your impression or what was your takeaway when you received this document?

My takeaway was that I was very hopeful that the child study team would evaluate her because this is absolutely a child that was in need of some type of a therapeutic assistance in addition to what she had already received through ICCPC and the -- you know, again, this is -- I won't read this to you, but, you know, they discuss her -- that the anxiety that she's feeling is preventing her from attending a regular high school and, you know, that they are working with her on her depression and anxiety. It says that she's improved and works, you know, in smaller class group settings. And the thing that -- the most significant thing for me was when I -- with the line that says, "She would greatly benefit from more time in a therapeutic setting to continue progressing with her anxiety and depression at school." And the Axis I diagnosis was major depressive disorder, recurrent,

66

- severe, without psychotic features and generalized anxiety disorder as well.
- Q So what would you have done with this letter after you received it, if you recall?
- A This was part of the referral packet.
- Q And who do you give the referral packet to?
  - A Again, to the CST administrative assistant. And then, the director of special services, who was Dr.

    David Leigh at the time, would have assigned her to a

case manager to start the process, to build a team that

- 11 would assess her in different areas.
- Q And then, what would be your involvement after a CST referral?
  - A After that, I'm more of a participant in the meetings. My role is basically -- I'm not going to say done. I'm obviously there and attend the meetings and offer input if asked, but this kind of turns it over to the child study team.
    - Q And did you wind up attending any meetings --
  - Q -- that involved the child study team?
  - A Yes.

Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q Do you recall when those were?

Yes, I did.

A I cannot remember the exact date. I would have -there should be a -- something indicating the nature

```
Cusack - Direct / Cross
 1
         and scope meeting, which was what -- to determine what
 2
         assessments would be done if, A, we are going to
         proceed with it, and if yes was the answer, and then
 3
         which -- you know, the social, the psychologicals --
 4
 5
         what type of assessments would be done with J.H.
 6
                   But you're not a member of the child study
 7
         team.
              I am not.
 8
 9
                   Did you attend an IEP meeting as well?
10
         Α
              I did.
11
                   MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I have no further
12
         questions for this witness at this time.
13
                   THE COURT: Cross?
         CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW:
14
15
                   Hi, Mr. Cusack.
16
             Hello.
         Α
17
                   I'm going to refer you to --
18
                   MS. WARSHAW: May I approach the witness?
19
         Okay.
20
         BY MS. WARSHAW:
21
                   Mr. Cusack, I'm going to show you some
22
         documents here. Can you turn to exhibit 16, please?
23
         Α
              Yes.
24
                   Okay. You had previously testified that you
25
         had read this letter and that you were aware of its
```

```
Cusack - Cross
                                                                  68
         context. Is that correct?
 1
 2
                   MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I believe this was
 3
         previously marked.
                   THE COURT: Yeah.
 4
 5
                   MS. HOWLETT: I don't know if we want to go
 6
         back and forth.
 7
                   THE COURT: We don't. We don't want to do
         that. If it's already -- well --
 8
                   MS. WARSHAW: Okay. I can refer to her
 9
10
         numbers.
                   That's fine.
11
                   THE COURT: I love when lawyers quote my pre-
12
         hearing notes and I'm going to quote it back to you.
13
         You're directed to do a joint exhibit list and
         documents, if it's possible. This certainly seems like
14
15
         one of those times when it would have been possible. I
16
         don't want to use the same exhibit marked in a
17
         different matter. This one's already in evidence.
                   MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Let me just find it.
18
19
         BY MS. WARSHAW:
20
                   Okay, R-14. I'm going to refer you back to
21
         R-14.
22
              This binder? The original binder?
         Α
23
                   The other binder, yes.
24
         Α
              Okay.
25
                   \operatorname{Isn}'\operatorname{t} it true that some of the accommodations
```

listed -- that you had listed as something that the school district came up with as accommodations for J.H., isn't it true that they were included a few days earlier in this letter from ICCPC?

A Yes, that actually was the primary source that I used to generate the 504 plan. I went almost exclusively on -- I trust them as the professionals that have worked with her for two months, so I went with -- with what they recommended.

Q Isn't it true that you received a letter from my clients, dated January 4<sup>th</sup>, 2017, indicating that they wanted J.H. evaluated for an IEP and for home instruction?

A I believe I did -- I would have received a letter indicating that they wanted a CST evaluation, yes. Is there a reference to that document?

Q Isn't it true that you received a letter dated -- I'm going to refer you to R-15.

A Sure.

Q Isn't it true that this letter also indicates that J.H. needed a smaller class group setting for school?

A Yes.

MS. WARSHAW: We have the same exhibit but it hasn't been admitted yet into evidence, so --

```
70
                           Cusack - Cross
                   THE COURT: It's already in evidence.
 1
                  MS. WARSHAW: I'm sorry?
 2
                   THE COURT: It's already in evidence.
 3
                   MS. WARSHAW: No, no, a different one. I'm
 4
 5
         going to refer to a different one, R-17. You can do
 6
         (out of microphone range). That's fine.
 7
                   THE COURT: I'm sorry. Thank you. I
        misunderstood.
 8
 9
                   MS. WARSHAW: It's also -- okay.
10
        BY MS. WARSHAW:
11
                   So I'm referring you to R-17. Have you ever
12
        seen this document before?
13
              I have seen it just through the course of
        Α
14
        preparing for this. This is not something that I would
15
        have received as the guidance counselor.
16
                   Okay. But isn't it true that you testified
17
        earlier that you received the other letters from ICCPC?
18
                   MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, asked and answered.
19
                   THE COURT: I'll allow it. Go ahead.
20
                   THE WITNESS: Yes. This is the psychiatric
         evaluation of March 15th, that you're -- if I'm on the
21
22
         correct one -- 17?
23
                   MS. WARSHAW: Yes.
24
                   THE WITNESS: This would have been -- I would
25
        not have -- I would not have submitted this document.
```

Cusack - Cross 71 1 THE COURT: To the child study team? 2 THE WITNESS: To the child study team. 3 was, I believe, the psychiatric evaluation that was done by ICPC (sic). 4 5 BY MS. WARSHAW: 6 Okay. So just to be clear, are you saying 7 that you saw the other letters from ICCPC and submitted them to the child study team, but after your referral, 8 9 you didn't see any of the letters from ICCPC? 10 That's -- that's correct. This, in reference to Α 11 this specific document, I did not -- I have not seen 12 this until I was reviewing this binder. That's not 13 something that I would have been -- you know, in my -this is something that would have been presented during 14 15 the -- I believe the eligibility meeting. That's a 16 psychiatric report. 17 Okay. But isn't it true that you attended the April  $6^{th}$ , 2017 IEP meeting? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay. But you're not a member of the child 21 study team. Is that correct? 22 I am not. That is correct. Α 23 So can you explain to the Court why you actually attended the April 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017 IEP meeting? 24

Because a guidance counselor is required by Code

25

Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mean --

Cusack - Cross 72 to be present during all CST meetings for students. 0 Okay. To my knowledge, that's the -- yes. This report -- this report is dated March  $15^{th}$ , 2017. Is that correct? Α Yes. Okay. So, at the April 6th, 2017 IEP meeting, this report was discussed. Is that correct? Yes, this report was discussed -- was presented, yes. Okay. So are you aware that Dr. Shinivasin Q (phonetic) recommended --MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, this document is, number one, not in evidence, and number two, this witness has testified he's not a member of the child study team and not qualified to view this document. THE COURT: I mean, the document, if it's going to -- if you're going to ask him a question about what does it say that the doctor recommended -- I'm assuming it's going to go into evidence at some point in time, most likely without an objection, and it speaks for itself or the doctor is going to testify. I

MS. WARSHAW: He attended the meeting in which it was discussed, so I'm asking him his knowledge.

THE COURT: Again, you asked him what she recommended. Ask him what he knows about what happened at the meeting.

MS. WARSHAW: I asked -- okay.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q Mr. Cusack, are you aware that this report, dated March 15, 2017, by Dr. Shinivasin, recommended that J.H. attend an out-of-district placement?

A I -- during that meeting, they -- there was -- I do not recall. This was a meeting that occurred over a year ago, so I do not recall. I did not have access -- I did not review this document for the context of that meeting and I don't recall the exact word verbiage of a meeting that happened over a year ago.

Q At the April 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017 IEP meeting, isn't it true that you witnessed the interim school's psychologist, Sherrie Wilke, tell J.H. at that meeting, when the behavioral support program was discussed and J.H. started to become upset, that it is not how adults act and that J.H. should have an open mind?

A Those -- I can't recall if those were her exact words, but I do recall her saying something to that effect.

Q Isn't it true that you had several discussions with my clients regarding J.H.?

A Oh, yes.

Q Isn't it true that you discussed with my clients private schools for J.H.?

A Yes, they discussed -- and again, under the context of whether they were going to withdraw her from West Morris and enroll in a private school or through the context of a CST evaluation, if an out-of-district placement -- there are many different private schools that are therapeutic or such -- if that was going to be what would -- ultimately, an option, and that would have been, you know, depending on the outcome of the child study team's findings.

Q Isn't it true that you informed my clients that despite the recommendation by Dr. Shinivasin for an out-of-district placement for J.H., the District was going to offer the behavioral support program as their first suggestion for J.H.?

A When a student is brought to -- you know, when there are different options for a student, we always look for the least restrictive environment first, and we wanted to try that as an option because it is -- the behavioral support -- I'm sorry -- the Being Successful program is a small school, as was -- you know, it's kind of a small school within a school that was -- as was recommended, as you're indicating by a small school

- type. There are -- again, I can't speak to the numbers in that room, but to my knowledge, that is a small school -- a small setting that is based out of West Morris Mendham High School, our sister school.
- Q Isn't it true that J.H. never had any disciplinary issues to your knowledge?
- A None. Not that I'm aware of, no.

- Q And isn't it true that the BSP program is actually called the Behavioral Support Program?
- A There are two programs. The one that's housed out of West Morris Central, which is her home building, is called the Behavioral Support Program. It is also referred to -- there is another program at West Morris Mendham called Being Successful Program, which unfortunately, use the same letters.
- Q When did the Being Successful Program actually come into existence?
- A I'm not aware of that. I do not work in that building, so I'm not -- again, that's -- the behavioral -- the Being Successful Program at Mendham, I can't speak to when it became. I do not know when it became in existence.
- THE COURT: Can you stop for a second, please, because I want to make sure I've got it right. The Being Successful Program -- what was the other -- the

```
76
                            Cusack - Cross
 1
        other BSP program?
 2
                   THE WITNESS: So, at West Morris Central,
        which is J.H.'s home building, it's called the
 3
 4
         "Behavioral Support Program," and that's a small group
 5
        within our building. It has maybe seven -- six
 6
         students in each class for the core subject areas.
 7
                   At Mendham, they have a program called Being
        Successful Program, which is also kind of a school
 8
 9
        within a school. That's -- their acronym is the Being
10
        Successful Program.
11
                   THE COURT: Okay.
12
                   THE WITNESS: I believe that's -- I got it
13
        right.
14
                   THE COURT: I'm sorry to interrupt. Go ahead.
15
        BY MS. WARSHAW:
16
                   I'm going to refer you to P-31. That would
17
        be in the blue binder.
              I'm sorry -- P-31?
18
19
                   P-31. After the brochure, turn to the --
20
        this page, which is in color, which is a copy of the
21
         school district website.
22
        Α
              Yes.
23
                   Okay? Can you tell me -- can you verify that
        this is the school district official website?
24
25
              This is the official website for West Morris
         Α
```

77 Cusack - Cross 1 Mendham High School. 2 (P-31 Marked for 3 Identification) 4 West Morris Mendham. 0 5 Α Mendham, yes. 6 Okay. 7 I am based out of West Morris Central. Okay. So, can you read what it says under 8 9 "Program of Studies?" There are four bolded categories 10 there. Can you read what the second one is? 11 Α Sure. "Behavioral Support Program." 12 And that's West Morris Mendham High School. 13 That is correct. Α Right. That's the high school that you just 14 15 indicated had the Being Successful Program. Is that 16 correct? 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. But it's actually called the 19 Behavioral Support Program, isn't that correct, 20 according to the website? 21 The -- so, I don't -- I have never seen this website before. I don't know if this is -- this says, 22 23 "alternate student programs," just reading through 24 here. I'm sorry, this is -- I just saw the Mendham 25 High School logo. This is the Regional High School

Cusack - Cross 78 1 District's website. There are three websites -- West 2 Morris Central, West Morris Mendham, and West Morris Regional High School District. This is the West Morris 3 Regional High School District's page. So, I'm sorry. 4 5 I did not -- the "Mendham" through me off. 6 Mr. Cusack, isn't West Morris Regional High 7 School District -- doesn't that include West Morris Central High School and Mendham High School? 8 9 Α Yes, it does. 10 Yes, it does. And it does say on this 11 website "Mendham High." Is that correct? 12 That does say on this page "Mendham High," 13 correct. 14 Okay. So it includes Mendham High School? 15 Α Yes. 16 Q Okay. So now turn to the next page. 17 THE COURT: Just to be clear, what it says on there, "Mendham High," it appears to be a photograph of 18 19 the front of the school. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 MS. WARSHAW: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 22 23 BY MS. WARSHAW: 24 So I'm going to refer you to the next page, 25 which says, "Search Results."

Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 79 of 590 PageID: 958 79 Cusack - Cross 1 Α Yes, okay. 2 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we should 3 authenticate it. THE COURT: Yeah, let's stop for a second. 4 5 I'm confused. We marked as P-31, the Being Successful 6 Program Brochure. In that, is attached a copy of, I 7 guess, a page -- a shot of, I guess, the front -- the first page of the District's website. It then has a 8 9 search result. And this is all one document, and how 10 so? 11 MS. WARSHAW: This is one document. 12 THE COURT: Is this part of -- I'm not sure 13 how it's one document. It seems to be several documents. 14 15 MS. WARSHAW: Well, Your Honor --16 THE COURT: And I'm not that smart. This 17 confuses me. 18 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, this is -- part of 19 the issue that we had raised earlier was that we had 20 requested information regarding the Being Successful 21 Program versus the Behavioral Support Program. 22 THE COURT: I understand that. 23 MS. WARSHAW: And we never received that, so

this is -- this is the actual school district website, copies of this, which talk about the Behavior Support

24

25

Program at the Mendham High School. There is no -when you do a search, which I show the search, nothing
comes up for the Being Successful Program. It only
comes up for the Behavioral Support Program, which -MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I'm not sure, number

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I'm not sure, number one, what this is probative about, and number two, this appears to be a document that was created by counsel, so it can't be authenticated by the witness.

MS. WARSHAW: I'm asking him if this is true.

That's all. And if he -- if he's aware of this.

MS. HOWLETT: This is --

 $\mbox{MS. WARSHAW: I'm not asking him if he created}$  the document.

THE COURT: One at a time. One at a time.

MS. HOWLETT: Sorry, Your Honor. I apologize.

THE COURT: I'm not that smart.

MS. WARSHAW: I'm not asking if he created the document. I'm asking him if he's seen this before and if he's aware of this, because he just testified that at one high school, it's called the Behavioral Support Program, and another, it's called the Being Successful Program. But unfortunately, the school district website has nothing regarding the Being Successful Program. It only has information about the Behavioral Support Program.

```
Cusack - Cross
                                                                81
 1
                   THE COURT: Now you're testifying.
 2
                   MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor --
 3
                   MS. WARSHAW: No, I'm just telling you what it
         says. I'm just asking --
 4
 5
                   MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, what's available on
 6
         the website is --
 7
                   THE COURT: Yeah, I'm --
                   MS. HOWLETT: -- it is irrelevant.
 8
                   THE COURT: Yeah, I don't know where we're
 9
10
         going with this, but --
11
                   MS. WARSHAW: Well, then I'm going to ask the
12
         Court to take judicial notice of the website and what
13
         it says.
14
                   MS. HOWLETT: We'll object to that, Your
15
         Honor.
16
                   THE COURT: Yeah, and I'm not going to do that
17
         anyway.
                   Are you responsible for maintaining the
18
19
         website?
20
                   THE WITNESS: No, I am not.
21
                   THE COURT: You wouldn't happen to know who is
22
         responsible for maintaining the website?
23
                   THE WITNESS: From a district standpoint, I do
24
         not, no.
25
                   THE COURT: (Out of microphone range) and
```

Cusack - Cross 82 1 you're familiar with the two programs, though? 2 THE WITNESS: I am only familiar with the 3 program that's at West Morris Central High School, the BSP program that is --4 5 THE COURT: Don't use "BSP" because --6 THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm sorry. The Behavioral 7 Support Program, yes. THE COURT: That's the only one you're --8 9 THE WITNESS: That's the one that I'm -- I 10 have a couple of students in it currently right now. 11 do not have any former students that are enrolled in 12 the program at Mendham. 13 THE COURT: I'm going to let you ask him 14 questions about what he knows and not have him 15 speculate as to why the website has what it has on it, 16 because it doesn't help me. And quite frankly, I don't 17 think it helps you either. 18 BY MS. WARSHAW: 19 Mr. Cusack, are you aware that Dr. David 20 Leigh, the former director of special services, started 21 the Behavioral Support Program at the Mendham High 22 School? 23 I was not aware of that, no. I'm not familiar 24 with who started that program. 25 You testified that J.H. was an advanced level

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

83 Cusack - Cross student. Is that correct? Α Yes. Were you aware that the Behavioral Support Program offered classes in the studies level? Α I'm aware that they do offer classes at various levels and they tailor it to meet the needs of the individual student in the program. Are you aware that the school district, Regional High School district website does say that all special education curricula addressed the New Jersey Core Curriculum Content Standards, and for purposes of GPA and rank, are considered studies level for the Behavioral Support Program? MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we're back to what the website says. MS. WARSHAW: Well, I'm just asking if he's aware of it. THE COURT: Yeah, she can ask that. MS. HOWLETT: Okay. I'm sorry, Your Honor. THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please? BY MS. WARSHAW:

Are you aware that the West Morris Regional High School District website indicates that all special ed curriculum address the New Jersey Core Curriculum

	Cusack - Cross 84
1	Content Standards, and for purposes of GPA and rank,
2	are considered studies level in the Behavioral Support
3	Program?
4	A I am not aware that the website indicates that,
5	no.
6	Q Okay. Are you aware that the Behavioral
7	Support Program at the Mendham High School offers study
8	level curriculum?
9	A I am not aware of that.
10	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, the witness has
11	already testified that the program at Mendham High
12	School is not referred to as the Behavioral Support
13	Program; it's referred to as the Being Successful
14	Program. I object to the question.
15	THE COURT: Answer the I'm going to let him
16	answer the question.
17	Answer the question.
18	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you please
19	repeat the question?
20	BY MS. WARSHAW:
21	Q Are you aware that the Behavioral Support
22	Program at the Mendham High School offers studies level
23	curriculum?
24	A I'll be honest. I am not aware of any details of
25	the Behavioral Support Program at Mendham High School -

8.5

- of the Being Successful Program at the -- at Mendham High School. I'm -- that's -- I don't have any students that are in the program currently. I haven't had any students that are in the program. The program that is based out of our school -- if a student is transferred to the Being Successful Program at Mendham, they would be brought in as a new, and most likely, have a new guidance counselor that, you know, specializes in that specific program. I have students that are in the Behavioral Support Program at Central and I work with those students in that building -- in this building. But I will admit that I do not have any real knowledge, working knowledge, of the Being Successful Program at Mendham High School.

Q You testified earlier, isn't it true that the studies level curriculum is different from the advanced level?

A Every level is -- yes, there are -- there are varying levels within our district, yes.

Q Is it fair to say that the studies level is a lower level curriculum than the advanced level curriculum?

A It is. It's mainstreamed, however, it is a -- studies level is lower level -- a lower level course.

Q And isn't it true that the Behavioral Support

Cusack - Cross 86 1 Program is a self-contained program for students? 2 Which program are you referring to -- the Mendham 3 program? The Mendham High School. 4 5 To my knowledge, that is one thing that I do know, 6 that, from what I understand -- from what I understand, again --7 THE COURT: The Being Successful -- we're back 8 9 at Mendham. 10 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor --11 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor --12 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, again, I'm going to 13 object because I --THE COURT: You're going to object to what I'm 14 15 saying? 16 MS. WARSHAW: No, I'm going to object to 17 anything being referred to as the Being Successful Program because I requested -- numerous times, I 18 19 requested documents on that, to show that it existed. 20 THE COURT: And I already ruled on that, 21 didn't I? So I'm going to allow him to testify. You 22 asked him -- my problem is you asked him a question 23 about the Behavioral Support Program at Mendham. 24 think, at Mendham, it's the Being Successful Program. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: So, I just don't want to confuse the record, that we're asking about this BSP thing. It's a little confusing. But you asked him a question about Behavior Support Program, which he's testified he's familiar with, but you said "at Mendham," and from what I've gotten so far from his testimony, that the BSP program at Mendham is the Being Successful Program, which he is not familiar with. So, I don't know. may have just mixed schools when you asked the question, but you did ask -- I wrote "Behavioral Support Program," and then I stopped because you said, "at Mendham," and that's when I opened my mouth to find out where you were going. BY MS. WARSHAW:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to refer you to P-26.

THE COURT: I take it you're withdrawing that question?

MS. WARSHAW: I'm sorry, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You're withdrawing that question, that I asked which program you're referring to? You were asking a question about one of the BSP programs, but you said "Behavioral Support Program," and then you said "at Mendham," and that's when I stopped you.

MS. WARSHAW: I'm going to follow up with a different question.

```
Cusack - Cross
                                                                88
 1
                   THE COURT: Thank you.
 2
        BY MS. WARSHAW:
 3
                   Have you ever seen this document before?
              I assume we're looking at the copy of J.H.'s IEP -
 4
 5
         - draft -- that says "draft" on the bottom?
 6
                                             (P-26 Marked for
 7
                                             Identification)
                   THE COURT: I didn't get the number. I'm
 8
 9
         sorry.
10
                   MS. WARSHAW: Oh, P-26.
11
                   THE COURT: Thank you.
12
        BY MS. WARSHAW:
13
                   I'm going to refer you to what's listed on
        the bottom page as "13/22." It's entitled "Special
14
15
        Education Determination."
16
              Thirteen slash 22?
        Α
17
                   Correct.
18
              Am I in -- am I in the correct binder? I just
19
        want to -- because I'm seeing 8/20, 9/20, 10/20, 13/20.
20
                No, you're too far. Go to the first IEP.
21
        This is 13/22.
22
                   MS. HOWLETT: I'm not sure where we are
23
        either. I don't see a 13/22.
24
                   THE WITNESS: I don't see a -- again, if I'm
25
         in the wrong -- I might be in -- I'm in their binder.
```

Cusack - Cross 89 1 THE COURT: Yeah, Ms. Warshaw, I just went 2 through every page. The lower right hand corner, 3 correct? 4 MS. WARSHAW: Yup. 5 THE COURT: No 13/22. 6 MS. WARSHAW: Is it 13/20? Yes. Okay. You 7 know what? There's -- the way it printed, some say "13/22"; the others say "13/20." So if you have 13/20, 8 it's the same document. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Okay, yes. 11 BY MS. WARSHAW: 12 Okay. On the top left hand side, there's a 13 sentence that says, "At this time." Do you see that? Yes. 14 Α 15 Okay. Can you read that sentence for me, 16 please? 17 "At this time, J.H. is receiving home instruction." The entire --18 19 Read that whole paragraph. 20 The whole paragraph? Okay. "It is recommended 21 that J.H. continue with home instruction in the morning 22 and begin attending West Morris Mendham High School's 23 Behavioral Support Program in the afternoon. J.H. will have a shortened day, from 11:30 to 2:35." 24 25 So am I correct when it says that West Morris

```
90
                            Cusack - Cross
 1
        Mendham High School has a Behavior Support Program?
 2
              I believe --
        Α
 3
                   Is that what it says?
              That is what is typed in this page.
 4
        Α
                   MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we --
 5
 6
        BY MS. WARSHAW:
                   We're going to go to page --
 7
              Q
                   THE COURT: Wait, wait. I'm sorry. Go
 8
 9
         ahead.
10
                   MS. HOWLETT: Have we moved this document in?
11
                   THE COURT: We didn't move it yet.
12
                   MS. WARSHAW: No, we're going to move it
13
        afterwards.
                   THE COURT: She can ask questions without
14
15
        moving it.
16
                   MS. HOWLETT: That's fine.
17
                   THE COURT: Okay.
18
                   MS. HOWLETT: I was just catching up (out of
19
        microphone range.)
20
        BY MS. WARSHAW:
21
                   We're going to -- I'm going to refer you to
        page 16 of 20.
22
23
        Α
              Okay.
24
              Q On the bottom third of the page, it says,
25
         "Additional Special Education Program Information."
```

1 that correct?

A Sixteen slash twenty?

THE COURT: Sixteen twenty in my binder says, "Notice Requirements for the IEP (out of microphone range)"

MS. WARSHAW: Fifteen twenty.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q It says, "Additional Special Education

Program Information" about two-thirds the way down on
the page. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Can you read that paragraph, please?

A "It is proposed that J.H. will continue to receive home instruction with a gradual return to a less restrictive setting at Mendham High School within the Behavioral Support Program. J.H. will be expected to attend afternoons only for the remainder of the 2016/17 school year. Transportation will be provided to bring J.H. to Mendham High School. It is anticipated that J.H. will attend a full-day program for the 2017/18 school year."

Q So, again, according to the IEP that was presented to my clients at the April  $6^{\rm th}$ , 2017 IEP meeting which you testified that you attended, is it correct that this IEP refers to the Behavioral Support

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Cusack - Cross 92 Program at the Mendham High School? "Behavioral Support Program" is what is typed in this report. Thank you. And I'm going to refer you to the 0 first page of the -- let's see -- I'm sorry. I'm going to refer you to the second page of the IEP. Are you listed as the guidance counselor on that IEP participants meeting? Yes, I am. Α MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Your Honor, I'd like to move this document into evidence. MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, no objection. THE COURT: Okay. (P-26 Entered into Evidence) BY MS. WARSHAW: Isn't it true, Mr. Cusack, that at the April 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017 IEP meeting, you pulled April Osteo Banda into the meeting, as she was a former teacher at the Mendham High School Behavioral Support Program, and currently, the district instructional technology specialist, and you informed -- and she informed you and my clients as to the behavioral point system and the behavioral

25 Again, I know -- I have a very limited knowledge

aspects of that program?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cusack - Cross of the program, and having know that Ms. Banda was formerly in that program, I saw it as an opportunity to inform and that's why I asked -- she was asked to come in and explain what the program was about to J.H. and her parents. And isn't it true she explained to you and my clients that there was a point system, so if students showed up at school, they would receive points? Again, I cannot recall the exact -- exactly what was discussed, you know, by her. I can't. And do you know who Tracy Costa is? I'm aware of where -- Tracy Costa, I believe, is the current person who handles the Being Successful Program at Mendham. To my knowledge, that's --I'm going to refer you to R-2. Do you have a copy of the original documents, because it's cut off on the bottom. Α I'm sorry -- R-2. THE COURT: That's the --THE WITNESS: Which document -- which binder? Are we in your binder or back to --MS. WARSHAW: No, I'm sorry. Yeah, the other binder.

MS. HOWLETT: The black binder.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

<b>5</b> 400 2	Cusack - Cross 94
1	THE COURT: The black one is the R and the
2	blue one is the P binder.
3	THE WITNESS: Gotcha. All right.
4	THE COURT: He's already testified he has the
5	original.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do have the original.
7	BY MS. WARSHAW:
8	Q Do you recall what is on the original, what
9	it says on the last line or so?
10	THE COURT: How about we ask him to actually
11	read it from the original, as opposed to having him
12	recall.
13	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we'd be more than
14	happy to produce the original. I apologize for the
15	copy.
16	THE COURT: I'm going to do it when we break.
17	I'm going to get a copy made for everybody.
18	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you.
19	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Read it out loud. What does it
21	say?
22	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought I
23	thought read everything that's listed except what we
24	can't, obviously, read. Okay.
25	THE COURT: Yeah, read what we can't read.

```
Cusack - Cross
                                                                95
                   THE WITNESS: Oh, I don't have the -- I don't
 1
 2
         have the original in front of me.
                   MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
 3
                   THE COURT: Oh, I thought you --
 4
 5
                   THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I have --
                   MS. HOWLETT: The witness was instructed to
 6
         leave his belongings in another room, so --
 7
                   THE COURT: Got it. So it's available and in
 8
 9
         the building today.
10
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
11
                   MS. HOWLETT: Correct.
12
                   THE COURT: All right. We'll take care of
13
         that later.
14
                   MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Thank you.
15
         BY MS. WARSHAW:
16
                   Mr. Cusack, you had testified that you
17
         drafted this document yourself, correct?
18
         Α
              That's correct.
19
                   Okay. My clients never signed it or
20
         anything, but you -- you drafted this yourself.
21
              No, the acknowledgment, I guess, would be the best
22
         word to use, of -- from the parents would be the note
23
         that you referenced earlier from -- I believe it was
         January 6th, that indicated they requested the CST
24
25
         evaluation to move forward.
```

1 Q Okay. 2 I might be wrong on the exact date, however, but you did reference something earlier to that effect. 3 Were you aware that J.H. was never 4 5 hospitalized? 6 That is -- I believe -- I believed that when I 7 wrote this, this letter was -- this document -- yes, I am aware of that. I've been told now that she was not 8 9 hospitalized and I -- I guess I mis-documented that. 10 That was an error on my part. I just -- having 11 generated this form in January, having -- she was in 12 the partial program, you know, for several -- two 13 months -- and I just used -- it was a poor choice of 14 words to use. I should have said "in a therapeutic 15 setting," as opposed to "hospitalized." 16 Okay. Are you aware that it was a partial 17 day program? 18 Yes. A partial day program, yes, absolutely. 19 You also indicated that she refused to return 20 to school the third day. Isn't it true that, due to 21 her anxiety, she was unable to return to school --22 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, he can't --23 BY MS. WARSHAW: 24 -- as opposed to refusal?

THE COURT: Sustained. How is -- how is he

25

```
97
                            Cusack - Cross
        going to know that?
 1
 2
                   MS. WARSHAW: Well, I'm asking the
         characteristic of what --
 3
                   THE COURT: You're asking him to put his head
 4
 5
         -- to know what she thought. That's what you're asked
 6
        him to do.
 7
                   MS. WARSHAW: No. Okay. I will rephrase it.
        BY MS. WARSHAW:
 8
 9
                   Mr. Cusack, how did you determine that J.H.
        refused to return to school?
10
11
             Well, I received an e-mail from Mrs. -- from
12
         J.H.'s mother, indicating that she was -- that she was
13
        not coming into school. I believe that was on the 10th.
        Somewhere in here is a copy of that e-mail. I don't
14
15
         know which one that is referenced.
16
                   So, when you wrote "she refused to return to
17
        school," that was your word, not --
18
        Α
              That was my word.
19
                   -- my clients' word?
20
             Yes, those were my words, yes.
21
                   Okay. And is it your understanding that,
        based on what my client indicated to you, that J.H. was
22
23
        unable to come to school due to her anxiety?
24
             Again, it's possibly a poor choice of words that I
```

used in it, but, I mean, she was not present. She was

25

Cusack - Cross 98 1 not in school after that date due to her medical 2 issues, yes. 3 I'm going to refer you in the black binder to 4 R-24.5 Α Okay. 6 Have you ever seen this document before? 7 Α Yes. Okay. And can you -- I know that you went 8 9 through a little bit of this before, but can you verify 10 what grade level this was? 11 This was grade seven. The document earlier was 12 grade eight. 13 Okay. And so, this was for which student? J.H. 14 Α 15 (R-24 Marked for 16 Identification) 17 Okay. And can you briefly describe what her 18 grades were in these classes? 19 She received for the -- well, this is not a final Α 20 -- I'm sorry -- yes, so a combination of A minus, A's, 21 and B's, an A plus, again, in band. That's very strong 22 grades. 23 Mostly A's, is that correct? Yes, mostly A's, absolutely. 24 25 Okay.

```
99
                            Cusack - Cross
 1
                   MS. WARSHAW: So then, Your Honor, I'd like to
 2
         move this into evidence even though -- I'm not sure it
         was already put into evidence -- 24.
 3
                   THE COURT: This was not in.
 4
 5
                   MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
 6
                   MS. HOWLETT: It was not.
                   MS. WARSHAW: Okay. So I'd like to move this
 7
         into evidence.
 8
 9
                   MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor.
10
                                             (R-24 Entered into
11
                                             Evidence)
12
         BY MS. WARSHAW:
13
                   Mr. Cusack, can you turn to R-25, the next
         page?
14
15
         Α
              Sure.
16
                   And comparing her grades from R-24 for
17
         language arts versus R-25 for language arts, can you
         tell me what those grades are?
18
19
              The grades are -- there's B grades, there's a bit
         Α
20
         of a dip. So, she had some B's and C pluses and C's.
21
                   THE COURT: Wait a minute. I'm -- we just did
22
         R-14, yes?
23
                   MS. WARSHAW: And then R-25.
24
                   THE COURT: R-24 I have as an invitation to a
25
         meeting to determine special ed eligibility.
```

```
Cusack - Cross
                                                               100
                   MS. WARSHAW: Oh, I'm sorry. That's
 1
 2
         respondents.
                   THE COURT: That's what I have.
 3
                   MS. HOWLETT: R-24, Your Honor?
 4
 5
                   THE COURT: My R-24, I just flipped it and it
 6
         says, "Invitation to a Meeting to Determine." That's -
 7
                   MS. WARSHAW: I think that's P-24.
 8
 9
                   MS. HOWLETT: Are you in blue?
10
                   THE COURT: Black -- oh, I'm embarrassed.
                   MS. HOWLETT: I'm about to fire a paralegal
11
12
         over that.
13
                   THE COURT: No, no. My apologies. Okay, go.
14
                   MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
15
        BY MS. WARSHAW:
16
                   So, Mr. Cusack, can you look at R-24 and 25
17
        and compare her grades for language arts from seventh
        grade to eighth grade?
18
19
              So, in seventh grade, she had, in order from
20
        marking period one through four, A, A minus, A minus,
21
        A. In marking period one through four on the grade
22
         eight, she had a B, B minus, C, C minus, and a final
23
        grade of C plus.
24
                   As her guidance counselor, would this have --
25
         these grades and the dip in the grades -- I'm sorry --
```

as a guidance counselor -- you weren't her guidance counselor at the time -- would this have been significant for somebody to notice that there was a change in the grades so drastically?

A I wasn't something honestly that would really raise a red flag. I mean, if she were, you know, failing and getting D's and F's, then I would say absolutely, there's something going on here. But, you know, to go from -- again, an A minus, I believe was the -- to a C plus -- I mean, it was -- I don't know why, for some reason the final grade is not here, but just looking at the numbers, she probably would have had an A minus for the year for the grade eight. Yes, there is noticeable decline.

Q Were you aware when you became her guidance counselor and reviewed her eighth grade transcript that she was having issues with the language arts' teacher?

A I was not aware of that, no. I don't recall. I mean, there's -- I don't recall an issue with the language arts teacher being brought to my attention.

Perhaps it was mentioned in the meeting, but that would have been in spring of 2015, so I can't really speak to that.

Q I'm showing you, also, R-24 and 25 with regard to Spanish.

zase z.i	Cusack - Cross 102
1	A Okay.
2	Q She went from an A plus to a B. Would that
3	have raised a concern for anybody?
4	A Nothing really. No, not
5	Q Were you aware that she was having some
6	issues with the teacher harassing her in Spanish in
7	eighth grade?
8	A I was not aware of that.
9	Q Is that something that a guidance counselor
10	would be aware of?
11	A If it was brought to my attention, yes.
12	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, the witness was not
13	
14	THE WITNESS: I was not the guidance counselor
15	then.
16	MS. HOWLETT: The student wasn't even enrolled
17	in our district during this time period.
18	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, he testified
19	THE COURT: It doesn't matter. He said he's
20	not aware, so
21	MS. WARSHAW: All right. But he also
22	testified that he reviewed these when she came into the
23	district, so
24	MS. HOWLETT: But whether a teacher harassed
25	her while she was in eighth grade

Cusack - Cross 103 THE COURT: Look, when she's talking, you're 1 2 not. MS. HOWLETT: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right? And when you're 4 5 talking, she's not. And when I'm talking, you're both 6 quiet, all right? I don't like interruptions. Let her 7 finish her statement and if you want to make an objection or have something to say, I will let you say 8 9 it. 10 MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. I 11 apologize. 12 THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Warshaw. 13 MS. WARSHAW: Thank you. THE COURT: But he answered that he was not 14 15 aware that there was an issue with the Spanish teacher. MS. WARSHAW: Okay. That's fine. 16 17 BY MS. WARSHAW: 18 Mr. Cusack, you had indicated that you had 19 reviewed J.H.'s NJ ASK results from sixth, seventh, and 20 eighth grade. Is that accurate? 21 Yes, that's correct. 22 Okay. And I'm going to refer you to R-37, 23 which I believe already in evidence. You had indicated 24 when she took the NJ ASK, her grades were in the upper 25 levels for math. Is that fair?

104 Cusack - Cross Α Yes, that is correct. Okay. She was in the -- she was in "met expectations" Α and "highly" -- there are a lot of forms. I would have to go back through each one, but she was either "met" or "exceeded expectations" in those areas, correct. Okay. So, I'm showing you what's been marked Q R-37. Isn't it true that, in math, she was only at the level three, which was "approaching expectations?" Α That is correct. Okay. So, would that have raised any concern for you or any of her teachers regarding her performance? No. First, these results are -- we would not have received these. These results get sent to the Long Valley Middle School from the State after they're graded. But then, they are sent along with, at a later date, to our school, because we're not the same district. I wouldn't have received these, most likely, until -- again, I can't say, but it's possible I didn't even receive these results until the school year started.

23 Ninth grade --

> Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25 -- you're talking about. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cusack - Cross 105 Α Yes. This was in ninth grade -- R-37. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I thought -- I'm sorry. I'm thinking -- so, we would have received these over the summer of going into sophomore year is when we would have received those, yes. Q Okay. Did you ever compare her grades for sixth, seventh, and eighth on the NJ ASK to her grades on the PARCC test in ninth grade? You can see the comparison, but I never did look at those. They are entirely different assessments. One is pencil and paper based; one is computer based. They're entirely different assessments. So, I mean, again, if she had dropped into a level, you know, level two, I would have brought that to the attention --At any time during ninth grade, did you contact my clients or anyone about J.H.'s absences? Not to my recollection, no. What about in tenth grade, September through October, the beginning of October of tenth grade, did

you ever notice or contact anyone regarding J.H.'s excessive absences?

J.H.'s mother notified me in late September, so I was aware. She called me and told me.

In ninth grade, were you aware of the reasons

why J.H. was absent from school?

A The only thing I could see on there, other than the occasional absence, you know, was a -- towards the very end of the year, several medical, and again, I can't recall why she was absent. I don't know the -- if I was -- I can't recall the details of why she was absent. I don't have the medical notes that were submitted that would indicate why.

Q So were you aware in December of 2016 -- in December of 2016, were you aware that J.H. was experiencing anxiety just trying to walk through the door of the high school?

A Again, so this is her freshman -- sophomore year?

Q Sophomore year.

A Sophomore year. Yes, I mean, that was part of -so, in her sophomore year, in December, yes, that was
the whole reason why she was at ICCPC, was to deal -dealing with her anxiety issues, absolutely. I mean,
the goal -- so, yeah.

Q I'm going to refer you to R-1 in the black binder. Is it correct that this 504 plan was in effect for 2016/2017 school year?

A The -- from the December 7th date on, yes.

Q Okay. But through the end of June 2017 -- is that correct?

Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 107 of 590 PageID: 986 Cusack - Cross 107 1 Α Yes, that is correct. 2 Okay. And isn't it true that 504 plans are meant to be in effect for one year only? Isn't that 3 correct? 4 5 They're reviewed -- they're a one year --6 typically, a one year document and they're reviewed at 7 the conclusion of that and new documentation is requested to determine if it will be continued or 8 9 discontinued. 10 Were you aware that when J.H. was put on home 11 instruction from December of 2016 through June of 2017 12 that she attended ICCPC at least three days a week from 13 4 to 7 p.m.? 14 I believe that's indicated, yes. I mean, that 15 would be a typical progression that I would expect to see, yes. 16 17 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I need one moment, 18 please. 19 THE COURT: Sure. 20 BY MS. WARSHAW: 21 Mr. Cusack, at the April 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017 IEP 22 meeting, you didn't differentiate the difference 23 between the behavioral support program at West Morris Central versus the behavioral support program at 24

Mendham High School, just that J.H. had difficulty

25

Cusack - Cross 108 walking through the door of the school at West Morris 1 2 Central. Is that correct? 3 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor --THE COURT: That's not a question. 4 5 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure -- I'm not sure 6 what -- yeah. 7 THE COURT: Yeah, I mean, you asked him the difference between two programs and then you said --8 9 and he had --10 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. I'll rephrase it. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 BY MS. WARSHAW: 13 At the April 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017 IEP meeting, you were 14 aware that J.H. had difficulty walking in the door of 15 the school at West Morris Central. Is that correct? 16 Yes, that is correct. Α 17 Okay. So, isn't it true that at the April 6th, 2017 IEP meeting, you did not distinguish whether 18 19 the behavioral support program at West Morris Central 20 was any different from the Mendham High School behavioral support program -- that they were one and 21 22 the same, just different locations? Is that correct? 23 No, I never implied that they were the same 24 program. That's actually why I asked Ms. Vasser to 25 come in to clarify the -- and the -- yes, that's --

Colloquy / Cusack - Redirect 1 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I would just renew 2 our objection to any information regarding the Being Successful Program, as it was never provided to us when 3 we requested it and we requested all the information 4 for the behavioral support programs, both at each high 5 6 school, as well as the Being Successful Program that 7 they're claiming existed. But I have no further questions at this time. 8 9 THE COURT: Redirect? MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor. Just a note on 10 11 that last objection. What was previously moved into 12 evidence as P-31, which was petitioner's exhibits, is 13 the brochure for the Mendham High School Being 14 Successful Program. 15 THE COURT: Actually, that wasn't moved. 16 MS. WARSHAW: No, it was not moved in. 17 MS. HOWLETT: Oh, I'm sorry. It was not moved 18 in. I'm noting that. 19 Yes, Your Honor, just briefly. I'll try and 20 wrap it up. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW: 23 Just real quickly, we're just going to fly 24 through this real quick.

25

Α

Sure.

Cusack - Redirect 110 Can you just flip to R-15 real quickly? I 1 Q 2 know that counsel just referred you to that letter. 3 Α Sure. It's the January 6th letter. 4 5 Α Yes. 6 And again, I believe you testified on this 7 earlier, but just for clarification, did you receive any formal assessment report or evaluation with this 8 9 letter --10 No, I did not. Α 11 Q -- to your recollection? 12 Not to my recollection. There was nothing that I 13 recall seeing with this letter. This was just a letter 14 to support the medical basis of proceeding with the --15 with a CST evaluation. 16 And were you at the IEP meeting on May 16th, 17 2017? 18 Yes, I was. 19 And to your recollection, what program was 20 discussed at that meeting? 21 The Mendham High School program. Α 22 And did you -- referring to P-26, that's the 23 IEP for that meeting that we just discussed --24 Α Yes. 25 -- did you prepare this document?

```
Cusack - Redirect
                                                               111
 1
              P-26 --
         Α
 2
                   Yeah, you're in the -- it's in the blue
 3
         binder.
 4
         Α
              Okay.
 5
                   It's the IEP.
 6
                   THE COURT: What was the number for that?
                   MS. HOWLETT: It's just the IEP. You don't
 7
         have to flip to it, really.
 8
 9
                   THE COURT: Okay.
10
                   MS. HOWLETT: I just wanted to ask --
11
                   THE COURT: I just want the numbers to be --
12
                   MS. HOWLETT: Oh, I'm sorry. It's P-26, Your
13
         Honor.
14
                   THE COURT: Thank you.
15
                   MS. HOWLETT: And I just want to ask the
16
         witness whether he prepared this document.
17
                   THE WITNESS: I did not prepare this document.
18
         BY MS. HOWLETT:
19
                   And referring to -- counsel referred you to
20
         J.H.'s report cards from seventh and eighth grade.
21
         Α
              Yes.
22
                   And just for clarification, was she enrolled
23
         in your school district in seventh and eighth grade?
24
         Α
              She was not.
25
                   And were you her counselor during that time
```

Cusack - Redirect 112 1 period? 2 No, I was not. 3 And would you generally have knowledge of 4 whether a student not enrolled in your district is 5 having a problem with a teacher? 6 Α No. 7 Do you know whether the parents ever observed the program at Mendham High School? 8 I believe they went one -- they went one day, but 9 that's -- to my knowledge, they did go on day with J.H. 10 11 Again, I may be wrong, but it's my understanding that 12 they -- they did. 13 Yeah, to your recollection. To my recollection, they went to observe the 14 15 program at Mendham. 16 MS. HOWLETT: And last question, I believe, 17 Your Honor. 18 BY MS. HOWLETT: 19 R-14 -- back to that letter from ICCPC from 20 December, if you just want to skim it real quickly. 21 Does that letter indicate anywhere that J.H. -- oh, I'm 22 sorry; I'll give you a second. 23 That's okay. 24 I promised fast, so I'm moving right along 25 here.

Cusack - Redirect / Recross 113 Good. 1 Α 2 Does that letter indicate anywhere that J.H. is, quote, "having anxiety walking through the door at 3 West Morris Central?" 4 I'm sorry. I just want to make sure I'm on the 5 6 right one. Fourteen? 7 Fourteen, yes. Okay. This was the note indicating that they 8 9 cleared her to return to West Morris Central, so, no, 10 there is no indication in here that she is not able to 11 walk through the door. 12 MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. That's 13 all I have. MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, may I ask a few 14 15 question? 16 THE COURT: Yeah, sure. 17 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. 18 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW: 19 But, Mr. Cusack, you just testified that it 20 was your recollection that the reason she was unable to 21 return to school was because she had trouble -- one of 22 the reasons was she had trouble walking through the 23 door of the high school, as it created anxiety. Isn't 24 that correct? 25 That's correct, and that was the -- December 10<sup>th</sup>,

Cusack - Recross

I believe, was the date that she was -- that I was notified she was not able to return. This is the psychiatrist's and clinician's professional opinion that she is cleared to return to West Morris Central.

- Q Okay. Mr. Cusack, you testified that you attended the May 16<sup>th</sup>, 2017 IEP meeting, correct?

  A Yes, I did. That's correct.
- Q Okay. And you're aware that Dr. David Leigh, the former director of special services, also attended that meeting, correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Isn't it true, at the May 16<sup>th</sup>, 2017

IEP meeting, Dr. David Leigh indicated to my clients to go take a look at the Purnell School, that it was one that had been mentioned, and that he would definitely look at it?

A I cannot specifically state that -- what was said.

My recollection was that -- I believe -- I believe this

entire conversation was taped, so I don't know if we

can go -- I don't know. I believe he said that he -
when several schools were mentioned, he -- Purnell -- I

believe, by counsel -- he -- I recall him saying, "I

would consider Purnell." I believe that would -
again, I can't remember word for word, but --

Q And isn't it true that at that May 16<sup>th</sup>, 2017

Cusack - Recross / Colloquy 115 IEP meeting that, when I asked Dr. David Leigh if the 1 2 school district would pay for the Purnell School, he indicated "possibly?" 3 I can't remember what he -- again, I cannot 4 5 remember anything to do with finances. I was there, 6 but I can't remember. That's something that I just 7 wouldn't have anything to do with. Isn't it true, at the May 16th, 2017 IEP 8 9 meeting, that Dr. David Leigh said that the Purnell 10 School had a peer group there and that there were 11 certain things there that others truly, in his opinion, 12 did not offer? 13 Again, I can't -- I cannot recall specifically things that he mentioned about -- about that -- about 14 15 that school. I know the name came up. I do -- I can 16 say -- I can say yes, that name was brought up, 17 initially by you, and then he, from what my recollection was, he said that's something we could 18 19 talk about -- again, something to that effect. It 20 might not be word for word, but --21 MS. WARSHAW: All right. Thank you very much. 22 THE COURT: Who's next? 23 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, could we just take a 24 short recess? 25 THE COURT: Sure. How short?

116 Colloquy MS. HOWLETT: Oh, really short. Yeah, just to 1 2 regroup real quickly and --3 THE COURT: Eleven thirty. MS. HOWLETT: That's more than a --4 5 MS. WARSHAW: Can I ask who the next witness 6 is? 7 THE COURT: You can ask her. I don't know the 8 witness. 9 MS. WARSHAW: Who's the next witness? 10 MS. HOWLETT: Kendra Dickerson. 11 THE COURT: The witness just asked me if I 12 wanted a copy of a document. Yes, when you come back 13 into the room, please bring it. Before we go on the 14 record, I'll have copies made so everybody has a copy 15 of the -- with the missing line on it. Okay? MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I'm just going to 16 17 reserve my right in case there is something on that 18 last line that I need to ask him a guestion on. 19 THE COURT: Sure. 20 MS. WARSHAW: I'd like to be able to do that. 21 THE COURT: Not a problem. Okay? 22 MS. WARSHAW: Thank you. 23 MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: We'll go off the record. 25 (BRIEF RECESS)

	Colloquy / Dickerson - Direct 117
1	THE COURT: We're back on the record in the
2	matter of F.H. and M.H. on behalf of J.H. vs. West
3	Morris Regional High School. The docket number is EDS
4	10706. We have concluded Mr. Cusack's examination and
5	we have a young lady sitting here.
6	Hello. How are you?
7	THE WITNESS: Hello.
8	THE COURT: Would you raise your right hand?
9	KENDRA DICKERSON, RESPONDENT'S WITNESS,
10	SWORN.
11	THE COURT: State your name. Spell your last
12	name.
13	THE WITNESS: Kendra Dickerson. My last name
14	is D-I-C-K-E-R-S-O-N.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	Proceed.
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT:
18	Q Hi, Ms. Dickerson. How are you doing today?
19	A Good. How are you?
20	Q Good. Just for purposes of the record, we're
21	just going to establish your employment and your
22	background, so if you could just state for the record
23	what your position and place of employment is.
24	A I'm a certified school psychologist at West Morris
25	Central High School.

Dickerson - Direct 118 And how long have you been a school 1 Q 2 psychologist at West Mendham -- I'm sorry -- West 3 Morris? This is my seventh year. 4 Α 5 And then what did you do before that? 6 I was -- I did an intern year as a school 7 psychologist, as well, and then, prior to that, I was a graduate student. 8 And where did you go to school? 9 10 I did my undergraduate at SUNY Albany in Upstate 11 New York, and then, my graduate work at Alfred 12 University in New York as well. 13 And what degrees did you get from that academic background? 14 15 I have a master's in school psychology and then a 16 certificate of advanced graduate study in school 17 psychology as well. My bachelor's was in psychology. 18 And are you also a case manager? 19 Α Yes. 20 And you know why we're here today -- the 21 student we're talking about? 22 Α Yes. 23 And we're going to refer to her as J.H. for 24 purposes of the record, so --25 Α Okay.

Q Just so you're aware of that. How did you come to know J.H. or do you know her at all? Give us just a little background of how you kind of play into everything.

A I've met her briefly on a couple occasions, first of which, I think -- I think I first came to kind of know her through Joe Cusack, who was her guidance counselor. And I had some conversations with the family when I believe they were kind of starting to go through the process of the 504 and J.H. was having some difficulties coming to school.

- Q And do you remember, like, about what time -you know, what school year that would have been?

  A Last school year.
- Q Which was the -- for purposes of the record, some of these questions might be a little redundant.
- A Okay. Yeah, so that was the 16/17 school year.
  - Q Did you receive a referral?
- A Yes.

- Q And is that something that you would normally review, a CST referral?
- 22 A Yes.
  - Q And can you just turn -- there's two binders in front of you; you're going to use the black one.
- 25 A Uh huh.

Dickerson - Direct 120 1 And just turn to R-2. It's already been Q 2 admitted. It's the second tab there. 3 Α Yes. And is that the referral that you received 4 5 from Mr. Cusack? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q For J.H. 8 Α Yes. 9 And just switch to the next one, R-3. It's 10 entitled "Pre-Referral Intervention Information." Do 11 you also receive this as part of the referral? 12 Α Yes. 13 And you've seen this before? 14 Α Yes. 15 And did you review it when you received it? 16 Yes. Α 17 And then what happens -- just in your general 18 practice for a second, what happens when you receive a 19 CST referral? 20 Typically, when the child study team receives a 21 referral, an administrative assistant will reach out to 22 the family to set up an initial planning IEP meeting 23 and it would be assigned to a case manager and the team 24 to review the initial referral packet, and then the 25 family would be invited in to discuss the nature of the

Guod 2	1000  Dickerson - Direct 121
1	concern.
2	Q Did you do that with J.H., if you recall?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Can you please turn to R-4? And just before
5	we talk about the document, I'm just going to have you
6	describe what this is for the Court.
7	A This is our standard form for an invitation to an
8	initial meeting.
9	(R-4 Marked for
10	Identification)
11	Q And is this something that you would normally
12	send out, that you were just describing?
13	A Typically, our administrative assistant would send
14	it out, but yes.
15	Q Have you seen this particular form before?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And can you just give us the date for the
18	record?
19	A The date on the form is January $3^{rd}$ , 2017.
20	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I would like to move
21	R-4.
22	THE COURT: Any objections? Actually, this
23	one's dated oh (out of microphone range.) Any
24	objection?
25	MS. WARSHAW: No.

Dickerson - Direct 122 MS. HOWLETT: To clarify the dates, Your Honor 1 2 THE COURT: No, no. It says January 3rd. 3 MS. HOWLETT: Oh, okay. 4 5 THE COURT: I, for some reason, saw four. No 6 objection. Go ahead. Proceed. 7 (R-4 Entered into Evidence) 8 9 BY MS. HOWLETT: 10 And Ms. Dickerson, this -- this form, does it 11 actually schedule a meeting with the parents? 12 Α Yes. 13 And what was the date of that proposed 14 meeting? 15 Α January  $9^{th}$  at 1:37. 16 To your recollection, did you actually have 17 an evaluation planning meeting? 18 Α Yes. 19 At an evaluation planning meeting of this 20 nature, for an initial referral, what type of 21 information do you take into consideration when making 22 a decision as to what assessments you're going to 23 propose? 24 It's mainly the information that's available from 25 the referral packet. The guidance counselor is

typically there, as well, with background information about how the student has been doing, and anything else that's brought to the table that's pertinent at that time.

Q Do you remember, from just your own memory, what you would have reviewed to determine what assessments you were going to propose for J.H.?

A The referral packet and I believe at that time there --

MS. WARSHAW: Could you just speak up a little bit? It's hard to hear.

THE WITNESS: Sure. And I believe, at that time, she did have an outside provider, so any information from them would have been pertinent to discuss as well.

## BY MS. HOWLETT:

Q And again, without necessarily looking, just from your memory, do you recall what evaluations or assessments that you had proposed or the child study team had proposed?

A Yeah. I believe the child study team had recommended a psychological evaluation. (Out of microphone) some emotional (out of microphone range) and then an update from the treating psychiatrist at the time and a social history report.

Dickerson - Direct 124 1 Q And were the parents present at that meeting? 2 Α Yes. Was J.H. present also? Do you recall? 3 Yes, I believe so. 4 Α 5 Did the parents consent to the proposed 6 assessments? 7 Α Yes. Did they raise any concerns or request any 8 9 additional assessments or anything like that? I don't recall. 10 Α 11 Do you recall whether there was a mention 12 that -- from J.H.'s parents, that J.H. was struggling 13 with any academic difficulties? I don't recall. 14 Α 15 Do you recall getting any reports from any 16 teachers that indicated that there were academic issues 17 in the classroom with J.H.? 18 No, there was nothing at that time that we were 19 concerned academically. The history was that she was a 20 strong academic student. 21 So, to your recollection, were the proposed 22 assessments actually conducted? 23 Α Yes. 24 If I could just direct you to R-16 and if you

could just describe, just generally, what this document

25

Cusc	1004 Colloquy
1	is.
2	A This is a psychological evaluation.
3	(R-16 Marked for
4	Identification)
5	Q Was it for J.H.?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Was this the evaluation report that was
8	conducted in response to the initial referral?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And who conducted that evaluation?
11	A This was conducted by Sherrie Wilke. She was
12	at that time, I was out on maternity leave. Sherrie
13	had attended that initial meeting with me. It was
14	right before I went out on maternity leave, so she was
15	acting as the case manager and school psychologist at
16	the time, in my position, while I was out.
17	Q Have you seen this document before?
18	A Yes.
19	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I would like to move
20	R-16?
21	THE COURT: Any objection?
22	MS. WARSHAW: This witness did not
23	THE COURT: She didn't author it.
24	MS. WARSHAW: She didn't author it or
25	anything, so I'm going to object to that to that.
Į	

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 126 of 590 Page 1005 Colloquy 126
1	And also, there are a lot of mistakes in it, but
2	THE COURT: That's not a reason to object to
3	it.
4	MS. WARSHAW: Right. So, I would I'm going
5	to object. She's not the author to this and
6	THE COURT: (Out of microphone range)
7	MS. WARSHAW: she doesn't have personal
8	knowledge of it.
9	THE COURT: Well, I'm sure she has personal
10	knowledge.
11	Are you going to call Ms. Wilke?
12	MS. HOWLETT: Not likely. I mean, the purpose
13	of proffering it to this witness is that I'm not
14	going to testify for her, but it's to ask about whether
15	this document was material in her later actions at
16	proposal.
17	THE COURT: I'm going to allow it because I'm
18	guessing I'm not guessing I'm going to allow it
19	at this point.
20	You know what? Why don't you voir dire a
21	little bit on what she knows about it and see if
22	okay?
23	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
24	THE COURT: You don't have to. You want me to

25

do it?

Dickerson - Voir Dire 127 MS. WARSHAW: Go ahead. I can do it as well. 1 2 THE COURT: Go ahead. 3 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW: 4 5 0 Ms. Dickerson, you didn't write this report, 6 correct? 7 Α No. And what date did you go on maternity leave? 8 I went on maternity leave -- I believe it was -- I 9 think my last day was -- January 13<sup>th</sup>, 2017, I think, 10 11 was my last day and I came back April 17th. 12 And -- okay, so this -- the evaluation report 13 was dated January 19<sup>th</sup>, 2017, so you were not present in the district at that time. 14 15 No, I was on maternity leave. 16 Did you have any contact with Sherrie Wilke 17 about her evaluation during the time that you were on maternity leave? 18 19 No, I don't believe so. Α 20 Okay. So the first time that you saw this document was when? 21 22 I don't recall, but I would imagine when I 23 returned from maternity leave. 24 Okay. And so, you were not present at the 25 April 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017 IEP meeting.

Dickerson - Voir Dire 128 1 Α No, I was not. 2 Okay. So you wouldn't be able to testify as 3 to what happened at that meeting or any results that were discussed at that meeting? 4 5 Α No. 6 Okay. You don't know if this document was 7 done in the ordinary course, according to District standards, right? You don't have personal knowledge of 8 9 that? 10 No, it's an individualized assessment, so no one 11 is typically in the room while it's administered. 12 THE COURT: Say that again. I didn't hear 13 you. 14 THE WITNESS: It's an individually 15 administered assessment, so it would only be the psychologist and the student in the room anyway, in any 16 17 setting. BY MS. WARSHAW: 18 19 But you --0 20 But, no, I was not working for the District at 21 that -- I was on maternity leave during that time. 22 And you cannot personally vouch for the 23 credentials or the manner in which Sherrie Wilke 24 performed this evaluation. Is that correct? 25 Correct. Α

Dickerson - Voir Dire / Colloquy 129 1 Q And --2 THE COURT: You can stop. 3 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, the witness isn't 4 5 here to testify of the probative value of the objective 6 data that's contained in the report. It's her 7 impressions of what the report represents. Number one, 8 she's a certified school psychologist, so she is 9 actually qualified to interpret this report, and it 10 also goes to what the District -- the information that 11 had been provided to the District and what their 12 response was. 13 THE COURT: I understand that, but what help 14 is she going to be to me? She didn't do the report. 15 She wasn't at the IEP meeting. She doesn't know what 16 happened at the IEP meeting. She doesn't --17 MS. HOWLETT: There was a subsequent -- I'm 18 sorry, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Go ahead. Go ahead. 20 MS. HOWLETT: There was a subsequent -- I'm 21 trying not to stem into testimony. There was a 22 subsequent IEP meeting and the witness is going to 23 testify to what her involvement in the drafting of the 24 IEP was, which is certainly relevant. 25 THE COURT: Did this report and the first IEP

Outo 2	1009 Colloquy 130
1	meeting result in a proposed IEP?
2	MS. HOWLETT: No, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: It did not. I'm going to allow
4	it. Go. I'm going to allow it.
5	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, there is an April
6	$6^{\text{th}}$ , 2017 IEP that they did. The IEP
7	MS. HOWLETT: (Out of microphone range)
8	THE COURT: Go ahead. Go ahead.
9	MS. WARSHAW: She wasn't around until April
10	19 <sup>th</sup> .
11	THE COURT: That, I know.
12	MS. WARSHAW: But the IEP let me just
13	locate it.
14	THE COURT: The IEP meeting was April $6^{\rm th}$ . She
15	wasn't there. When was the IEP presented?
16	Do you know, Ms. Howlett?
17	MS. WARSHAW: April 6 <sup>th</sup> , 2017. She wasn't
18	there and she didn't draft the IEP.
19	THE COURT: All right. Okay.
20	MS. HOWLETT: Can we ask the fact witness
21	whether she drafted the IEP
22	THE COURT: We could.
23	MS. HOWLETT: instead of testifying for
24	her?
25	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 131 of 590 PageID:

	1010  Dickerson - Direct 131
1	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT (CONT'D):
2	Q Ms. Dickerson, did you draft the IEP?
3	A I did for the May meeting.
4	THE COURT: There was a second IEP meeting.
5	Yes?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	MS. HOWLETT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: I'm asking her.
9	There was a second IEP meeting
10	THE WITNESS: In May.
11	THE COURT: which you were at?
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	THE COURT: I'm going to allow this. Go. I'm
14	going to allow it.
15	(R-16 Entered into
16	Evidence)
17	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Go.
19	BY MS. HOWLETT:
20	Q Ms. Dickerson, did you review this document
21	that we keep talking about, R-16, as part of your
22	THE COURT: Ms. Howlett, what I'd like you to
23	do first is get us from the first IEP meeting to the
24	second IEP meeting
25	MS. HOWLETT: Okay.

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 132 of 590 PageI 1011
	Dickerson - Direct 132
1	THE COURT: if you can with this witness.
2	MS. HOWLETT: Yes.
3	BY MS. HOWLETT:
4	Q Ms. Dickerson, you testified before, but I
5	didn't catch the date. Do you recall when you went out
6	on maternity leave?
7	A I believe my last day was January 13 <sup>th</sup> .
8	THE COURT: And she returned April 17th. She's
9	testified to that.
10	MS. HOWLETT: Okay, thank you. I just didn't
11	have that in front of me.
12	BY MS. HOWLETT:
13	Q You testified you were not present at the
14	April meeting?
15	A Right, I was not present at the April $6^{th}$ meeting.
16	Q Do you recall not being present
17	MS. HOWLETT: strike that, Your Honor.
18	BY MS. HOWLETT:
19	Q Upon your return from maternity leave, did
20	you consult with Ms. Wilke about your existing cases
21	that she had taken over?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Was this one of those cases, J.H.?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Did Ms. Wilke report to you or provide any

133

1 case note or any information about what had transpired 2 at the April meeting? She told me about the April meeting. 3 Α And what did Ms. Wilke report to you? 4 My understanding of the April meeting was that 5 6 eligibility was proposed and agreed to and they had 7 discussed a possible placement option of the BSP program over at Mendham, and that was not agreed upon 8 9 at the time, but the parents were instructed to look at 10 the program, which -- my understanding. 11 THE COURT: You need to speak up. 12 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah, so that was my 15 understanding -- was that eligibility had been 16 determined at the meeting and there had been a 17 discussion of a proposed program at the BSP program over at Mendham that, to my understanding, was not 18 19 agreed upon at that time, but that the parents were 20 going to go look -- look at the program. 21 BY MS. HOWLETT: 22 Was there an agreement that a subsequent 23 meeting would be held? 24 I don't -- I don't know when that was decided on,

but when I came back to the District, my understanding

25

Dickerson - Direct 134 1 was that there would be another meeting and that 2 attorneys would be present. 3 And to your recollection, what was the 4 purpose of the second meeting? 5 Α My understanding was it was to discuss placement 6 because no -- no formal IEP had been agreed on. 7 And at the May 16<sup>th</sup> meeting, did you attend? Yes. 8 Α 9 And was an IEP proposed at that time? 10 Α Yes. 11 And was the IEP or the proposed placement 12 discussed at that meeting? 13 Α Yes. 14 In preparation for that meeting, did you 15 prepare an IEP? 16 Yes. Α 17 And in preparation of that IEP, did you consider this document, R-16? It was the 18 19 aforementioned --20 Α Yes. 21 -- Ms. Wilke's psychological report. 22 Yes. It was -- that IEP was developed in Α 23 conjunction with Sherrie Wilke's -- my understanding of 24 the -- what program had been proposed, and also 25 speaking with the director.

Case 2	:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 135 of 590 Page 1014
	Dickerson - Direct 135
1	MS. WARSHAW: Can you speak up? I'm sorry.
2	We can't hear you at all from the stand.
3	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
4	Yes, so that that IEP that was developed
5	was to have, you know, a more formalized
6	MS. WARSHAW: (Out of microphone range)
7	THE COURT: It's not it's not the
8	MS. WARSHAW: Maybe it's the air conditioning.
9	MS. HOWLETT: The vent or something.
10	THE COURT: Yeah, sometimes they put those
11	that, I can't turn off because it's the other unit.
12	I'm sorry. Okay. I'm sorry.
13	MS. HOWLETT: No, that's okay.
14	THE COURT: I interrupted you because of the
15	fan noise.
16	BY MS. HOWLETT:
17	Q Yes, just do your best to speak up. I know
18	you're soft-spoken.
19	A I'm sorry.
20	Q It's not about you, but
21	A Yeah. Yeah, the IEP was proposed to be able to
22	discuss that at the meeting because it wasn't
23	formalized, to my knowledge, at the April $6^{\text{th}}$ meeting.
24	So it was information from Sherrie Wilke and, you know,

and conversations, you know, with the director to, you

25

- know, propose the IEP -- the program.
- 2 Q And did that happen at that May meeting?
- 3 A Yes.

1

- 4 Q So, going back to the psychological report of
- 5 Ms. Wilke, without -- I mean, we certainly don't want
- 6 you to read it into the record, but do you recall
- 7 reviewing this when you prepared the IEP?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Does it look familiar to you?
- 10 A Uh huh.
- 11 Q And can you just flip to the summary
- conclusion? That's what we do as lawyers, pretty much.
- 13 | Can't really read the rest. It's, I think, at the
- 14 bottom, marked 061 -- 061.
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And again, without kind of reading it, can
- 17 you just, in your own words, sort of summarize what
- your impressions were when you read this summary
- 19 conclusion?
- 20 A I mean, as a school psychologist, we're typically
- 21 looking at the scores that were obtained from the
- 22 assessment. The full-scale IQ from this particular
- assessment done by Sherrie, as it's reported, is a 104.
- 24 Anything between 90 and 110 is considered average on
- 25 these types of assessments, so that, to me, would be

saying that her intellectual functioning was within average ranges. And then it breaks it down into the four main areas, and on this particular assessment, the verbal comprehension was found to be in the high average range, the perceptual reasoning was within the average range at 107, working memory was in the low average range with 86, and the processing speed index was 94, which was within the average range. And that was the summary of the report.

Q And then moving onto the next page, it looks like Ms. Wilke continues to make additional -- or continues to summarize her report. That last paragraph, can you talk about, in your experience as a school psychologist and also as a case manager, can you talk a little bit about, as compared to her peers, that paragraph, what Ms. Wilke might be referring to?

A Yeah. I think for this paragraph -- and forgive me; I only took a few seconds to read it -- but I think that this particular paragraph is more in relation to the behavioral scale.

- Q And what --
- A The BASC was given as well, yeah.
- Q Can you just tell us a little bit about the BASC?
- 25 A It's a -- it's a behavior assessment scale that,

typically, it's based on -- it can be a teacher rating form, a parent rating form, and a child rating form.

It looks like, in this report, only the child and the parent were given. But basically, it assesses -- it's a -- it's kind of like a questionnaire that a student would fill out themselves in regard to a lot of different questions about their behavior, as well as a parent would also answer that.

So it would tap into a lot of different things to find if there's anything behaviorally or emotionally, kind of, to cue into. So it looks at things like attention. It looks at sort of, like, a student's attitude towards their teachers or attitude towards school and things like that. It's just a way to gather more information about the home perspective as well as the student's own perspective.

Q So, when it's -- it's entitled -- is it "behavior" or "behavioral" -- the BASC? It is -- but it's the word "behavior."

A Behavior, yeah.

Q So does it just refer to things like poor behavior or are we talking emotional difficulties as well?

A It does -- it will elicit things like -- like acting out behaviors, as well as internalizing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

139

behaviors -- things like anxiety, things like inattention. So, it can be any of those things. It's a big questionnaire.

Q And so, what did Ms. Wilke determine as part of her review of that information?

Well, the first part, she talks about the selfrating scale, so on that area, on the self-rating scale, it appears that the student did not themselves rate themselves in a way that would find that these first areas like sensation seeking, atypicality, (out of microphone range) control, attention problems, hyperactivity, relations with parents, self-reliance, test anxiety, anger control, and mania -- those were all, like, self-reported to be typical. And then, however, the student rated herself -- the way she responded on this particular form found things to be in at-risk ranges in terms of her attitude towards school, social stress, anxiety, depression, sense of inadequacy, somatization, self-esteem, and ego strength. So those would be things that -- the way she -- the way she responded to the questions on the questionnaire would put the scores to be within, kind of, at risk ranges or those are the types of things we would be concerned with or want to pay more attention to or might need additional -- warrant follow-up for

more investigation. And then, the more significant areas that she noted were attitude towards her teachers and interpersonal relationships, so that would be the most concerning areas.

Q And when you reviewed Ms. Wilke's report, what was -- what did you really take away from it? What does this tell you about J.H. -- what her needs might be?

A Well, I mean, in terms of the intellectual ability, it tells me that the student is within the typical range compared to -- compared to other students her age, with a little bit of a strength in the verbal comprehension and verbal skills, which is really nice to see, with a relative weakness in working memory. And then it shows also that she was having some kind of emotional or kind of internalizing concerns, like, what they're -- like the way that she, herself, viewed, you know, her attitude towards teachers and some of the anxiety. Yeah, that she was struggling with social stress, anxiety, depression, inadequacy -- a lot of those internalized struggles.

Q And did you review additional reports in addition to Ms. Wilke's report when you prepared the IEP?

A Yeah, I probably would have also referred back to

	1020 Dickerson - Direct 141
1	the initial referral packet and, you know, the
2	conversations with Ms. Wilke about what was planned and
3	proposed, and any other additional reports, including
4	the other things that were conducted as part of the
5	initial the social history report and anything from
6	their outside providers that had been provided as well.
7	Q Just turning your attention to R-18, please,
8	and can you just describe what this document is for the
9	Court?
10	A This is a social history report.
11	(R-18 Marked for
12	Identification)
13	Q For J.H.?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And have you seen this before?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And then, did you conduct the social
18	assessment?
19	A No.
20	Q Did you review this report when you prepared
21	the IEP?
22	A Yes.
23	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I'm going to object
24	with the same objections that I had originally. She
25	was not there. She did not conduct this evaluation.

Dickerson - Direct 142 She has no personal knowledge of this, so --1 THE COURT: Who did it? 2 MS. HOWLETT: The school social worker, Your 3 4 Honor. 5 THE COURT: Are you going to call --6 MS. HOWLETT: I didn't intend to call every 7 member of the child study team to authenticate each report. The IEP was prepared by the case manager with 8 9 the information that was provided to her. 10 THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. Her 11 objection is noted. 12 Go ahead. It's not in yet, but I'm going to 13 -- go ahead. MS. HOWLETT: Well, I'm going to -- I'm asking 14 15 to move it. 16 THE COURT: I know you are, but -- all right. 17 (R-18 Entered into 18 Evidence) 19 BY MS. HOWLETT: 20 Ms. Dickerson, was there anything notable 21 from the social assessment that was helpful in 22 preparing the IEP? 23 It really just provided a snapshot at that time of 24 what was -- what was being reported as to how the 25 student was functioning. And it was an interview with

	1022 Dickerson - Direct 143
1	the parents, so it had information from their
2	perspective as well.
3	Q And again, we're going to go through the same
4	process. If you could just flip to R-19 and if you
5	could just describe what this document is.
6	A This is a psycho-educational testing report, so it
7	would be more comprehensive than a typical
8	psychological report; it would also include educational
9	testing as well. And my understanding was this was a
10	third party evaluation that was done later.
11	(R-19 Marked for
12	Identification)
13	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I'm actually not
14	going to move this document in at the moment, so I'd
15	like to go back. I apologize, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay. No problem.
17	BY MS. HOWLETT:
18	Q Ms. Dickerson, can you just switch over to
19	what's marked as R-17 and can you just describe what
20	this document is?
21	A This is a psychiatric evaluation.
22	(R-17 Marked for
23	Identification)
24	Q And the date?
25	A The date on it is March $15^{th}$ , 2017.

	1023 Dickerson - Direct 144
1	Q And have you seen this document before?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And was this document a document you used to
4	propose the IEP?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And this is for J.H.?
7	A Yes.
8	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I'd like to move R-
9	17.
10	THE COURT: Any objection?
11	MS. WARSHAW: No objection.
12	(R-17 Entered into
13	Evidence)
14	BY MS. HOWLETT:
15	Q Ms. Dickerson, who was the do you know who
16	this report was conducted by? You didn't conduct this
17	evaluation, right?
18	A No, I didn't conduct this evaluation. My
19	understanding was this was her treatment provider at
20	the time.
21	Q So this, to your knowledge, was not conducted
22	by a district employee
23	A No.
24	Q or a child study team member?
25	A No.

1	Q Did you consider the psychiatric evaluation
2	report when you prepared the IEP?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Ms. Dickerson, if you could just turn to page
5	well, it's marked at the bottom "065" in that
6	report. It's the third page, I think. There is and
7	I know you're not a psychiatrist, but we just want to
8	talk about what your impressions were of what's in the
9	report. There's some diagnoses in there and an
10	assessment and then some recommendations. That's at
11	least how I read it. Is that is that accurate?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Can you please talk to us a little bit about,
14	when you look at this, you know, what you're really
15	looking for?
16	A We're looking at the summary and at the diagnosis
17	and what the what the recommendations are at the
18	time.
19	Q And what was the recommendation of this
20	provider?
21	A This provider says, "At this time, an out-of-
22	district placement is advised."
23	Q And then there's another is there another
24	recommendation on the second page?
25	A Yeah. "J.H. needs regular follow-up with a child

Dickerson - Direct 146 1 psychologist for medication management and a 2 psychotherapist for counseling." So the recommendation for an out-of-district 3 4 placement, did you consider the recommendation that's 5 in this report? Is that confusing? 6 Α A little bit. Okay. Strike that. You testified earlier 7 that you considered the report when you prepared the 8 9 IEP. Is that accurate? 10 Α Uh huh. 11 This report indicates, as you just read, that 12 this provider was recommending an out-of-district 13 placement. Uh huh. 14 Α 15 Did you see that when you reviewed the 16 report? 17 Α Uh huh. 18 THE COURT: You have to say "Yes" or "No." 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm sorry. 20 MS. HOWLETT: Sorry. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 BY MS. HOWLETT: 23 Did you consider the full spectrum of program 24 and placement options when you prepared the IEP? 25 When I was preparing the IEP, my understanding was Α

	1026  Dickerson - Direct  147
1	to be working with the team to re-establish what had
2	been what had been proposed at that meeting at
3	that April meeting.
4	Q At the April meeting.
5	A Uh huh.
6	Q And how did you determine that?
7	A Based on all the information from Ms. Wilke and
8	the and the reports as well. I wasn't changing it.
9	Like, I wasn't changing that recommendation at that
10	time myself.
11	MS. HOWLETT: Just give me one second, Your
12	Honor, please. I'm sorry.
13	THE COURT: Uh huh.
14	BY MS. HOWLETT:
15	Q Now we're going to flip to the blue binder.
16	A Okay.
17	Q It's going to be P-26, so it will just be the
18	tab that says "26." So, is this is this the IEP
19	that you prepared, P
20	A Oh, 26.
21	Q Yeah. Yeah, take your time. You can look at
22	it.
23	A Yes, this is the IEP, yes, prepared when I
24	returned, in conjunction with Sherrie Wilkes, to
25	describe to describe what had been proposed.

THE COURT: I don't know if she asked you that 1 2 question. You answered the question she asked you. BY MS. HOWLETT: 3 And can you take us through a little bit of 4 5 what -- what the proposed program was? Or you can even 6 point to a page, whatever is easier, and then talk 7 about what the proposal was? The student had been on home instruction for 8 9 quite some time, so at that time, the proposed program 10 was to continue with some of the home instruction that 11 was being provided and gradually do a return to the BSP 12 program at Mendham High School in the courses that 13 would make sense for an easy transition for her within 14 that setting and to continue to deliver the home 15 instruction in the areas that it did not for a gradual 16 start to the Mendham BSP program. 17 And that would be -- what -- for the 18 remainder of that school year? 19 Yeah, for afternoons only, for the remainder of Α 20 that academic year. And then what was proposed for the following 21 22 school year? 23 The BSP program at Mendham. 24 And could you just tell us what "BSP" means

or at least what the acronym means?

149

1 At Mendham High School, it's the Being Successful Α 2 Program. At Central High School, it's still referred to as the Behavioral Support Program. The name had 3 4 changed. 5 And now, you don't work in the BSP, right --6 either BSP? 7 Α No. Is there a difference in --8 9 MS. WARSHAW: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Could 10 you just repeat that because we can't hear your answer. 11 What was that answer? 12 THE WITNESS: At Mendham High School, the BSP 13 program is called the Being Successful Program and at 14 Central High School, it's still referred to as the 15 Behavioral Support Program. 16 MS. WARSHAW: You said something about the 17 name being changed. What did you say? 18 THE WITNESS: I believe, at one point, it 19 might have -- I think that Mendham had called it the 20 similar acronym and I'm not sure if the name had 21 changed at some point. 22 MS. HOWLETT: She's asking -- now we're asking 23 questions. 24 THE COURT: Yeah, we're not doing -- we're not

25

doing cross yet.

Dickerson - Direct 150 MS. WARSHAW: We just couldn't hear her. 1 2 just wanted to clarify what she answered. That's all. 3 THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Yeah, the same acronym was used. 4 5 BY MS. HOWLETT: 6 And we're going to get back to that, but in 7 the meantime, in your -- in the IEP that you proposed, at the bottom, page -- it looks like five of twenty --8 9 can you tell us what's on this page -- what "Courses of 10 Study" means? (Out of microphone range) obvious. 11 Under "Courses of Study," grade nine would be what courses she had already completed in grade nine. Grade 12 13 ten, it would be the list of what she was currently taking -- the home instruction at that time. And then 14 15 the proposal -- and then, it's a wrap-around IEP, so 16 grade eleven would be what was being proposed then for 17 the following academic school year. And then, so, grade eleven, can you just take 18 19 us through -- we had some prior testimony from Mr. 20 Cusack about the different levels of courses that you guys have to offer. Can you just take us through grade 21

us through -- we had some prior testimony from Mr.

Cusack about the different levels of courses that you guys have to offer. Can you just take us through grade eleven, what -- what classes and what level of those classes that it was proposed that J.H. be enrolled in?

A For the following school year?

Q Right.

22

23

24

A So it wasn't for the remainder. So, grade eleven, she had -- my understanding was she had always been a strong academic student and been recommended for advanced level classes. Within the BSP program, they can differentiate and modify up to those levels if that's what's appropriate for the students, so it was being recommended that English III would be at the advanced level within the BSP room. World History would be at the advanced level within the BSP. French and Algebra II would be regular classrooms.

Environmental Science would be academic within the Behavioral Support Program. Gym and health, band, out of class support -- within the BSP program.

O So, would J.H. be taking advanced level

Q So, would J.H. be taking advanced level courses in the BSP, according to this IEP?

A Yes, that was what was proposed.

Q It's been noted that, in this IEP -- I'm trying to actually get to the page. I believe on page 13 and also at places on 15 -- several places throughout the IEP, it refers to the Mendham High School program, the BSP at Mendham High School, but it identifies it as the Behavioral Support Program, as opposed to what you previously testified to, that the Mendham program was actually known as the Being Successful Program. Can you explain, maybe, the

confusion?

A At Central High School where I work, the BSP program stands for the Behavioral Support Program. My understanding is that acronym was similarly used at Mendham High School. It may have been originally called the Behavioral Support Program there and at some time, that may have shifted and they started to call — label the program as the Being Successful Program. Typically, we refer to both as the BSP program. I think that's where the confusion was.

Q So when you prepared the IEP, did you mean the Being Successful Program or did you mean the Behavioral Support Program?

A I meant the Being Successful Program at Mendham
High School. The distinction was really which building
and in which program, and it was clearly recommended
Mendham High School because we felt a change in school
would be beneficial for her.

Q To your knowledge, is there a difference between the two programs?

A Several differences. The nature of the room.

Obviously, your makeup of kids are different; it's a different high school. You know, there's, you know, subtle differences between the two -- the two programs. I think the reward system is a bit stronger at Mendham

Dickerson - Direct 153 1 High School and the environment is slightly different. 2 To your knowledge, did the parents go and visit the Being Successful Program at Mendham High 3 4 School? 5 Α Yes. 6 Did you accompany them there? 7 Α No. Was there ever any talk at the May meeting 8 Q which you attended -- I know you can't testify as to 9 the earlier meeting -- but the meeting that you 10 11 attended, about any programs at West Morris Central? 12 I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? 13 Did you ever have any conversations with the Q parents about any programs at West Morris Central or 14 15 did you only discuss programs at Mendham? 16 I don't recall discussing ones at Central. I feel Α 17 like we were -- the IEP team felt pretty strongly that having her have a new start with a new peer group would 18 19 be beneficial. 20 MS. HOWLETT: One moment, Your Honor. I'm 21 sorry. 22 BY MS. HOWLETT: 23 And I guess backing up to even propose an 24 IEP, was there an eligibility determination that was 25 made?

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 154 of 590 Page 1033 Dickerson - Direct 154
1	
1	A Yes, and my understanding is that had been made at
2	the April 6 <sup>th</sup> meeting that I was not at.
3	Q Would you have reviewed the eligibility
4	determination report?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Would that be part of your preparing an IEP?
7	A Yeah.
8	Q Can you please turn to R-9? It's that same
9	binder. Oh, I'm sorry; it's the black binder. And can
10	you just describe what this document is?
11	A This is the eligibility determination report.
12	(R-9 Marked for
13	Identification)
14	Q Is this for J.H.?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Did you review this document? Have you seen
17	this document before?
18	A I've seen it before, yes.
19	Q Did you review this document when you
20	prepared the IEP?
21	A Yes.
22	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I'd like to move R-
23	9.
24	THE COURT: Any objection?
0.5	NO TIPOUNI W W II

MS. WARSHAW: Yes, Your Honor, the same

Dickerson - Direct 155 1 objection, the same reasons as before. She wasn't at 2 the meeting when this was generated. She has no knowledge as to what happened at that meeting. She 3 never authored it. The same objections. 4 5 THE COURT: Overruled. 6 (R-9 Entered into 7 Evidence) BY MS. HOWLETT: 8 9 Ms. Dickerson, can you take us through what 10 this -- is this a typical eligibility determination 11 report? 12 Α Yes. 13 Have you prepared these in the past? Yes, they're typically prepared by our 14 15 administrative assistant with the summaries of the 16 reports and then we -- the reports that were conducted 17 as part of the evaluation process -- and then, we --18 yeah. 19 And was there a determination that J.H. was 20 eligible for special education based upon this report? 21 Α Yes. 22 And what was the -- where -- where can that 23 be found? Can you just take us through? 24 Yes, that's on the second page of the report and Α 25 that determination is made by the IEP team.

156 1 Are you -- I'm sorry. So what was the Q 2 classification that was determined or that J.H. was determined to be eligible under? 3 Emotionally disturbed. 4 Α 5 And can you just, as a case manager and as a 6 certified school psychologist, can you just talk about 7 what that means? 8 Α Yeah. 9 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, is she testifying as 10 an expert or is she testifying as a fact witness? 11 Because if it's an expert, she needs an expert report 12 and curriculum vitae, which has not been provided. 13 THE COURT: Well, actually, no expert needs an 14 expert report. It happens all the time, but experts 15 don't need a -- I've tried cases, too. You don't need 16 an expert's report to put an expert on the stand. 17 MS. WARSHAW: But she wasn't qualified as an 18 expert. 19 THE COURT: She wasn't -- she's not asking her 20 as an expert. She's the school psychologist. I think 21 she would know the answer to this as the school 22 psychologist. 23 Go ahead.

> MS. HOWLETT: And I can rephrase slightly. BY MS. HOWLETT:

24

Q Ms. Dickerson, do you, in your role as a case manager, do you make eligibility determinations or assist the child study team in making eligibility determinations?

A I assist the child study team, yes.

Q And to meet the criteria of emotionally disturbed, no one's going to ask you to cite the code unless you want to, but can you talk about what you, as a case manager, look to when you make an eligibility determination as emotionally disturbed?

A Yeah. Under that category, there -- a student would have to meet the criteria under one of -- I believe it's five different areas, and those are things such as that the student has -- and I'm paraphrasing it.

Q That's fine.

A I can't recite the code without it in front of me. But basically, that a student has had, over a marked or a long period of time, the state of -- a pervasive state of unhappiness or a period of depression. So, that's one area that seems relevant. Also, has developed a tendency to have inappropriate behavior to normal circumstances or to have developed sort of somatization or health complaints in relation to the environment. Those are some of the areas. And an

inability to maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships between peers or teachers. So, you know, those are, under the -- under the Code, those are some of the areas that you would typically -- a student could be classified as emotionally disturbed for exhibiting some of those characteristics.

Q So, beyond those characteristics or a diagnosis, when you're looking at eligibility, is there anything else you look to?

A To determine the eligibility, what would we look to? Yeah, in order to determine eligibility, we would basically have to just establish, first, does a student have a disabling condition, and that would be through those reports and outside information. And then, how is that impacting the student? Is it — is it negatively affecting? Like, just — just having a disability alone doesn't always necessarily mean having a negative educational impact, so you would look to see is it affecting them. And the third thing would be, really, do they need special education and related services in order to account for that disabling condition.

Q And when you reviewed this eligibility determination report, does it appear that the parents signed it?

A Yes.

Α

Yes.

Q And why do you usually have parents sign the eligibility determination report? What does that mean?

A It's stating whether or not they're in agreement with the eligibility and allowing the IEP team to move

Q Is consent required to go forward with the IEP, with the proposal of an IEP?

forward to develop a plan based on that finding.

Q In other words, what happens if a parent does not consent to the eligibility determination -- the initial eligibility determination?

A An IEP can't -- can't be implemented without initial consent.

MS. HOWLETT: I'm sorry to make everybody switch around, but we're going to just talk briefly about the IEP again. You can refer to it if you want. It's P-26 for anyone that wants to. It's the blue binder. Sorry.

## BY MS. HOWLETT:

Q I just want to talk a little bit more about - I know that you don't work in the BSP at Mendham, but
if you could just talk a little bit about what other
services you may have proposed or what else this IEP
proposes and why. And if you're referring to anything,

you can point us to a specific page, if you like.

A On the bottom of -- I'm looking at the program page or the program description, which has "15-20" at the bottom right corner. So, basically, just to sort of summarize the IEP, the program that was being recommended was the BSP program at Mendham High School. My understanding is that the student had been out on home instruction for really an extended period of time at this point, so, coming back to a program, in my experience, students really have difficulties with that without a gradual return.

She had been doing well on home instruction, so we didn't want to interfere with the processes that were going well, so the recommendation was a gradual return for afternoons only to Mendham High School.

Transportation was to be provided. Individual counseling services, weekly, was to be provided. If you flip back to 12, I believe it's 12-20 at the bottom, on "Modifications," even within those settings, she was to be provided with extended time for tests and quizzes. She would be allowed to meet with the school counselors upon her request, so to have access to counseling support, essentially, as needed, in addition to those weekly individual counseling sessions that were being provided; to allow her to have frequent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

breaks and instruct teachers to provide her with structure and interim due dates for long range assignments -- having them broken down -- and providing frequent feedback was recommended. So those were the modifications, and as I said, the transportation was to be provided, as well as the counseling support. IEP also has some accommodations listed from her standardized assessments as well. That's on page 13-20. You know, moving forward, since it was a wraparound IEP, it was to also take into account things she might need for standardized testing, which included frequent breaks, small testing groups, asking for clarification, having extended time. And also, she was also recommended to continue to receive the home instruction in the morning as she begins to attend West Morris Mendham, so she had a modified half-day schedule for afternoons only. And then on the last -- one of the last pages, 14-20, there is also some room built in for an extension of the attendance policy due to the chronic anxiety, depression, and panic attacks which she's experiencing.

Q And where did -- where did those

modifications and that programming -- how is that

developed? What is that designed to address?

A Things that came out of the testing that had been

162

1 done previously or recommendations from the outside 2 providers, so, things like the counseling, due to the school-related anxiety, extended time for some of the 3 scatter between the working memory. It all comes from 4 5 those reports and the background information that we 6 had gathered as part of the IEP team. 7 And at the IEP meeting that you attended, the 8 one in May --9 Α Yes. 10 -- do you recall, was the Being Successful 11 Program at Mendham High School discussed? 12 Α Yes. 13 To your recollection, did the parents raise 14 any concerns about any academics? 15 Yes. My recollection is the parents felt just 16 that it just wasn't the right fit for the particular 17 student and did have some concerns about how the 18 academics could be modified within that setting to her 19 levels. 20 Modified to a higher level or to a lower 0 21 level? 22 To a higher level. 23 Did the parents raise any concerns about J.H. 24 having any academic challenges?

I don't recall at that meeting.

25

Α

Dickerson - Direct / By The Court 1 So, was their concern that the BSP at Mendham Q 2 High School would be too challenging or be not challenging enough? 3 Possibly not challenging enough. 4 Α 5 MS. HOWLETT: Just one moment, Your Honor. Ι 6 think we're done. 7 BY MS. HOWLETT: And just to clarify, this IEP, the one that 8 we keep referring to that's P-26. It's marked -- it 9 10 looks like there's handwriting on it that says "Draft." 11 It is dated in April, correct, on that cover page? 12 just want to make sure that everyone understands one 13 document. 14 Α Yeah. 15 But your recollection, this is the document 16 that was presented at that May IEP meeting? 17 Yes, it was presented at the May --Α 18 Despite the date. 19 I'm not really sure. I think this was the draft Α 20 in the system, in the computer system. It was the open 21 draft, which is why it's dated that way. 22 BY THE COURT: 23 Let me ask a question. It wasn't changed 24 from when you -- from the first IEP meeting to the 25 second. Is that correct?

	Dickerson - By The Court / Colloquy 164
1	A I don't think I think there was an empty shell,
2	like a draft in the system from that date, the April
3	date, and that was what was added to it. I don't think
4	it had been presented.
5	Q The IEP that was presented at the at the
6	April meeting, it's this IEP that was that was
7	presented at the May date, correct?
8	A I wasn't at the meeting, the April
9	Q You were at the May meeting.
10	A This was yes, this was the IEP presented at the
11	May meeting.
12	Q And is it the same as the one that was
13	presented at the April meeting?
14	A I don't think any IEP I don't I wasn't at
15	the April meeting, but I don't think any IEP was
16	presented. I think it was a discussion, from my
17	understanding, of that of that program. I don't
18	know if a document was
19	Q So, as far as you know, this is the only one?
20	A Yes, as far as I know, it's the only one.
21	THE COURT: Anything else after I asked a
22	couple of questions?
23	MS. HOWLETT: No, thank you, Your Honor.
24	We're done with questions for the moment. Thank you.
25	THE COURT: Just one second while I write

Case :	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 165 of 590 Pag   1044   Colloquy 165
1	myself a note.
2	Cross?
3	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I have extensive
4	cross examination. Do you want me to start now or (out
5	of microphone range?)
6	THE COURT: I don't care. If you want to take
7	a break and go to lunch, that's fine with me. I'll
8	work through lunch. I don't it doesn't matter to
9	me. Do you want to take a break for lunch? I'm not
10	going to stop you, but I'm perfectly willing to work
11	to work through lunch, if that's what you'd like to do.
12	MS. WARSHAW: We can take a break.
13	THE COURT: How long would you like? Taking a
14	break? Yea? Nay? You want a break?
15	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: If we could take five
16	minutes for a bathroom break?
17	MS. HOWLETT: Yeah, we're fine just with a
18	bathroom break and then plowing through, but
19	THE COURT: That's fine. All right, I'm going
20	to be magnanimous.
21	(BRIEF RECESS)
22	THE COURT: We're back on the record on $F.H.$
23	and M.H. on behalf of J.H. vs. West Morris Regional
24	High School. The docket number is EDS 10706 and we are

ready for cross examination.

1 Proceed.

2 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q Ms. Dickerson, I'm going to refer you to what's been marked R-16 and if you could please keep your voice up so we could hear you, that would be great.

A Okay.

Q Okay. You testified earlier that you did not draft this report, that it was your replacement while you were on maternity leave, Sherrie Wilke, who did it. Is that correct?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q But you -- is it correct for me to say that you reviewed it when you got back from maternity leave?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Were you aware that when this was -this report was generated, that my client indicated
that there were errors in that report?

A I became aware at some -- I don't know when I became aware of that, but I believe it was at the May meeting. I do recall the parents saying that there had been errors in -- they felt there were errors in the report, yes.

Q Okay. And you're aware that none of those corrections were made to that report, correct?

Dickerson - Cross 167 1 I was not aware of that, that they were corrected. Α 2 Okay. So, isn't it true that the heading on the report says "Clifton Public Schools" and this is, 3 presumably, West Morris Regional High School District? 4 5 Is that correct? 6 Α Yes, yes. 7 And that error was never corrected, to your 8 knowledge? 9 Not to my knowledge, no. 10 So, would it be your opinion that "Clifton 11 Public Schools" was an error, a typo? 12 Α Yes. 13 And were you aware that my clients informed Sherrie Wilke that, under "Background Information," 14 15 that J.H. was never hospitalized? 16 I'm not sure when I became aware of that, but Α 17 again, I believe, thinking of the May meeting, I believe that I remember the parents saying that they 18 19 were concerned about that, yes. 20 Okay. But to your knowledge, that was never 21 corrected either in this report. 22 Α Yes. 23 Yes, it was not corrected? 24 It was -- to my knowledge, yeah, it was not

25

corrected.

168

1 Okay. And further down in that first Q 2 paragraph under "Background Information," the seventh line down, were you aware that my clients had discussed 3 with Sherrie Wilke that J.H. was unable to return to 4 5 school; not that she refused to return to school? 6 Yes. Again, I believe these concerns were brought 7 up at the May meeting, yes. And to your knowledge, this characterization 8 9 was not corrected. Is that right? 10 Α Yes. 11 Yes, it was not corrected? 12 Yes, the report does not appear to have been 13 changed. 14 So, when you were taking this report into 15 consideration, did you take into consideration my 16 clients' objections to the characterizations set forth 17 in this report or the report itself? The questions that you're bringing up, I believe, 18 19 were at the May meeting, so I had read the report as 20 The IEP would have been proposed based on the written. 21 report that I had had. 22 And the IEP was written without my clients' 23 Is that correct? input. 24 It was based on the information from -- that I had 25 been informed about from the April meeting, so I don't

Dickerson	_	Cross	
DICKELSON	_	CLOSS	

1 | --

Q So, my clients' were not present and did not give you personal input when you drafted the IEP for the -- for the May 2017 IEP meeting.

A Yes, that's correct.

Q I'm going to refer you to page four of this report, the second paragraph under "Social Emotional Functioning," the fourth line down. Again, were you aware that my clients' disputed the words "school avoidance" and it was actually her school anxiety?

THE COURT: Are you sure it's page four?

MS. WARSHAW: Well, that's what I -- oh, wait. I'm sorry. Wait, wait. Hang on. Seven -- sorry. It was hidden under my papers.

THE COURT: Page seven.

## BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q Under "Social Emotional Functioning," second paragraph down, fourth line, were you aware that my clients' had indicated to Ms. Wilke that she did not -- that J.H. did not have school avoidance, but she had school anxiety?

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I'm not sure the witness can testify as to conversations between the parents and Ms. Wilke.

MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, she testified that

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 170 of 590 PageID:

Dickerson - Cross 170 she spoke to Ms. Wilke about what had gone on while she 1 2 was on maternity leave. THE COURT: Why don't you ask --3 MS. WARSHAW: I'm asking her if she had 4 5 knowledge of that. 6 THE COURT: Did you discuss that particular section with Ms. Wilke? 7 THE WITNESS: Not that I recall. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 BY MS. WARSHAW: 11 Were you aware that my clients objected to 12 that characterization in this report of "school 13 avoidance" versus "school related anxiety?" I don't believe so, no. 14 15 Also, on the first line of that section, 16 "Social Emotional Functioning," it says, "According to 17 her hospital therapist." Are you -- you testified that 18 you are aware that J.H. was never in a hospital. Is 19 that correct? 20 THE COURT: I'm going to object. Asked and 21 answered. She said she was aware of it. She became 22 aware of it at the May meeting. 23 THE WITNESS: At the May meeting. 24 THE COURT: Per the parents. 25 BY MS. WARSHAW:

171

I'm going to refer you to the second to last 1 Q 2 page in that report, under the section, "Critical Items of Note." Did you ever have any --3 I'm sorry -- where? 4 Α 5 The second to last page, the last line before 6 "Summary and Conclusion," --7 Α Okay. 8 -- where it says, "Sometimes threatens to 9 hurt others." Were you aware or did you have any 10 conversation with Ms. Wilke or anyone else that my 11 client indicated that that was completely false? I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question? 12 13 Okay. So -- all right. At the time that you 14 drafted the IEP for the May 2017 IEP meeting, were you 15 aware or did you have any prior conversations with 16 anyone to indicate that my clients felt that this never 17 occurred, where it says, "Sometimes threatens to hurt 18 others?" 19 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor --20 THE COURT: You've got to let her -- let her ask the question. 21 22 Are you aware that -- I'll ask it in less 23 words. Are you aware that the parents dispute that 24 statement?

THE WITNESS: No, I wasn't aware specifically

1 about that item.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q I'm going to refer you to page four of that same report. Did you feel it was significant that J.H.'s working memory score was only in the 18<sup>th</sup> percentile, in the low average range?

A I mean, it's typical when you do this type of assessment to have some variability. It is a low average range score. Sometimes students, in my experience, that are suffering from anxiety and depression and on medications, we do sometimes see a little bit of a depressed score in that area. It's telling about some of her -- the way she handles various information, but we also had a lot of information about her performance as a student as well.

- Q And did you feel it was significant that her processing speed was in the 34 (sic) percentile?

  A That's an average -- an average score. Compared to other students her age, a score of 94 is within the average range.
- Q Were you aware that -- strike that. Were you concerned at all that J.H.'s score in the block design, a score of nine, was in the low average range? Oh, that's on the next page.

THE COURT: (Out of microphone range) on the

Dickerson - Cross 173 1 next page. 2 THE WITNESS: Well, the -- a score of nine 3 would typically be an average score. It's -- it's 4 slightly lower than the way she performed in the other 5 areas in that -- in that composite, but a score of nine 6 is an average score. 7 BY MS. WARSHAW: 8 Isn't a score of nine in the low average 9 range? 10 It's on the lower end of the average range. Α And isn't it true that J.H. --11 12 Ten would be the midpoint, so it's slightly below 13 the midpoint, but --I'm sorry. I can't hear you. 14 15 A score of 10 is the midpoint, so it's slightly 16 below the midpoint, but there's a standard deviation of 17 three, so anything typically between seven and 13 is an 18 average -- within an average score. So it's slightly 19 lower that the midpoint, but it's within the average 20 range. And were you aware that J.H. scored in the 21 22 low average range for matrix reasoning and digital 23 puzzles? 24 I'm sorry. Are we looking at the same thing?

THE COURT: Top of the page.

Dickerson - Cross 174 1 THE WITNESS: The top of --2 MS. HOWLETT: It's marked "056" at the bottom. 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: At the top of the page, it's in a 4 5 block. 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 7 THE COURT: It says, "Block Design, Matrix." THE WITNESS: I'm looking -- what I'm looking 8 9 at right now, the matrix reasoning, it says the scaled 10 score is 11 and the visual puzzles is 14, both of which 11 are -- 11 is within the average range; the visual 12 puzzles is just on the cusp of the high average range. 13 BY MS. WARSHAW: And were you aware that J.H. scored -- were 14 15 you concerned about J.H. scoring in the low average 16 range for digit span and arithmetic? 17 A score -- again, a score of nine, the arithmetic 18 score, again, that's within the average range on this 19 particular assessment on that day that she was tested, 20 according to the report by Ms. Wilke. But a nine is --21 again, a 10 would be the midpoint and there -- it would be just within -- within the average range. The digit 22 23 span score is in the lower -- low average range. 24 And would you say that J.H.'s score in the 25 symbol search of five was in the low average range?

A Yes.

Q And did any of those concern you?

A Yes. I mean, there is variability, again, with these scores. I mean, it would be typical to have some variability. Those scores are within the low average range and (out of microphone range.) I'd have to go back to the body of her report.

Q And isn't it true that J.H. performed in the high average range for verbal reasoning abilities?

THE COURT: You changed pages?

MS. WARSHAW: No, that's on the same page -the bottom -- the bottom paragraph.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Yes, the verbal comprehension index, she scored a 116, which is within the high average range.

## BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q Okay. Turning to the next page, third paragraph down, starting with the fifth line of the third paragraph down, the third full paragraph. It says, "A weakness in mental control." Can you read that, please?

A "The student's abilities to sustain attention, concentrate, and exert mental control are a weakness relative to her non-verbal and reasoning abilities."

1 And the next line? The next line -- "A Q 2 weakness." "A weakness in -- a weakness in mental control may 3 make the processing of complex information more time 4 5 consuming for J.H., draining her mental energies more 6 quickly, as compared to others at her level of ability 7 and perhaps result in more frequent errors on a variety of learning or complex work tasks." 8 9 Did those statements concern you with regard 10 to J.H.? Yes. And again, sometimes it's not uncommon for a 11 student who is experiencing anxiety to have some 12 13 difficulties concentrating. It's not uncommon for us 14 to see that area slightly depressed sometimes. 15 Was any further testing done by the school 16 district with regard to these scores? 17 No, not to my knowledge. And part of that, I believe it's also --18 19 THE COURT: There's no question. 20 MS. WARSHAW: There's no question. 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. 22 BY MS. WARSHAW: 23 I'm going to refer you to P-26 in the blue 24 In P-26, there are actually two IEPs here. 25 that correct? One has one through 22 and the other one

Cuoo	1056  Dickerson - Cross 177
1	has one through 20 the pages or do you just have
2	the one through 20? I have both.
3	A I have two pages like, a cover page and a sign-
4	in sign-in page, and then I have a whole the IEP.
5	Q Okay. All right. So, I'm going to ask you
6	about these two cover pages.
7	THE COURT: Wait a minute. I don't think I
8	have two cover pages on P-26.
9	MS. WARSHAW: Do you have the first
10	THE COURT: I do, yes.
11	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
12	THE COURT: It's like the third page?
13	MS. WARSHAW: Correct. The first two pages
14	say
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. WARSHAW: one through 22 and it says
17	"Draft" on it.
18	THE COURT: Right, and you got one
19	MS. WARSHAW: And then it says
20	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
21	MS. WARSHAW: The next one says "one through
22	20." Okay, that's what the confusion was, because I
23	have both in my
24	THE COURT: It's in there. It's in the
25	binder. Okay.

Cuoo I	1057  Dickerson - Cross 178
1	MS. WARSHAW: Okay, great.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	BY MS. WARSHAW:
4	Q Okay. So, going to the first page of the P-
5	26, can you tell us what the first two pages are? And
6	they're labeled the first page says "1 out of 22"
7	and the second page says "2 out of 22."
8	A This is a typical cover page for an IEP, the first
9	page.
10	Q And who's listed as the case manager?
11	A Myself, Kendra Dickerson.
12	Q On both pages?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And the date of the IEP meeting on those two
15	pages is what?
16	A 4/6/2017.
17	Q And is the word "Handwritten Draft" on the
18	first page?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. I'm going to refer you to the third
21	page in the exhibit, which is it says, "1/20." Who
22	is listed as the case manager on that?
23	A Sherrie Wilke.
24	Q Okay. And what is the date of the IEP
25	meeting?

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 179 of 590 Page 1 1058   Dickerson - Cross 179
1	A 4/6.
2	Q Okay. And the next page, who is listed as
3	the case manager?
4	A Sherrie Wilke.
5	Q And does this IEP have anything that says
6	"Draft" on it, on that front page?
7	A No.
8	Q Okay. So is it fair to say that the IEP that
9	does not have the word "Draft" on it was the IEP
10	presented at the April $6^{\rm th}$ , 2017 meeting where Sherrie
11	Wilke was the replacement school psychologist?
12	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, the witness
13	testified she wasn't present at the April meeting.
14	THE COURT: I think
15	MS. HOWLETT: And she also testified that she
16	wasn't sure that an IEP was presented at that meeting.
17	THE COURT: I'm going to let her go with this
18	because I want to know.
19	Go ahead. Answer the question, if you know.
20	If you don't know, you don't know.
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
22	BY MS. WARSHAW:
23	Q Okay. But this the IEP that you had
24	drafted for the May 2017 IEP meeting, the only
25	difference was these two pages. Is that correct?

180

1 To my knowledge, an IEP was not presented at Α the -- at the April  $6^{th}$  meeting, so the IEP that's here 2 would be the one that was at the May meeting, to my 3 knowledge. 4 5 What I'm asking you is, when you drafted the 6 IEP, the only change that you made was changing the 7 case manager and writing "Draft" on it. Is that correct? 8 9 No. All of the information had to be inputted 10 into the system and the IEP had to be written out. 11 Okay. So it's your testimony that at the Q April 2017 IEP meeting, no IEP was provided to my 12 13 clients? Not to my knowledge, no. 14 15 THE COURT: I'm going to ask a question, if 16 you don't mind. 17 The IEP we're looking at -- setting aside the 18 two different cover pages and (out of microphone range) 19 pages -- the actual IEP draft itself, you inputted all 20 this information? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 Go ahead. 24 MS. WARSHAW: Okay.

25

BY MS. WARSHAW:

Dickerson - Cross 181 1 I'm going to refer you to page --Q 2 MS. WARSHAW: Sorry, Your Honor. I need to find it. 3 4 BY MS. WARSHAW: I'm going to refer you to page five of 20. 5 6 On the bottom, where it says "Grade Eleven," some of 7 those courses have "BSP" listed next to them. Is that correct? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 Okay. And others do not. 11 Α Yes. 12 Is it your understanding that the courses 13 that do not have "BSP" listed, that J.H. would take those classes in general education classes? 14 15 Yes, yes. 16 So she would have to navigate through the 17 Mendham High School to get to a general ed class for French III, Algebra II, Phys Ed, Health, Band? Is that 18 19 correct? 20 My knowledge is that I believe those courses --21 French III -- were not offered within the BSP, so they 22 could either take it with the mainstream setting or an 23 Educere. Sometimes, Educere is delivered to the 24 classroom. 25 THE COURT: That wasn't -- that wasn't the

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 182 of 590 PageI I 1061
	Dickerson - Cross 182
1	question.
2	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm
3	THE COURT: The question was, did she have to
4	navigate through the school to get to these classrooms?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	BY MS. WARSHAW:
8	Q And I'm going to refer you to page eight out
9	of 20. The first paragraph, it says well, I'm going
10	to use "J.H." "J.H. will continue to take courses at
11	college-prep level in order to work towards completion
12	of graduation." That's not advanced level classes,
13	correct? That's college-prep classes.
14	A It could be academic or above, yeah. It could be
15	advanced as well.
16	Q It could be what? I'm sorry. I didn't hear
17	you.
18	A Advanced, as well.
19	Q But college-prep level, it says, so is that a
20	different level than advanced classes?
21	A College-prep level is considered anything academic
22	level or above, so advanced, honors it could all be
23	considered college-prep.
24	Q Okay. And were you aware when you inputted
25	all this information for this IEP that J.H. was going

Dickerson - Cross 183 1 to be placed in the Behavioral Support Program at 2 Mendham High School, as stated in this IEP? The BSP program, yes. Yes, at Mendham. 3 Α The Behavioral Support Program at Mendham 4 5 High School. At Mendham, yes. 6 7 And you were aware at the time that it was called the Behavioral Support Program? 8 9 To my -- to my knowledge, they were both called 10 BSP, both at Central and at Mendham. At the time, it was my understanding that it was called the Behavioral 11 12 Support Program, but it -- yes, the IEP was for the 13 Mendham High School program. To your knowledge, did there come a time when 14 15 there were independent evaluations done for J.H.? 16 Α Yes. 17 Okay. And do you recall what those were? 18 I believe that resulted from the May meeting and I 19 think it was a psychoeducational report and a psychiatric report. 20 21 And do you recall when you received the 22 actual psychoeducational report? 23 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we're going to 24 object to anything that happened after the -- this is

the subject of our motion to exclude, so I just want to

25

	1063 Dickerson - Cross 184
1	I understand Your Honor's position earlier, but I
2	want to, for the purpose of the record
3	THE COURT: Objection, is noted.
4	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you.
5	THE COURT: I'm going to allow it and I have
6	to decide a motion
7	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: and unfortunately, my daughter
9	got married. Well, fortunately, my daughter got
10	married. I shouldn't say "unfortunately."
11	MS. HOWLETT: Just for the purposes of the
12	record, I understand you're going to allow it.
13	THE COURT: I was out most of the last week,
14	so okay. Proceed.
15	MS. WARSHAW: I'm sorry. I don't remember my
16	question.
17	BY MS. WARSHAW:
18	Q When did you receive the independent
19	psychoeducational report?
20	A I don't recall exactly when it was received, but I
21	believe it's on the document. It was faxed over.
22	Q Okay. I'm going to refer you to what's been
23	marked P-32 in the blue binder, starting with the
24	second page. Do you recognize this document?
25	A Yes.

Guod 2	1064  Dickerson - Cross 185
1	Q Okay. And isn't it true that the date of
2	this report is August 17 <sup>th</sup> , 2017 I'm sorry August
3	21 <sup>st</sup> , 2017?
4	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, the date speaks for
5	itself.
6	THE COURT: That's all right.
7	THE WITNESS: The report is dated August $21^{\rm st}$ ,
8	2017.
9	(P-32 Marked for
10	Identification)
11	BY MS. WARSHAW:
12	Q So is it fair to say that you received a copy
13	of this report in August of 2017?
14	A August or when I returned in September.
15	Q Okay.
16	A This was the end of the month.
17	Q Is it fair to say that this report was
18	available to the school district and to my clients
19	prior to the start of school for the 2017/2018 school
20	year?
21	A I don't know when it I don't know when it was
22	received.
23	Q Are you aware that that Dr. Schubert
24	(phonetic) diagnosed J.H. with a specific learning
25	disability in this report?

186 Dickerson - Cross 1 Yes, I've read it in this report. Α 2 And is it fair to say that, following receipt of this report, the school district did not schedule 3 4 any type of IEP meeting? 5 Α To my knowledge, no, they did not. Is it fair to say that, following receipt of 6 7 this report and the diagnosis of specific learning disability, that the school district did not amend the 8 9 IEP? 10 Α That's correct. 11 Is it fair to say that the school district, 12 after receipt of this report of specific learning 13 disability, did not consider changing J.H.'s proposed IEP or classification? 14 15 Α That's correct. 16 I'm going to refer you to what's been marked 17 P-33 for identification. Have you seen this report 18 before? 19 Yes. Α 20 Okay. Isn't it true this is the independent 21 psychiatric report by Dr. Ellen Platt? 22 Α Yes. 23 (P-33 Marked for 24 Identification) 25 Okay. And it's dated September 6th, 2017. Is

Dickerson - Cross 187 1 that correct? 2 Α Yes. 3 THE COURT: Your objection is noted, Ms. 4 Howlett. MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 6 BY MS. WARSHAW: 7 And isn't it true that you had correspondence with my clients, via e-mail, regarding obtaining a copy 8 9 of this report in August of 2017? I don't recall specifically. 10 11 Okay. And if you look at the bottom of the 12 page -- it's upside down -- but isn't it true that 13 there is a fax date of September 14<sup>th</sup>, 2017? 14 Α Yes. 15 And were you -- did you read this report? 16 Α Yes. 17 Okay. And isn't it true that on page 11 of 18 this report, the top paragraph, it indicates that, 19 "J.H. remains exceedingly emotionally fragile and the 20 probability of her attending her school is extremely 21 low at this time?" 22 Α Yes. 23 And isn't it true that, following receipt of 24 this report, the school district did not schedule an 25 IEP meeting to amend the IEP?

Dickerson - Cross 188 1 Not to my knowledge, no. Α 2 And isn't it true that the school district did not take this report into consideration in 3 4 determining an appropriate classification or placement 5 of J.H.? 6 Α Yes. 7 Yes? Can you clarify what that means? THE COURT: She answered "Yes" to your 8 9 question. BY MS. WARSHAW: 10 11 0 Is it -- is it true that --12 THE COURT: They did not take it into 13 consideration. BY MS. WARSHAW: 14 15 They did not take into consideration. 16 Α Yes. 17 Okay. So is it fair to say that the District 18 paid for two independent evaluations and they did not 19 take either one into consideration when deciding what 20 would be appropriate for J.H. for her placement for the 21 2017/2018 school year? 22 Α Yes. 23 I'm going to refer you to what's been marked P-29 in the blue binder. Can you tell the Court what 24 25 this is, the first page?

Dickerson - Cross 189 1 It's an e-mail from -- from the parent regarding Α 2 those reports. 3 (P-29 Marked for 4 Identification) 5 And what's the date of this e-mail? Friday, August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2017. 6 Α And isn't it true that this e-mail is from my 7 client to you --8 9 Α Yes. 10 -- requesting -- requesting the results of 11 Dr. Schubert's independent psychoeducational evaluation 12 report? 13 Α Yes. And isn't it true that my client is 14 15 requesting, on August  $25^{th}$ , 2017, prior to the 2017/1816 school year starting, to call her "as school is almost 17 here and we need to discuss what is best for J.H.?" 18 Α Yes. 19 And then, the last -- isn't it true that this 20 e-mail also states, from my client to you, that she 21 would call you again to try to contact you that morning 22 because they would like to make a decision as soon as 23 possible? 24 Α Yes.

And did you understand that that decision was

25

Ouse 2	1069  Dickerson - Cross 190
1	whether or not J.H. was going to attend school in the
2	district?
3	A I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
4	Q Did you understand that that e-mail was
5	regarding whether or not my clients were going to send
6	J.H. to the school district for the 2017/2018 school
7	year?
8	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, that's completely
9	speculative.
10	MS. WARSHAW: Well, I'm asking her
11	understanding of what this e-mail was.
12	MS. HOWLETT: She's asking the witness to
13	speculate as to what the intention of the parent was
14	when she sent the e-mail.
15	MS. WARSHAW: No, I'm asking her what her
16	understanding of as to what my client said to her.
17	MS. HOWLETT: The e-mail speaks for itself.
18	THE COURT: Yeah, the e-mail does speak for
19	it's clear to me, the parent wants to have a
20	conversation about the report, so
21	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Thank you.
22	THE COURT: that's what it says. We don't
23	need to go further than that. I got it.
24	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
25	BY MS. WARSHAW:

Dickerson - Cross

191

1 I'm going to refer you to the next page. Q 2 you tell us what the first e-mail is and who -- who got 3 a copy of that? The first e-mail is directed to Mr. Cusack, the 4 Α 5 guidance counselor. It's from the student's parents. 6 I was cc'd on that, so I would have seen the e-mail as 7 well. Can you speak up? I'm sorry; it's hard to 8 9 hear you. 10 I'm sorry. So, the first e-mail is an e-mail to Α 11 the student's quidance counselor from her parents and I was cc'd on that, so I would have seen it as well. 12 13 Okay. And what's the date of that e-mail? Friday, September 8<sup>th</sup>, 2017. 14 15 Okay. And that's prior to the school 16 district starting school or is that the day the school 17 district started for 2017/2018 school year? I believe it was about a week in. I believe we 18 19 started on August 28<sup>th</sup>. 20 Okay. And can you read what that says? The e-mail? 21 Α 22 Q Yes. 23 "Hi, Joe. In the phone conversation I had with Α you and Kendra back on August 25th, you indicated that 24 25 J.H. would be coming back to West Morris as a general

Dickerson - Cross

192 education student with a 504. We were confused at the 1 2 time, since she was already determined to be eliqible for special services with the previously proposed IEP. 3 Since then, I have spoken to Kendra and she indicated 4 5 that the team is waiting for the results from Dr. 6 Platt's office to schedule a new IEP. Can you please 7 supply us with the proposed plan for J.H. in writing? Thank you. Regards." 8 9 So is it your understanding that my clients 10 were still trying to schedule a new IEP based on the 11 independent evaluation results? 12 THE COURT: Let me answer. Of course they 13 were. That's what it said. 14 MS. WARSHAW: I want her understanding of 15 It's important because, obviously, there's a 16 miscommunication. 17 THE COURT: There seems to be. 18 MS. WARSHAW: So I'd like --19 THE COURT: Answer the question. MS. WARSHAW: -- to make sure her (out of 20 microphone range.) 21 THE COURT: You know what? You convinced me 22 23 to be quiet. 24 Go ahead. Answer the question. 25 THE WITNESS: My understanding at the time was

Dickerson - Cross 193 1 they hadn't agreed to the initial IEP, so she still 2 would have been considered a general education student with the previously proposed 504 plan at that time --3 4 yeah. 5 BY MS. WARSHAW: 6 And was it your understanding that my client 7 was requesting a new IEP? 8 Α Yes. 9 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, that's not -- that's not what the e-mail says. 10 11 THE COURT: Well, it's --12 THE WITNESS: I think they were asking for the 13 504. THE COURT: When there's an objection and the 14 15 lawyers are talking, you really shouldn't be answering 16 anything because I have to decide whether I'm going to 17 let you. 18 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, the e-mail speaks 19 for itself and the parent is not requesting --20 THE COURT: Yeah. The e-mail says --21 MS. HOWLETT: We're speculating. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we're not 23 speculating. They asked for a new IEP -- to schedule a 24 new IEP. 25 MS. HOWLETT: They --

Gusc	1073  Dickerson - Cross 194
1	THE COURT: That's what it says.
2	MS. HOWLETT: No, that's not. It says, "She
3	indicated," which means Kendra, "indicated that the
4	team is waiting for results from Dr. Platt's office to
5	schedule a new IEP." But there's a pretty important
6	distinction there
7	THE COURT: There is.
8	MS. HOWLETT: between requesting an IEP
9	meeting and summarizing a previous conversation with
10	Ms. Dickerson.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And the question asked was?
12	MS. WARSHAW: Was her understanding that my
13	clients were requesting a new IEP, based on the fact
14	that there were independent evaluations?
15	THE COURT: You can answer that. I'll allow
16	that. Yes or no?
17	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't really
18	THE COURT: You don't know?
19	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I just I'm having
20	a hard time understanding the
21	THE COURT: Yeah, I agree.
22	THE WITNESS: Because there's a couple
23	different things involved here.
24	THE COURT: I'm going to boil it down. If I
25	misstate it, stop me.

Cusc 2	1074  Dickerson - Cross  195
1	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
2	THE COURT: The question is, was it your
3	understanding that the parents were requesting a new
4	IEP?
5	THE WITNESS: From this e-mail?
6	THE COURT: From this e-mail.
7	THE WITNESS: It was my understand they were
8	looking for copies of either the 504 plan or the
9	proposed IEP.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	THE WITNESS: And my understanding at the time
12	was that we were under we were working with legal
13	counsel, so the next IEP meeting would be scheduled
14	through the attorneys.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding.
17	THE COURT: That's your answer.
18	BY MS. WARSHAW:
19	Q And just to be clear, there was no other IEP
20	meeting that was ever scheduled for J.H. Is that
21	correct?
22	A Not to my knowledge, no.
23	Q I'm going to refer you back to R-16, what's
24	been marked "WM058" that page.
25	THE COURT: I'm sorry, Ms. Warshaw WMO

	1075 Dickerson - Cross 196
1	MS. WARSHAW: Five eight.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	THE WITNESS: Where?
4	MS. WARSHAW: The black binder.
5	THE WITNESS: The other binder? Sorry.
6	MS. WARSHAW: Sorry. It's a little confusing.
7	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. What
8	MS. HOWLETT: Tab 16.
9	THE COURT: Ms. Wilke's report.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11	THE COURT: Turn to the page that's marked
12	"WM058" and (out of microphone range.)
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	BY MS. WARSHAW:
15	Q This report refers to the B-A-S-C-2, the
16	BASC. Isn't it true that this is a subjective test and
17	it's subject to interpretation?
18	A It is a standardized questionnaire, so there are
19	scores that correlate with with specific categories.
20	Q But these questionnaires are answered by
21	individuals and not based on what the choices are
22	provided is that correct in the test?
23	A It's a questionnaire that's filled out by in
24	this case, it was the parents and the student.
25	Q Okay. So their responses are subjective,
	ı

Dickerson - Cross 197 1 based on their own positions, correct? 2 Yes, their perspective, yes. 3 And were you aware that J.H. was in the school at the time that she was taking this test? 4 5 THE COURT: In the school physically or 6 attending the school? 7 BY MS. WARSHAW: Physically -- physically in the school. 8 9 Physically came in for the assessment. 10 And were you aware that, that day, that she 11 was experiencing anxiety as a result of being in that 12 school that day? 13 I wasn't present. Α 14 Can anxiety affect the answers on the BASC-2? 15 Α Yes. 16 Do you know when the name changed at the 17 Mendham High School from Behavioral Support Program to 18 Being Successful Program? 19 I don't know when exactly it changed. I don't 20 know. 21 You had indicated that the reward system was 22 stronger at the Mendham High School Behavioral Support 23 Program. Is that correct? 24 Α Yes. 25 So, is it true that students would get points

Ouse 2	1077  Dickerson - Cross / Colloquy 198
1	for showing up and staying awake in class?
2	A I would need to refer to the document, but there
3	is, yes, set criteria. I know attendance is part of
4	that, yes.
5	Q And to your knowledge, did J.H. have any
6	disciplinary issues?
7	A Not to my knowledge.
8	Q And you were at the meeting, the May $16^{\rm th}$ ,
9	2017 IEP meeting where Dr. David Leigh attended. Is
10	that correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. Were you aware that he indicated that
13	the Purnell School was a good option for J.H. to look
14	at?
15	A As I as I recall, I think he said that out of
16	some of the ones that you had you had mentioned
17	you were present and mentioned several placements. I
18	believe he said it was something to consider.
19	MS. WARSHAW: Can I have a moment, please,
20	Your Honor?
21	THE COURT: Sure.
22	MS. WARSHAW: I think we're done. Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	Do you have any redirect?
25	MS. HOWLETT: I do, Your Honor. I'll try

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 199 of 590 PageID:

Colloquy / Dickerson - Redirect THE COURT: We're going to take -- I need five 1 2 minutes. MS. HOWLETT: Sure, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay? 4 5 MS. HOWLETT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: I need -- just so you know -- I 7 need to go check my blood sugar. I don't want to pass out on the bench. 8 9 (BRIEF RECESS) 10 THE COURT: Okay, we're back on the record 11 after a brief break. We're about to start redirect, 12 correct? 13 MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor. I will, again, 14 try and be brief. 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT: 16 Ms. Dickerson, counsel asked you, Q 17 extensively, questions about Ms. Wilke's report. Did you, in addition to Ms. Wilke's report, did you also 18 19 review the correspondence that came directly from 20 ICCPC? 21 Α Yes. 22 And that documentation, did it talk about the 23 or at least refer to the partial care program that she 24 was -- that she had attended -- that J.H. had attended? 25 Α Yes.

200 1 To your knowledge, had the parents submitted Q 2 any written objection to Ms. Wilke's report? Not to my knowledge. 3 Α Did you receive anything from the parents in 4 5 writing, requesting that an amendment be made to the 6 report? 7 Α That was discussed at the May meeting. I remember a discussion. I didn't see any formalized document for 8 9 that, no. 10 So did you receive anything in writing? 11 Α No. 12 And to your knowledge, has anyone at the 13 district received any such writing from the parents? Not to my knowledge, no. 14 Α No. 15 Counsel asked you about subtests on the -- in 16 Ms. Wilke's report. Can you just tell us, generally, 17 how do you consider subtests when you're reviewing, you 18 know, a psychological assessment or when you conduct a 19 psychological assessment? 20 Subtests are individual, brief -- brief tasks that 21 a student -- that a student does. They measure very 22 specific things, and in most cases, two or three 23 subtests are required to get a composite score, to look 24 at a particular area.

So, when you reviewed Ms. Wilke's report,

25

were the results -- were J.H.'s results on the subtests a red flag to you?

A There was one with a score of five that was a bit of a red flag. I'd have to -- could I refer to that one? I mean, that would be --

Q You can. I mean, I'm really asking you generally about -- about the subtests and what your impression was on the report.

A Uh huh.

Q You know, more than revisiting it.

A Yeah, typically, there is some variability amongst a report. It's not uncommon to see some variations.

(Out of microphone range) is slightly different. Most of those scores were within the average to above average range, with a few that were a little bit on the lower end, and then that one particular low score.

Q So, generally, would a single low subtest be cause for concern?

A Not usually, no.

Q Going to the IEP and the proposed program and the modifications and accommodations -- everything that's incorporated within that -- the related services, were there -- was there anything in the IEP that was an attempt to address concerns related to J.H.'s potential difficulty concentrating or attention

issues that might have been related to her anxiety?

the issues of anxiety.

A Yeah. I mean, the program in general is a smaller class setting. Things like frequent breaks, things like extended time, options to see, you know, counselors -- access -- all of that is to help address

Q Counsel asked you questions about the proposed course offerings and I believe you testified that the -- I don't have the courses in front of me, but that some of the -- I think it was French, maybe Algebra, were offered in a general education setting. Is that -- was that your testimony?

A Yes, yes.

Q You also mentioned -- you also testified that in addition to the general education setting, a student could be provided with Educere. Is that --

Q And what is Educere, just for the record?

A Educere is an online delivery system for courses that can be brought to the BSP room if a student is feeling anxiety in a larger class setting. They can do it online with assistance in the BSP classroom.

Q So if a student is receiving certain coursework via Educere, they wouldn't necessarily have to navigate through the high school.

# Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 203 of 590 PageID:

<b>J</b> u30 2	1082   Dickerson - Redirect 203
1	A Yes.
2	THE COURT: Can you spell Educere?
3	MS. WARSHAW: E-D-I-C-E-R-E.
4	THE COURT: A little louder.
5	MS. WARSHAW: E-D-I-C-E-R-E.
6	MS. HOWLETT: E-D-U
7	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: E-D-U-C-E-R-E.
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: E-D-U
10	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: C-E-R-E.
11	MS. WARSHAW: C-E-R-E.
12	MS. HOWLETT: C-E-R-E.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.
14	BY MS. HOWLETT:
15	Q I am going to turn your attention it's the
16	blue binder. It's P-32. It's Dr. Schubert's report
17	and correspondence. Can you just turn to the first
18	page of
19	A I'm sorry. What number?
20	Q P-32. And you're in blue, right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Yeah. Did you review this letter from Dr.
23	Schubert that's dated December 13 <sup>th</sup> ? It's the first
24	page of the exhibit.
25	A Yes.

204

1 And she says, "The evaluation concluded." Q 2 Can you just -- well, you don't have to read all, like, the -- but can you summarize what she was concluding? 3 She was concluding that J.H. meets the diagnostic 4 5 criteria within the DSM-IV for a specific learning 6 disorder with impairment in mathematics, specifically, with fluent calculation, moderate. 7 Does a DSM diagnosis always result in a 8 Q 9 classification for special education? 10 Α No. 11 Can you have a diagnosis without meeting the 12 criteria for special education under the Code? 13 Α Yes. Did you have Dr. Schubert's report when you 14 15 proposed the -- when you proposed the IEP at the May 16 IEP meeting? 17 Α No. To your knowledge, is the District permitted 18 19 to implement an initial IEP without parental consent? 20 No. Α 21 I'm just going to turn you to P-29. That was 22 the e-mail correspondence that counsel referred you to 23 earlier. 24 Twenty-nine? Α 25 Yeah, it's exhibit 29, but I think it's the

Dickerson - Redirect 205 1 second page. 2 THE COURT: The blue binder? MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor, same binder. 3 4 BY MS. HOWLETT: 5 This is the e-mail you guys talked about

> Α Yes.

at this?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Just bringing you back. Counsel asked you and had read portions of the parents' e-mail at the top. Can you please read the first line of Mr.

before that we kind of disputed. Do you recall looking

Cusack's response? I believe it's right below.

Yes. Mr. Cusack wrote, "Hello, Mr. and Mrs. H. I Α am not sure if you were referring to the proposed IEP or the 504 plan. If you need a copy of any IEP proposal, Kendra would have that documentation. I have attached a copy of the 504 plan that we developed last December when J.H. was returning to school. This plan would still be in effect for the 2017/18 school year here at West Morris Central."

That's good. Thank you. And did the parent respond? Does it appear that the parent responded to that?

Yes, the chain just continues. "Hi, Joe. Thank you for the information. Regards."

Dickerson - Redirect / Recross 206 1 And in that e-mail from the parent, did --2 did she request an IEP meeting? 3 Not in this e-mail, to my knowledge. Counsel asked you questions about what 4 5 transpired at the May IEP meeting. Uh huh. 6 Α 7 Specifically, some things that Dr. Leigh had referred to. 8 9 Α Uh huh. 10 To your recollection, at the meeting, did Dr. 11 Leigh discuss the BSP program at Mendham? 12 Α Yes. 13 Was it spoken about at length? 14 Α Yes. 15 Is the director of special services a member 16 of the child study team? 17 Not -- no, not usually. 18 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, that's all the 19 questions I have. 20 MS. WARSHAW: Of course. 21 THE COURT: The record doesn't reflect I just 22 stared at Ms. Warshaw and she's smiling. 23 Go ahead. Recross. 24 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW: 25 I'm going to refer you back to P-29, on the

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 207 of 590 Page 1086
	Dickerson - Recross 207
1	e-mail from Mr. Cusack to my clients, dated September
2	11 <sup>th</sup> , 2017. It's the second page of that exhibit. Were
3	you aware that the that Mr. Cusack indicated that a
4	504 plan is typically in effect for only one year at a
5	time?
6	A I'm sorry, can
7	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor
8	THE COURT: She wasn't here.
9	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. I'll rephrase it.
10	BY MS. WARSHAW:
11	Q Are you aware that 504 plans are typically
12	only in effect for one year at a time?
13	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, is this related to
14	redirect?
15	THE COURT: I don't think so. It's regarding
16	the
17	MS. WARSHAW: You just asked
18	THE COURT: At least, ostensibly, it's related
19	to the e-mail that Cusack sent.
20	MS. WARSHAW: Well, she just read it into
21	and
22	THE COURT: Yeah, I get that, but the I get
23	it.

The question is, are you -- okay -- are you aware that a 504 plan is usually just for one year?

Dickerson - Recross 208 THE WITNESS: It's usually updated yearly, but 1 2 it's not -- it can be up to three years. THE COURT: Okay. There's your answer. 3 BY MS. WARSHAW: 4 5 And were you aware that the 504 plan that was 6 issued in December of 2016 was going to be the same 504 7 plan in effect for the 2017/2018 school year without any modifications or updates? 8 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, now we're definitely 9 10 off of the scope. THE COURT: Yeah, we're off the scope. 11 12 MS. WARSHAW: Well, she was reading this in 13 and I think this is important to distinguish the difference. 14 15 THE COURT: Well, you asked her if that was 16 the plan that was going to be in effect for the 17 following school year. 18 MS. WARSHAW: I didn't get an answer from that 19 one. 20 THE COURT: Well, that's because you got an objection. I'm not going to have her answer. 21 22 BY MS. WARSHAW: 23 What is the typical procedure for reviewing -

- I'm sorry. What is the typical procedure for the child study team once they receive new information

24

25

Dickerson - Recross 209

1 about a student?

A I'm sorry. I don't really understand your question.

Q Okay. Once an IEP is proposed and new information such as independent evaluations are done and they say something different, what is the procedure for the school district, typically, to address those changes?

A It -- there wasn't a typical procedure. My understanding was that there was already legal counsel, so it wouldn't -- that would be -- I'm not -- I'm honestly not sure.

THE COURT: You're not sure because counsel was involved or you're not sure as a general -- as a general statement?

THE WITNESS: I mean, it depends on the results of the assessments and if they -- I guess I just -- I'm not really sure what would have been the next -- I don't know.

#### BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q At the May 2017 IEP meeting which you attended, you just testified that you talked about Educere. Isn't it true that Dr. David Leigh had indicated that J.H. could take gym class, like volleyball rules and stuff, online?

Dickerson - Recross 210

1 Α Yes. 2 Isn't it true that in the Behavioral Support Program at the Mendham High School, J.H. would not be 3 able to have science labs or anything like that? She 4 5 would have to go to the general education classes to do 6 that. 7 I believe she was involved with physics. I would have to -- I would have to look. I think that was one 8 9 of the courses she was doing on Educere, and if she 10 wanted to join that, at that time of the year, it would 11 have been within a mainstream setting or to continue on 12 Educere. Environmental Science was offered within the 13 BSP program. 14 She wasn't taking environmental science. Is 15 that correct? 16 THE COURT: That wasn't the question. The 17 question was, if she had to take a lab --18 THE WITNESS: A lab, yes. 19 THE COURT: -- would she have to do that in 20 the classroom? 21 THE WITNESS: I believe a lab would have to be 22 on Educere or in a classroom. 23 BY MS. WARSHAW: 24 A general education classroom. Is that

25

correct?

### Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 211 of 590 PageID:

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 211 of 590 PageII 1090  Dickerson - Recross / Colloquy 211
1	A Yes.
2	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, at this time, I'd
3	just like to enter the evidence that we have been
4	discussing.
5	THE COURT: Sure.
6	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
7	THE COURT: Let's go through them.
8	MS. WARSHAW: P-29.
9	THE COURT: Which is?
10	THE WITNESS: The e-mail correspondence that
11	we've been going through.
12	THE COURT: Any objection?
13	MS. HOWLETT: The only problem with the e-mail
14	just the first two pages of P-29.
15	THE COURT: What about them? P-29, is it just
16	the first two pages? Actually, the 504 plan is
17	referenced as an attachment to Mr. Cusack's e-mail, so
18	that does kind of make it complete.
19	MS. HOWLETT: (Out of microphone range), Your
20	Honor, but I do see what you're saying about the
21	attachment. No objection, Your Honor. Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	(P-29 Entered into
24	Evidence)
25	MS. WARSHAW: We talked about P-32, which was
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	1091 Colloquy 212
1	both of Dr. Schubert's reports.
2	MS. HOWLETT: No objection, Your Honor.
3	Except for the previously objected
4	THE COURT: Right.
5	MS. HOWLETT: due to the scope and date.
6	THE COURT: Right.
7	(P-32 Entered into
8	Evidence)
9	MS. WARSHAW: P-33, Dr. Platt's report.
10	THE COURT: Same objection.
11	MS. HOWLETT: Same. Thank you, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: I'm going to allow it in and when
13	I decide, I'll reference it in the decision. If I
14	decide not to allow it, then I'll strike it. But right
15	now, it's in with that objection noted.
16	MS. HOWLETT: Understood, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay?
18	(P-33 Entered into
19	Evidence)
20	MS. WARSHAW: R-37, I'm not sure was put in or
21	not.
22	THE COURT: I'll tell you in a second. R-37
23	is in.
24	MS. WARSHAW: It's in already. Let's see. R-
25	27, I believe is already in.

### Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 213 of 590 PageID:

Case	2.19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 213 01590 Page 1 1092 Colloquy 213
1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MS. WARSHAW: P-26, the IEPs.
3	MS. HOWLETT: That's already in.
4	THE COURT: Already in.
5	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. R-16 is in, right?
6	THE COURT: Uh huh.
7	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. I think we're done.
8	THE COURT: I'm just going through my notes to
9	double check here. Yeah, I think you're right. I
10	think we've covered them all.
11	Okay, you can step down. Thank you.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Next witness.
14	MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor. We just have
15	one more witness scheduled for today and I think (out
16	of microphone range.)
17	(Whereupon witness exits the courtroom)
18	THE COURT: And you two need to call Diana and
19	schedule dates.
20	MS. HOWLETT: I think we responded via e-mail.
21	THE COURT: Okay. I have one date left, I
22	think.
23	MS. WARSHAW: Which date was that because
24	there was we have experts that need to be scheduled
25	and

1	THE COURT: I have a limited number of dates
2	as you both know. If your experts can't make it when
3	I'm available, I don't know what else to do. I'm not
4	trying to tell anybody that you can't have your
5	experts, but you know, I'm not coming in on Saturday.
6	I'm making a joke. You know I have committed dates.
7	Plus, you get a hold of two during the week and you
8	can't schedule anything on Thursday, that gives me two
9	days in a week I can schedule EDS cases and I have a
LO	pretty substantial calendar without EDS. So, that's
L1	the beast. I read the report. Did you read the report
L2	about how bad we are at doing EDS?
L3	MS. WARSHAW: I wrote part of that report,
L 4	yes.
L5	THE COURT: You left out the part about how
L 6	counsel keep asking for adjournments.
L7	MS. WARSHAW: No, that
L 8	THE COURT: I'm not pointing fingers at
L 9	anybody in this room, but
20	MS. WARSHAW: I think that's very true.
21	THE COURT: That's a substantial problem.
22	MS. WARSHAW: That is in the report, too.
23	THE COURT: (Out of microphone range) discuss
24	that on the record
25	MS. WARSHAW: We definitely addressed that.

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 215 of 590 PageII
	Colloquy 215
1	THE COURT: but it might as well be on the
2	record.
3	MS. WARSHAW: (Out of microphone range), yeah,
4	absolutely.
5	THE COURT: Ms. Howlett, before we just
6	convolute the record completely with our thoughts about
7	how poorly we do EDS here, go get your other witness.
8	MS. HOWLETT: Okay. Thank you.
9	MS. WARSHAW: Who is it?
10	MS. HOWLETT: Tracy Costa.
11	(Whereupon Ms. Howlett exits the courtroom)
12	MS. WARSHAW: Tracy who?
13	THE COURT: Costo, I believe she said.
14	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Costa, C-O-S-T-A.
15	THE COURT: Costa.
16	(Whereupon Ms. Howlett and witness enter the courtroom)
17	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
18	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Costa. How
19	are you?
20	THE WITNESS: I'm well. How are you?
21	THE COURT: Thank you. I'm fine. Have a
22	seat.
23	THE WITNESS: Okay. I didn't want to sit
24	unless I was supposed to.

THE COURT: Raise your right hand.

Costa - Direct 216 1 TRACY COSTA, RESPONDENT'S WITNESS, SWORN. 2 THE COURT: State your name. Spell your last 3 name. THE WITNESS: Tracy Costa, C-O-S-T-A. 4 5 THE COURT: Go ahead. 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT: 7 Hi, Ms. Costa. How are you doing? I'm well. How are you? 8 9 Good. We just need to establish for the 10 record a little bit about your background. 11 Α Okay. So I just have some really general questions. 12 13 Your position and place of employment? 14 I work at West Morris Mendham High School as a 15 school social worker. 16 And how long have you held that position? 17 Thirteen years. And what are some of your general duties as a 18 19 school social worker? 20 I am a case manager, where I manage students that are classified for special education and related 21 22 services. I provide school-based counseling for 23 students, I provide crisis counseling for students, collaboration with teachers. 24

And so, you said you -- you testified you've

25

Costa - Direct 217 1 been doing that for 13 years. What did you do before 2 that? Before that, I worked at Family Intervention 3 Services as a crisis counselor and supervisor. I used 4

to go into people's homes and provide therapy once they were screened but not found in need of hospitalization for psychiatric reasons.

- And how long did you do that for?
- I did that for five and a half years.

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

- 10 And do you remember what you did before that?
- 11 Before that, I was in undergraduate school and I 12 worked in a group home for developmentally disabled 13 adults.
- 14 And where did you go to school?
- 15 I graduated Kean University for my undergrad and 16 Fordham University for my grad.
- 17 And what certs or degrees do you hold from 18 those schools?
  - I have a bachelor's of social work and a master's of social work and I'm a certified school social worker.
- 22 So, as far as licenses, any --
- 23 A licensed social worker. Α
- 24 And anything else?
- 25 I think that's about it. Α

Cusc 2	13 67 1440	1097 Costa - Direct 218
1		THE COURT: That's enough.
2		THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think so.
3	BY MS	S. HOWLETT:
4		Q In your role at Mendham High School, do you
5	work	in the BSP program?
6	А	Yes.
7		Q And how long have you been part of the BSP
8	progi	ram?
9	А	Since it was created.
10		Q Do you remember when that was?
11	А	My best guess would probably be about six, seven
12	years	s ago.
13		Q There's been a lot of discussion about the
14	name	of the program
15	А	Yes.
16		Q at Mendham High School.
17	А	Yes.
18		Q What's the current name of the program?
19	А	The Being Successful Program.
20		Q Did it have a was it previously referred
21	to as	s something else?
22	А	Yes.
23		Q And what was that?
24	А	Behavioral Support Program.
25		Q And when do you recall when the name

Costa - Direct 219 1 changed? 2 No, I do not recall. The best of my -- maybe 3 three years ago. 4 Was it changed prior to the 16/17 school 5 year? 6 Α Yes. 7 Not to reiterate, but -- so, during the 16/17 school year, was it referred to as the Being Successful 8 9 Program? 10 Α Yes. 11 Is it important, what it's called? 12 The name changed; the services did not change. 13 Can you talk a little bit about what the 14 services are, just generally, and then we can get more 15 specific in a minute. 16 It is to provide an environment for students that 17 might be having a difficult time in the mainstream 18 classes for social emotional reasons. They might have 19 difficulties with the size of classes, the delivery of 20 academic material, as well as individualizing the 21 academics to provide them services where, if we have to 22 stop an English class to address a social emotional 23 issue without it hindering their progress academically

Do all the students in the BSP program --

or socially and emotionally.

24

25

	1099 Costa - Direct 220
1	(out of microphone range) BSP program at Mendham have
2	disciplinary issues?
3	A As a whole, no.
4	Q Are there students that attend the BSP that
5	do not have disciplinary issues?
6	A Yes.
7	Q There's a black binder in front of you.
8	A Yes.
9	Q Can you please open it to number 12, exhibit
10	number 12?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Have you seen this document before?
13	A I have.
14	Q Do you know who drafted this document?
15	A I do not.
16	Q But you have reviewed it?
17	A Absolutely.
18	Q To your knowledge as being part of the BSP
19	for the past seven years, is this document reflective
20	of the program that's offered in the BSP?
21	A Yes.
22	(R-12 Marked for
23	Identification)
24	Q If you turn to the second page of the
25	document, which is marked "WM043" at the bottom

Costa - Direct 221 1 Α Yes. 2 -- it describes the target population. 3 Α Yes. You don't have to read it verbatim 4 5 necessarily -- you can use some of your own words --6 but can you describe what the target population of the 7 BSP is? It's really for the students that have social 8 9 emotional anxiety, depression, social anxiety, have a 10 difficult time maybe sustaining a whole class, coming to school on a regular basis or on time, so they may 11 12 have a lot of tardies or absences. 13 Does the target population include students Q. 14 that suffer from major depressive disorder? 15 Α Yes. 16 What about school-related anxiety? 17 Α Yes. And I'm sorry. Before, you mentioned 18 19 attendance issues. 20 Α Yes. Is that one of the target populations BSP is 21 22 designed to --23 Α Yes. So the word "behavioral" is referred to in 24 25 here --

Costa - Direct 222

A Uh huh.

Q -- in the document, generally. Is "behavioral" designed to refer specifically to disciplinary issues or can it refer to other emotional issues?

A It really covers a large gamut of diagnoses and, for the lack of better words, behaviors we may see.

So, behaviors might be resistance to coming to school, it could be not completing homework, to shutting down a class. "Behaviors" has a wide range of descriptors.

Q And if you just flip to, I think, the next page -- yeah -- "044" at the bottom. It talks about staffing. Can you describe what sort of staff are in the BSP?

A So, we have three full-time teachers, so the teacher that is highly qualified in a certain academic area teaches. We also have a paraprofessional, an aide, in each class that we have, as well as myself, that provides school-based counseling, as well as some of the other case managers that do school-based counseling as well.

- Q And how many students are in BSP?
- 23 A Currently, we have 25.
  - Q Do you know what the ratio is in the room?
- 25 A I do not know.

Costa - Direct

223

1 Is it always around 25 students or does it Q 2 fluctuate? 3 Α We fluctuate. And is the BSP contained in a single 4 5 classroom or is their multiple? Can you just paint the 6 picture for us of what it looks like? 7 We have two classrooms that are adjoined by a 8 shared door, so we'll have two sections possibly going 9 on at the same time. We also utilize adaptive PE, so, 10 obviously, that's in another room as well. 11 Can students receive advanced placement 12 classes in BSP? 13 Not in BSP; outside of BSP. Α 14 Outside of BSP meaning --15 In the mainstream -- in the mainstream classes. 16 And what if a student is struggling with 17 being in the mainstream? 18 What happens is, they are assigned a responsible 19 teacher within the special education department. That 20 teacher then tracks that student and is in constant 21 communication with all teachers involved with that 22 student. So, whether that student's teacher is in the 23 BSP program, as well as outside -- so we can provide 24 supports in and outside of the program. 25 Can the BSP adapt to any academic level to

meet an individual student's needs?

- A To provide extra assistance and things of that nature? I'm just trying to get a clarification.
- Q Well, I guess both ways. I guess the question is, once the student's academic needs are identified in an IEP, do you review that as part of a student coming into BSP?

A Yes.

Q Is the BSP adapted -- can the BSP adapt academically to make academics either less or more challenging --

A Yes.

- Q -- depending on the student's needs?

  A So, we have really mainly two levels of classes within the BSP, so we have studies and we have academic, which is a college-prep level. So, the students are taught the material, but their assessments and what they produce is different. So, the teachers that are -- the teachers are asking those students for one set of work for studies and one set of academic, just like the mainstream.
- Q And we had some earlier -- strike that, Your Honor. If a student is, like you indicated, if a student is struggling going into their mainstream classes, as part of the BSP, are they offered some sort

of accommodation to meet that --

A Sometimes they are unable to attend a mainstream class for a lot of different reasons. It could be something from home; it could be something that happened in school. So, myself, along with the teachers, will address that. Maybe that day, they didn't end up going to class because we had to address an issue and we have to address that issue for them to be successful in the academic area, so there are times they might miss class. But then, the communication is with those teachers that -- that they missed class.

Q Let's talk about students that are struggling, that have school-based anxiety, so they're having trouble maybe getting into the -- into the high school building to begin with.

A Yup.

Q Have you seen situations like that as part of your BSP?

A Yes.

Q And what are some of the services that you provide to students like that?

A So, we have a wide range of services. We have students that will not come into the school building, so we've gone out to the home to work with them there, to then bring them back into the school building. When

they come back into the school building, sometimes they get to the door and that's good for that day. And then, maybe the next time, they come into the lobby or into my office. You know, the goal is for them to get into the building first, for them to feel comfortable and confident, to establish relationships with teachers, to then gradually get them into the classroom. It all depends on how severe their anxiety is.

- Q So, do you gear those sort of services, accommodations, to the specific student's needs?

  A Yes.
- Q The document that's in front of you, P-12 -- R-12, excuse me -- it includes, on page 045, and I believe, on 046, it kind of goes on, and incentive program or a points program. Can you describe what that's all about?

A So, they have a general point system which is in this document, and then it is individualized for the student. So, if the student is, you know, showing proficiency with completing assignments, but their big issue is attending school on a regular basis, we'll create an individualized incentive for them about, you know, how we can get them into the school building, what they will earn to be able to get into the school

Costa - Direct 227 1 building, and things of that nature. 2 So, is the incentive program really geared towards disciplinary issues or is it more geared 3 4 towards --5 Positive, to get them into the school and have 6 them feel comfortable within the building; to feel 7 success. Are there also accomplishments or incentives 8 9 for completion of work? 10 Α Yes. And a consistent attendance? 11 12 Yes. It all depends on what the individual's 13 needs are. 14 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I don't think we 15 moved in R-12. We'd like to. 16 THE COURT: We didn't. 17 Any objection? 18 MS. WARSHAW: The only objection is to the --19 to what it's called, the Being Successful Program, 20 because nobody seemed to be able to establish the date 21 of when that happened and the IEP doesn't say that, so 22 -- but other than that, we'll accept the document. 23 THE COURT: It's in. 24 (R-12 Entered into 25 Evidence)

Costa - Cross 228 1 MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Noted. 3 MS. HOWLETT: We do not have any more 4 questions for this witness at this time. 5 THE COURT: You're up. 6 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW: 7 Ms. Costa, isn't it true that you had one conversation with my clients? 8 I don't recall. 9 Α 10 Do you recall her daughter, J.H.? 11 Α I don't. 12 You don't recall them coming to visit the BSP 13 program at the Mendham High School? I don't. 14 Α 15 Okay. Isn't it true that the Behavioral 16 Support Program at the Mendham High School, you do 17 whatever you can to get the kids to come to school? 18 Α Yes. 19 And isn't it true that students who are 20 advanced levels in academics would have to take their 21 classes in the general education setting, not in the Behavioral Support Program? 22 23 Can you clarify that? I'm not -- you said "advanced in academics." I'm not sure what you're 24

25

asking.

Costa - Cross

229 1 If you have a student who's at an advanced or Q 2 honors level academics, they would have to take their 3 high level classes in the general ed setting --4 Α Correct. 5 -- if they were in the Behavioral Support 6 Program at Mendham High School. 7 Α Correct. Is that correct? 8 Uh huh. 9 Α 10 0 Yes, that's correct? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 BY MS. WARSHAW: 13 And the Behavioral Support Program is a selfcontained program. Is that correct? 14 15 It is not a self-contained. They're not in there 16 all day. 17 Okay. Isn't it true that the Behavior 18 Support Program at Mendham High School used to be only 19 one classroom, rather than two? 20 No, we had multiple classrooms; they just weren't 21 side-by-side. 22 And I'm going to refer you to what was marked 23 P-12.24 MS. HOWLETT: P-12 or R-12? 25 MS. WARSHAW: R-12. I'm sorry.

Costa - Cross 230 1 MS. HOWLETT: No, I just wanted to --2 BY MS. WARSHAW: 3 On the first page, under "Target Population," 4 isn't it true that this refers to students that have a pattern of school failure that's either emerging or 5 6 pervasive? 7 I'm not clear what you're asking. Isn't it true that students that are in the 8 9 Behavioral Support Program at Mendham High School have 10 a pattern or school failure or -- that it's either 11 emerging or pervasive? School failure in what way? 12 13 It says "school failure" in your own 14 brochure, so --15 Well, I'm not too sure what you're asking -- if 16 you're asking academics or if you're asking social 17 emotional. I'm not sure what you're asking. 18 Okay. In the Behavioral Support Program at 19 Mendham, isn't it true that students have academic 20 failures? 21 No, not all the time. Α 22 But some do. Isn't that correct? 23 Some may struggle academically, yes. 24 And isn't it true that some of the students 25 at the Behavioral Support Program at Mendham High

Costa - Cross / Redirect 231 1 School have behavioral issues? Such as? 2 Α 3 Such as they've been suspended or issues with behavior in class. 4 5 I don't have anybody in the program like that. 6 Have you ever had anybody in the program like 7 that? 8 Α Yes. 9 And referring to page four of the R-12, under "Incentive Program," isn't it true that it states that 10 11 students can earn up to 13 points per class by showing 12 up on time, remaining alert, participating, respect 13 oneself and others, and accomplishments? 14 Α Yes. 15 And isn't it true that in order to go on a 16 field trip, a monthly field trip, they have to earn a 17 certain number of points? 18 Α Yes. 19 MS. WARSHAW: All right. No further 20 questions. 21 MS. HOWLETT: I just have one, Your Honor, if 22 you don't mind. 23 THE COURT: I don't mind. 24 MS. HOWLETT: We're all here anyway. 25 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT:

Costa - Redirect / Colloquy

232 Ms. Costa, with the incentive program, what's 1 2 the benefit that you've seen, as part of the BSP, of a program like that? Or have you seen a benefit? 3 It provides them a purpose. A lot of times, you 4 5 have students that have issues that might get in the 6 way of them seeing positive things, and when they start 7 earning things and seeing good, it gives them incentives. It gives them reasons to keep succeeding. 8 9 In your experience in your seven years of 10 working at BSP, have you seen improvement in students 11 with their inability to come to school? 12 Α Yes. 13 Have you seen students make progress as far 14 as their school-related anxiety goes? 15 Α Yes. 16 MS. HOWLETT: No further questions, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: You can step down. Thank you. 19 THE WITNESS: All right. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Do we have a date in play? 21 MS. WARSHAW: It was June  $4^{th}$ , but we got a 22 note from Diana that it was no longer available and I 23 think there was one other date that she was 24 contemplating. 25 THE COURT: The question is, do I have a date

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 233 of 590 PageID: Colloquy in play, because I'm not leaving here today without a date in play. MS. WARSHAW: Good. MS. HOWLETT: I thought May $30^{th}$ , was on the table, so I'm not sure what happened to May 30th. THE COURT: I'm going go off the record. I'm going to go find out, so --MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. WARSHAW: How many more dates do you need? {Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned.}

```
234
         STATE OF NEW JERSEY }
 1
 2
         COUNTY OF }
 3
                   I, Peggy Wasco, assigned transcriber, do
 4
 5
         hereby affirm that the foregoing is a true and accurate
 6
         transcript of the proceedings in the matter of F.H. and
 7
         M.H. on behalf of J.H. vs. West Morris Regional High
         Board of Education, bearing Docket No. EDS 10706-17,
 8
         heard on April 9, 2018 before the Office of
 9
10
         Administrative Law Court.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

# Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 235 of 590 PageID: 1114

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
OAL DOCKET NO. EDS 10706-17

\_\_\_\_\_

F.H. AND M.H.
ON BEHALF OF J.H.,

Petitioner,

-vs- :
WEST MORRIS REGIONAL HIGH :

SCHOOL BOARD OF EDUCATION,

Respondent.

TRANSCRIPT

OF

RECORDED PROCEEDINGS

April 23, 2018

#### **BEFORE:**

THE HONORABLE THOMAS BETANCOURT, A.L.J.

#### **APPEARANCES:**

WARSHAW LAW FIRM, LLC
By: Julie Warshaw, Esq.
Attorney(s) for Petitioner

CLEARY, GIACOBBE, ALFIERI & JACOBS By: Jodi S. Howlett, Esq. Attorney(s) for Respondent

Transcriber: Deborah Plyler CRT SUPPORT CORPORATION 2082 Highway 35, P.O. Box 785 South Amboy, N.J. 08879 Phone: (732) 721-4330 Fax: (732) 721-7650

### Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 236 of 590 PageID:

1115 I N D E X DIRECT <u>WITNESS</u> CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS DAVID LEIGH By Ms. Howlett 5 48 By Ms. Warshaw 18 55 F.H.By Ms. Warshaw 59 133 122 By Ms. Howlett M.H.

By Ms. Warshaw 135

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 237 of 590 PageID:

EXHIBITS I.D. EVID. NO. DESCRIPTION P - 14Parent Letter to Cusack - 10/15/16 Not Identified P-16 4 P-19 Parent Letter to Cusack 4 Draft IEP - May 16, 2017 P-26 4 P - 50CD Recording of May 16, 2017 IEP 27 27 Meeting

52

Draft IEP - May 16, 2017

R-10

	COLLOGUY
1	THE COURT: All right. There we go. Okay.
2	We're on the record for a continued hearing on $F.H.$ and
3	M.H. On Behalf Of J.H. vs. West Morris Regional High
4	School Board of Education, the Docket Number is EDS
5	10706-2017, today is April $23^{\rm rd}$ , I am Judge Betancourt.
6	Appearances for the Petitioner?
7	MS. WARSHAW: Julie Warshaw, the Warshaw Law
8	Firm, representing the Petitioners.
9	THE COURT: Good morning.
10	MS. HOWLETT: Good morning, Your Honor. Jodi
11	Howlett, Clearly, Giacobbe, Alfieri & Jacobs, on behalf
12	of the Respondent School District.
13	THE COURT: Good morning. All right. Before
14	we went on the record we did some housekeeping off the
15	record and the following exhibits are in P-14, P-16,
16	P-19 and P-26 without objection.
17	So, your next witness, Ms. Howlett.
18	(P-14, P-16, P-19
19	and P-26 Entered
20	into Evidence)
21	MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor. Just one
22	moment, please (out of microphone range)
23	THE COURT: Good morning.
24	Mr. LEIGH: Good morning.
25	THE COURT: Have a seat and raise your right

Colloquy / Leigh - Direct hand, please. 1 D A V I D L E I G H, RESPONDENT'S WITNESS SWORN. 2 3 THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. State your name please 4 5 and spell your last name. 6 THE WITNESS: David Leigh, L-E-I-G-H. 7 THE COURT: Proceed. MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT: 10 Good morning, Dr. Leigh. 11 Α Good morning. 12 How are you? 13 Good. How are you? Α Good. So I have to establish for the Court 14 15 who you are so I'm going to ask you some general 16 questions about your employment and background, so if 17 you want just to for the record just state your current position and place of employment. 18 19 So there are two, one I am currently the Principal Α 20 of the New Alliance Academy which is a therapeutic high 21 school in Paramus, New Jersey, and I also do part-time 22 private practice work in Florham Park and Morristown as 23 a licensed Psychologist. 24 And how long have you held both of those

positions?

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Leigh Direct So I've been the Principal of New Alliance Academy Α since August 1, 2017 and I've been in private practice since 2004. And what did you do before that? I was Director of Special Services and in public schools for 26 years, first as a School Psychologist for 9 years and the last 17 as the Director of Special Services, the last 8 of which were at the West Morris Regional School District as Director. And what district did you work in before West Morris? Α Randolph. Was there another district before that also? Α Morris Hills Regional. Any more? A School Psychologist at High Point Regional. Α Okay. And where did you go to school? So a Bachelor's at SUNY Stony Brook, Montclair State for the Certification as a School Psychologist and a Master's in Educational Counseling, and Rutgers Graduate School of Applied and Professional Psychology
  - Q Are there any other licenses or degrees that you haven't already described that you hold?
  - A The Principal Certificate which I, you know,

for my Doctorate in School Psychology.

achieved through NJ EXCEL.

Q Okay. And you know why we're today and what student that we're referring to.

A Yes.

Q We're going to refer to her as "J.H.", I think that's what we agreed on in our last proceeding, so just a little bit of background about how or what your role as the -- or your role was -- you're no longer the Director, what your role is in relation to the Child Study Team as the Director of Special Services and if you just want to just describe that generally?

A Sure. So as the Director I would oversee all of the Special education programs and services provided throughout the District, which were two high schools.

I worked closely with each of the Child Study Team members who were the Case Managers of all the students who were eligible for special education and related services and we worked closely in terms of ensuring that we were complying with the law, that we offering programs and services that were aligned to the continuum of options and we worked hard to develop in District programs that we felt were appropriate, so that was basically it.

Q And would the Child Study Team Case Managers

consult with you if they had questions about eligibility or programming and things like that?

A Yes.

Q Was that regularly part of your duties?

A Yes.

Q Dr. Leigh, can you just tell us a little bit about how you kind of came into the case regarding J.H.?

A Sure.

Q If you recall.

A Sure. So I remember that Joe Cusack was the Guidance Counselor and Kendra Dickerson was the Case Manager, there was a period of time there where Kendra was out on maternity leave and there was a maternity leave replacement.

What I recall was that J.H. was struggling significantly with anxiety and depression and had -- and this was now at the beginning of sophomore year, was out and was at a program called, "ICCPC," and was there for anxiety and depression and tried to come back for a short period in December of 2016 but after a couple of days that didn't work.

A 504 Plan was put into effect and subsequently a referral to the Child Study Team where an evaluation took place during that winter and we then met to offer

1122

Leigh - Direct 1 a program. 2 Were you part of the eligibility determination or at those meetings? Do you recall? 3 4 I do not recall being at the eligibility 5 determination meeting. 6 We had previous testimony that the Case 7 Manager involved -- I know that you just mentioned too that there was a maternity replacement, the Case 8 9 Manager is listed as Ms. Dickerson. 10 Okay. Α 11 Did you -- did Ms. Dickerson provide you with 12 any documentation to review as far as, you know, making 13 any determinations about J.H.? 14 She did, I mean, she -- we reviewed the reports 15 together, that was part of what I tended to do quite 16 often with the Case Managers. So, yes, we reviewed 17 those reports and the evaluations, so the Psychiatric 18 Evaluation and the Psychological Evaluation. 19 There's a binder in front of you, a black 0 20 binder. 21 Α Okay. 22 Could you just turn to the tab marked R-16? 23 So I'm seeing a lot of numbers --Α 24 Or it's probably just 16.

25

"16"?

Α

Leigh - Direct 10 1 Yeah. Q 2 Okay. Yeah. I'm sorry. 3 4 That's okay. Yes. Α 5 Have you seen that before? Does that look 6 familiar? 7 Α Yes. 8 And can you just describe what that is? It's 9 already been moved into evidence, but --10 It's a Report of the Psychological Evaluation Α 11 conducted by Sherry Wilk. 12 And did you review that report when you were 13 consulting with Ms. Dickerson? Yes. 14 Α 15 And can you just flip over to the next one, 16 17? 17 Α Sure. 18 And just kind of do the same thing and if you 19 could just tell me what that is? 20 It's a Psychiatric Evaluation conducted by ICCPC. Α And did you see that before? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 And is that something that you went over with 24 Ms. Dickerson?

25

Α

Yes.

1 Just a couple more and if you could just look Q 2 at 13, 14 and 15? 3 Α Sure. And go through each of those individually and 4 5 just let me know if you've seen those and if those were 6 also documents that you considered when you consulted 7 with Ms. Dickerson? This is a memo dated, "10/20/2016," from 8 9 ICCPC from the program Psychiatrist and the Senior Clinician, Ms. Dolgos (phonetic), number 14 is also 10 11 ICCPC from the same two professionals dated, 12 "12/2/2016," and the next document is from the same two 13 professionals from ICCPC dated, "January 6, 2017." 14 And have you seen those before? 15 Α Yes. 16 So after reviewing that documentation did you 17 consult with Ms. Dickerson about what the appropriate 18 program might be for J.H.? 19 Α Yes. 20 And what was your recommendation or your -what did you offer as far as consulting with Ms. 21 22 Dickerson as for as the programming for J.H.? 23 The BSP Program at Mendham High School. 24 And can you tell us a little bit -- we've had

some previous discussions about the BSP, but it would

25

be helpful if you could describe --

A Sure.

Q -- your involvement in the BSP and what the program is all about?

A So I joined the District in West Morris as the Director in August of 2009 and one of the first charges to me as the new Director was to create a program for students with emotional/psychiatric disorders or conditions that would be based at Mendham High School.

There was a history in the District of having a

BSP Program at West Morris Central but there was a

feeling that there could be a different version of this

program that could target the needs of a different type

of student and so we embarked on researching the

different kinds of programs that were public school

based.

Again, the goal is to create as many opportunities in District in the least restrictive setting so in doing that we came up with a program where we offer all of the core academic content areas as a replacement class which offers a better teacher to student ratio so that the students get more individualized support in a less overwhelming setting because there's fewer students in the classroom.

The teachers are selected very carefully, of

course they're Certified Special Education Teachers, they're highly qualified in their content area, but you're looking for teachers who really have a skill, and this is a special skill, in working with students that are fragile emotionally.

As that program developed -- in year one it started with 3 students, it evolved into a program that over the next 6, 7 years on average was meeting the needs of students, you know, anywhere from 18 up to 28 students a year who were accessing the program on a part-time or even full-time basis.

The reality was a student can go into that program and be there for the entire school day if that was necessary. It offered the core academic classes, it included Adaptive Physical Education that was very low stress, there was a Yoga instructor brought in every Friday where the students were learning relaxation techniques.

And we also incorporated a Transition Program where the structured learning experienced Coordinator who was working closely with the teaching staff because we offered a post secondary planning course and so this was for students who would then be taking an internship and assessed for what type of internship might work for them as they prepared for life after high school.

So, again, there were multiple components to the program. There was a point system that was incorporated that was daily and weekly and it was really just to have feedback to the students that they're on track and that they're meeting their goals.

We tried to create a family atmosphere up there because the students that ended up being placed in the program -- it was attracting a student who was struggling academically and/or socially and that impact emotionally was causing them to shut down and shut down to the extent that they were having a very difficult time, many of them, getting to school regularly.

And so we needed to create a therapeutic setting with a primary Child Study Team member who would provide therapeutic support, Teachers that were very sensitive and skilled in working with the students, and a system that would support the students for knowing that they were making progress.

We were trying to get kids that were really stuck emotionally and trying to get them unstuck, more confident, and back to performing to their potential, For some students they could access the general ed setting, others could not, the goal was for them to eventually do that, that's the goal under the least restrictive environment, so that's the gist of the

program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And can the -- as far as the academics go, can the BSP be tailored to meet an individual student's academic needs or challenges or perhaps even providing a more aggressive academic environment?

Absolutely, absolutely. There's no doubt that the Teachers in that -- in those classes were skilled at differentiating the instruction. It's a key and that's why it's a smaller class size, that's why there's a Teacher Assistant in each of those sections so that there's two adults in each of the rooms in each course.

And the fact was that we had students there that were quite capable academically, had no learning challenges, were bright, we absolutely college -- you know, on a college path and, you know, our Teachers were able to meet their needs.

The reality was this is -- you know, it was a program that we were primarily concerned with the emotional challenge but we were equally concerned with ensuring that the students were challenged academically.

- Could you just turn to tab 12?
- Α Sure.
- 24 Have you seen this before?
- 25 Α Yes.

Q Is this an accurate description of what the BSP Program is in your opinion?  $\label{eq:description} \text{A} \quad \text{Yes.}$ 

Q So let's talk about J.H. specifically and I know, you know, we're going into the recesses here because it's been a while but as much as you can remember, can you talk about why you thought the BSP might be appropriate specifically for J.H.?

A Yes, J.H. presented with both an academic skill set and a psychiatric profile that was consistent with the type of student that was being targeted through the BSP and that is students who are really primarily struggling with anxiety and depression.

And, again, it was the combination of the two and from what I recall J.H. was having trouble having insight as to what the issues were that were really impacting the ability to get into school and to perform and to be productive consistently and that program was all about in real time trying to help the student understand what their anxiety was, what the sources were, and to target how to manage that -- and how to manage those.

There was a good ratio of male to female, it was about a 50/50 split and so we definitely felt like that family atmosphere, the close knit, the skill set of the

Teachers and the therapeutic support would be -- would be an appropriate fit.

MS. HOWLETT: Just one moment, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Hm-hm.

#### BY MS. HOWLETT:

Q We had attended an IEP meeting back in April of 2017 which we had previous testimony on and we had stipulated to the IEP that was proposed on that day.

Can you talk about some of the other things that were discussed at the meeting, there has been some discussion about considering some other out of District placement schools?

A Sure. So I believe that there was a question asked as to, "Well, if the BSP Program didn't work, then what?" And I believe my response, you know, regarded the notion that well, we look under the least restrictive environment, we look at the different program options, and then we offer a program with the supports that we feel is appropriate in District which is a good place to start if we feel that it's appropriate and we did.

But if that did not work we would then look at New Jersey approved private day placements that were therapeutic in nature to provide the support that was needed. I think there was a question about which ones

1131

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Leigh - Direct / Cross 18 and I believe I mentioned a few that came to mind that I thought could be a potential fit and I believe then there was a discussion about which of the programs that the family was interested in or was thinking about in addition, you know, in conjunction with their Attorney. To your recollection did the Child Study Team actually propose any out of District placements? Α No. Did you have discussions with J.H.'s parents about potential program options aside from the IEP meeting, maybe telephone conferences or other meetings about the BSP, to your recollection? Not to my recollection. Α Q Okay. MS. HOWLETT: I have no further questions, Your Honor, at this time. THE COURT: Cross? MS. WARSHAW: Okay. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW: Dr. Leigh, I'm going to refer you to R-12 and the program that you started was the Behavioral Support Is that correct? Program. Α Yes. But this program is listed as the, "Being

Successful Program." Correct?

19 1 Α Correct. 2 Were you aware that the April 6, 2017 IEP referred only to the Behavioral Support Program at the 3 Mendham High School? 4 5 Α Not to my recollection. 6 And to your knowledge J.H. never had any behavior issues. Correct? 7 8 Α Correct. 9 And the --10 Well, let me -- let me clarify, "Behavioral issues," there can be a wide interpretation of that so 11 12 not coming to school is a behavioral issues, not being 13 in school is a behavioral issue. 14 Certainly we did not have any evidence that while 15 in school there were problems with authority, but not 16 coming to school is an issue behaviorally. 17 So from your perspective it was the school 18 Is that what you're saying? 19 There is many different interchangeable Α 20 descriptions, there's school phobia, there's school 21 avoidance, there's school refusal, often they're used 22 and people understand that the notion is that the 23 student is not coming to school. MS. WARSHAW: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 24

THE COURT: Take your time.

2.0

1 MS. WARSHAW: I have to find my version of it. 2 BY MS. WARSHAW: 3 So I'm going to refer you to the page what's 4 marked as WM-043 of that brochure, it's the second 5 page, and in the fifth line down for the, "Target 6 Population, " isn't it true that it talks about, 7 "Students who have a pattern of school failure that either is emerging or pervasive?" 8 9 Α Yes. 10 Okay. And isn't it true that when we were at 11 the IEP meeting in May of 2017 you described the 12 Behavior Support Program as one where a student can 13 take gym class online? 14 Α If necessary. 15 And we had had a discussion about how the 16 adaptive gym class for special education students was 17 not appropriate for J.H. and she would have to take say 18 volley ball online in the Behavior Support Program. 19 Isn't that correct? 20 I do not recall that specifically but that could 21 have been. So she wouldn't be put in a general education 22 23 gym class. 24 Α Correct.

Correct?

21 1 Α Correct. 2 Okay. And you had indicated that the BSP Program, the Behavior Support Program, had core classes 3 4 but for a student who was college bound and they wanted 5 to take advanced classes they would have to go to the 6 general ed classes. Is that correct? 7 Α That is not correct. You didn't say that at the May 16, 2017 IEP 8 9 meeting? 10 I did not and would not say that. Α 11 So in your view is there any time that a 12 student in the Behavior Support Program would need to 13 go to the general ed classes? 14 If they needed to take an IB or an AP course, yes, 15 those -- that level of course is not offered through 16 the BSP. 17 Okay. And in order to get to one of those general ed classes they would have to go through the 18 19 crowded hallways and be in the regular Mendham High 20 School. Is that correct? 21 Not necessarily, there are accommodations that you 22 can provide that allow you to avoid crowded hallways, 23 that was something we did all the time. 24 But the general ed classes that you had that

were offered would be AP level and higher level classes

Leigh - Cross 22 1 they would be actual general ed classes. 2 Α Correct. 3 Correct? 4 Α Correct. And it's your testimony that you did not 5 6 attend any of the IEP meetings prior to May 16, 2017. Correct? 7 I do not recall. I remember -- I remember one 8 9 meeting and then a mediation session, that's what I 10 recall. 11 And if I told you that one meeting was the 12 May 16, 2017 IEP meeting would that be correct? 13 Α That would be correct. And isn't it true that any other information 14 15 that you had regarding what did or did not occur at the 16 prior IEP meetings came from the members of the Child 17 Study Team because you did not attend any of the 18 meetings prior to May 16, 2017? 19 Α I believe so. 20 And were you aware that the April 6, 2017 IEP 21 was the same IEP that was proposed at the April 6, 2017 meeting as well as the May 16, 2017 meeting? 22 23 Α Yes. 24 Isn't it true that you asked my client at the

May 16, 2017 IEP meeting that -- if she had visited the

Purnell School?

A I do not recall.

Q Isn't it true that at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting you indicated to my clients to, "Go take a look at the Purnell School, you know, that's one that's been mentioned that, you know, I would definitely have to look at?"

A So let me provide the context --

Q I'm just asking you to answer, did you say that or not?

A No.

Q Isn't it true that at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting you indicated that you thought, "The Purnell School had a peer group?"

A Yes.

Q Isn't it true that at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting when I asked you if the School District would pay for the Purnell School you indicated, "Possibly, of everything you mentioned that might be something we'll talk about?"

A I do not recall.

Q Isn't it true that at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting you said that the Purnell School had a peer group there and there are certain things there that the others truly in your opinion did not offer?

Case 2	2.19-CV-14405-SDW-LDW DOCUMENT 13-21 Filed 11/20/19 Page 258 01 590 Page 1137 Leigh - Cross 24
1	A I do not recall.
2	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor
3	THE COURT: I'm listening.
4	MS. WARSHAW: I would like to I would
5	like to submit the recording of the May 16, 2017 IEP
6	meeting and if I need to play it for the witness I can
7	at some point as evidence that what he's testifying
8	THE COURT: I've already listened to it.
9	MS. WARSHAW: Correct, you have.
10	THE COURT: I have. I really don't want to
11	play it here.
12	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
13	THE COURT: I think it's going to take up time
14	and I
15	MS. WARSHAW: I would like to offer it into
16	evidence then as P-50.
17	THE COURT: Do you have an objection?
18	MS. HOWLETT: So long as it's admitted in its
19	entirety.
20	THE COURT: In its entirety.
21	MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Yeah.
23	MS. HOWLETT: No objection.
24	THE COURT: Okay. It's in.
25	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Thank you.

	1138 Leigh - Cross 25
1	THE COURT: Do we have is it marked?
2	MS. WARSHAW: My client sent you a copy
3	THE COURT: I have it.
4	MS. WARSHAW: and it's P-50.
5	THE COURT: I have
6	MS. WARSHAW: The CD.
7	THE COURT: The CD, so do you want to mark
8	that P-50?
9	MS. WARSHAW: Correct, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right. P-50 is the IEP
11	meeting and it appears did you get the same copy,
12	because I listened to it and it does appear to be the
13	entire meeting?
14	MS. WARSHAW: Yes, it is.
15	MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor. And I note for
16	the record that that recording was not provided within
17	the "Five Day Rule". I was provided with the
18	evidentiary documents that were provided by the
19	Petitioners and it was provided subsequently in an
20	email, I did not receive a CD or any other like hard
21	copy version or a transcript or anything like that.
22	THE COURT: Noted.
23	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you.
24	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, just so the record
25	is clear that the District also tape recorded that

Guod 2	1139 Leigh - Cross 26
1	meeting.
2	THE COURT: I know.
3	MS. WARSHAW: She did receive a copy by a CD
4	and she
5	THE COURT: That's why I had Diana ask you the
6	question
7	MS. WARSHAW: I personally mailed it.
8	THE COURT: That's why I had Diana ask you the
9	question before I opened it.
10	MS. WARSHAW: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And by the way we're not allowed
12	to use media in our State provided computers so I have
13	and IT has a pending question, how am I supposed to
14	listen to it? I'm going to listen to it anyway but how
15	am I supposed to listen to it without getting into
16	trouble with Homeland Security?
17	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Thank you.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	MS. WARSHAW: So I'll let the record
20	THE COURT: For the record, I'm going to let
21	it in. I understand your objection, you know, but our
22	Rules of Evidence are so lax that
23	MS. HOWLETT: Understood, Your Honor, I have
24	to note it for the record.
25	THE COURT: anything goes and it is

Ouse 2	1140 Leigh - Cross 27
1	relevant and since you were both there.
2	(P-50 Marked for
3	Identification and
4	Entered into
5	Evidence)
6	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
7	BY MS. WARSHAW:
8	Q Were you ever aware that at the April 6,
9	2017 IEP meeting my clients indicated that they did not
10	agree with the characterizations of some of the fact
11	set forth in the Psychological Evaluation conducted by
12	Ms. Wilk?
13	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, objection, Dr. Leigh
14	stated that he wasn't at the April meeting so he can't
15	possible testify as to what was said.
16	MS. WARSHAW: I'm not asking what he said, I'm
17	asking if he was aware of the fact that my clients
18	objected to it at that meeting. He indicated that he
19	spoke to
20	THE COURT: He can answer
21	MS. WARSHAW: the other Child Study Team
22	members.
23	THE COURT: He can answer that.
24	THE WITNESS: No.
25	BY MS. WARSHAW:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

Isn't it true that at the May 16, 2017 IEP Q meeting the parties, including Counsel, discussed the issue that my clients did not agree with the characterizations of some of the facts set forth in the Psychological Evaluation Report conducted by Ms. Wilk? Α I do not recall. Q Okay. MS. WARSHAW: Again, Your Honor, I'm going to refer to the recording, it speaks for itself. THE COURT: It does. BY MS. WARSHAW: You were present at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting where my clients described their experience at their visit to the Behavioral Support Program at the Mendham High School. Correct? Α Correct. You were present at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting where my clients described the reasons why they felt that the Behavioral Support Program at the Mendham High School would not be an appropriate placement for J.H. Is that correct? Α Correct. You were also present at that May 16, 2017 meeting but despite my clients expressing their

concerns with the Behavioral Support Program at the

Leigh - Cross 1 Mendham High School these concerns were not added to 2 the proposed IEP. Is that correct? I do not recall. 3 Are you aware that Ms. Dickerson testified in 4 5 this case that she put the IEP together for the May 16, 6 2017 IEP meeting from the information provided to her 7 by the Child Study Team? MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, he wasn't here for 8 9 that testimony. MS. WARSHAW: I'm asking if he was aware of 10 11 it. 12 THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. 13 THE WITNESS: I do not recall. 14 BY MS. WARSHAW: 15 Isn't it true that while you were the 16 Director of Special Services Ms. Dickerson had been on 17 maternity leave for a few months and therefore did not 18 have any contact with my clients to obtain their input 19 for formulating the IEP prior to the May 16, 2017 IEP 20 meeting? 21 She was out for a few months, whether or not she 22 had input or not I do not know. 23 And the Child Study Team presented the proposed IEP to my clients that was dated April 6, 2017 24 25 at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting. Correct?

Leigh - Cross 1 Α Correct. 2 And isn't it true that no changes were made 3 to the proposed IEP at that meeting, the May 16, 2017 4 meeting, despite the concerns raised by my clients and 5 me? 6 The proposed program continued to be the BSP at 7 Mendham. But no changes were made to the 8 9 characterizations or the errors in the Psychological 10 Evaluation by Ms. Wilk either. Correct? 11 Α No changes were made to the Psychological. 12 And my clients concerns were not added to the 13 IEP. Is that correct? I do not know. 14 15 And the IEP that was formulated on April 6, 16 2017 was presented to my clients both at that meeting 17 as well as the May 16, 2017 meeting without their 18 input. Is that correct? 19 I do not know. Α 20 I'm going to show you what's been marked 21 P - 26.22 THE COURT: That's the other books. 23 BY MS. WARSHAW: And I think it's also --24 25 MS. HOWLETT: I believe it's R-10 -- (out of

Leigh - Cross 31 1 microphone range) 2 MS. WARSHAW: It's R-10? 3 MS. HOWLETT: Yeah. MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Let's see if we can keep 4 5 it in -- (out of microphone range) 6 THE COURT: Weren't you guys supposed to confer? I actually put the word "Shall" into the 7 Order. R-10. Well, you know, I say that because I'm 8 9 often -- I'm often admonished by Counsel because I 10 don't comply with my own order and yet neither to 11 Counsel. 12 MS. WARSHAW: We'll get to that, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: It's a quandary. 14 MS. WARSHAW: We'll get to that. 15 THE COURT: So we're on R-10. 16 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, R-10 there --17 THE COURT: Is the Draft IEP. 18 MS. WARSHAW: Correct, except then that is --19 that is numbered 1 through 22. 20 THE COURT: Right. MS. WARSHAW: Okay. We're going to refer to I 21 think P-26 because that's not necessarily the same. 22 23 THE COURT: No, it's different. 24 MS. WARSHAW: Correct.

THE COURT: How did that happen, did you

Casc 2	1145 Leigh - Cross 32
1	figure it out yet?
2	MS. WARSHAW: Yes.
3	THE COURT: You did?
4	MS. WARSHAW: Yes, I did. The one that is 1
5	through 20 is the IEP that was presented to my clients
6	April 6, 2017.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MS. WARSHAW: The one that's marked, "Draft,"
9	which now has Kendra Dickerson as the Case Manager as
10	opposed to Sherry Wilk.
11	THE COURT: Well, the ones I have you said
12	R-10 and R-26 (sic) both say, "Draft."
13	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. If you take R-20 if
14	you take P-26
15	THE COURT: Oh, is it that
16	MS. WARSHAW: It's behind it, there's both of
17	them there. They should be, at least the first two
18	pages will be there and P-26.
19	THE COURT: I got it.
20	MS. WARSHAW: You got it. So the P-26 the
21	difference is
22	THE COURT: That they changed the numbers
23	changed.
24	MS. WARSHAW: The numbers changed and 1
25	through 20 had Sherry Wilk listed as the Case Manager

Ouse 2	1146  Leigh - Cross 33
1	during the time that Kendra was
2	THE COURT: She was on vacation.
3	MS. WARSHAW: on maternity leave.
4	THE COURT: On maternity leave, that's not a
5	vacation.
6	MS. WARSHAW: 1 through 22 now says, "Draft,"
7	on it, I don't know who wrote that but it says,
8	"Draft," on it.
9	THE COURT: But didn't I think we
10	established that.
11	MS. WARSHAW: That that was Kendra Dickerson?
12	THE COURT: I think we established that who
13	that she wrote "Draft" on it.
14	MS. WARSHAW: No, I don't think we did.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. So, okay, I'll try to use
17	okay, I'm going to refer you to R-10 on page 3/20.
18	BY MS. WARSHAW:
19	Q Okay. I'm showing you what's been marked R-10
20	on page 3/20 with the heading, "Present Levels of
21	Academic Achievement and Functional Performance."
22	MS. HOWLETT: I believe that's marked 3 of 22
23	in R-10.
24	MS. WARSHAW: Oh, okay. Mine is 3 of 20.
25	Okay.

Leigh - Cross 34 1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 BY MS. WARSHAW: 3 Under the section it says, "Describe the concerns of the parents." Do you see that section? 4 5 Α I do. 6 Okay. There is no mention in that section of 7 my clients concerns with the Behavior Support Program at the Mendham High School. Is that correct? 8 That's correct. 9 Α 10 In that same section there is no mention of 11 the out of District placements that my clients were 12 consider -- were discussing. Is that correct? 13 Α Correct. And in that same section there is no mention 14 15 of my clients going to see other schools such as the 16 Purnell School. Is that correct? 17 Α Correct. 18 Okay. 19 THE COURT: I'm going to ask you a question, 20 why would that be in an IEP? 21 THE WITNESS: It wouldn't be in that section. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Go. 23 BY MS. WARSHAW: 24 Well, it talks about the concerns of the 25 parents. If the parents are included as members of the

Child Study Team their concerns and their issues with regard to the IEP are typically put into this section or another section of the IEP. Isn't that correct?

A But not the options considered and rejected. So the options that we look at, whatever they are, if they're considered and rejected they don't go in that section. What we would talk about in that section are the concerns and this was the synopsis provided by Ms. Dickerson, this is how she captured the wording of how she interpreted the concerns.

- Q But she wasn't at the April 6, 2017 IEP meeting. Correct?
- A I don't recall.

- Q And so if my clients had expressed concerns at the April 6, 2017 IEP meeting their concerns should have been reflected in this section called, "Describe the concerns of the parent." Isn't that correct?
- A This is the section to capture the concerns.
- Q So, again, if my clients had expressed concerns both at the April 6, 2017 IEP meeting as well as the May 16<sup>th</sup> IEP meeting their concerns should have been expressed in this section. Isn't that correct?
- A This is where the concerns are expressed.
- Q Isn't it true that J.H. did not have any issues with completing her work on home instruction,

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 270 of 590 Pagel 1149
	Leigh - Cross 36
1	her homework or her studies?
2	A I don't have a specific detail on that. I do
3	recall that the documentation from ICCPC was describing
4	that she was struggling to be productive, so whether
5	that carried over into the one on one with the home
6	instruction, it may or may not have occurred.
7	Sometimes we do see that when students are working
8	one to one and in tutoring they are able to produce
9	more effectively than when they're in that classroom
10	setting.
11	Q And when J.H. was on home instruction she got
12	good grades. Isn't that correct?
13	A I do not know.
14	Q I'm going to refer you to page 19 of 22
15	A Is that the same document?
16	Q You have 1 through 22. Correct? It should
17	be 19/22.
18	A I'm not seeing anything that says 19/22.
19	THE COURT: It would be in the
20	MS. WARSHAW: The bottom corner.
21	THE COURT: in the black binder, R-10.
22	MS. HOWLETT: What page was that?
23	MS. WARSHAW: 19/22.
24	THE WITNESS: What's the heading at the top of

the page?

Leigh - Cross 37

1 BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q "Rational for removal from General

3 Education."

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Okay.

Q Okay. You testified that the Teachers at the Behavioral Support Program at Mendham High School were Special Education Teachers. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q They are not General Education Teachers.

Correct?

A Yes, correct.

Q And referring to R-10 page 19/22 in the middle of the page it says, "A reward system focusing on positive behavior support is also used to help foster social connections and encourage academic motivation." Is that correct? Would you say that's an accurate account of what the Behavior Support Program is about?

A It's a part of what it does, yes.

Q And in the Behavior Support Program there are rewards for, "Showing up to school." Is that correct?

A You earn points by being there.

Q And you earn points by, "Being alert in school. Is that correct?

1 A Yes.

Q And is it fair to say that there is a difference between school refusal and school related anxiety?

A Well, school related anxiety is a broader category and it can lead to school refusal.

Q I'm going to show you what's been marked R-14, have you ever seen this letter before?

A Yes.

Q Where you aware that this letter indicated that J.H.'s Counselor and Psychiatrist recommended a 504 Plan for J.H. due to her extreme anxiety regarding school?

A I certainly recall seeing -- I recall seeing his letter so, yes.

Q I'm going to show you R-15 and, again, you were aware that Melissa Dolgos and Dr. Srinivasan (phonetic) were treating J-H. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And isn't it true that this letter on the sixth line down indicates that, "J.H.'s anxiety has prevented her from being able to attend a regular high school as she feels judged, pressured and scared?"

A That is what it says.

Q And Mendham High School is a regular high

Leigh - Cross

- 1 school. Isn't that correct?
- 2 A Yes, it is.
- 3 Q And both of these letters were available to
- 4 the Child Study Team prior to formulating the April 6,
- 5 2017 IEP. Is that correct?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q I'm going to refer you to R-17 and you've
- 8 seen this report from Dr. Srinivasan before. Is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 Q And what is the date on this letter?
- 12 A "March 15, 2017."
- 13 Q And this letter indicates that on the -- on
- the third page that Dr. Srinivasan recommended an, "Out
- of District placement." Is that correct?
- 16 A On the third page?
- Q Under, "Recommendations."
- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 Q And this report was also available to the
- 20 Child Study Team prior to the April 6, 2017 IEP
- 21 meeting. Correct?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q And these were also available prior to the
- 24 May 16, 2017 IEP meeting. Is that correct?
- 25 A Correct.

Leigh - Cross 40 1 Okay. I'm going to refer you back to R-10, Q 2 the same page we were on where it said, "Parent 3 concerns," it should be 3 of 22. Do you have that 4 page? 5 Α Yes. 6 Q Okay. 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry, which page are we on? MS. WARSHAW: 3 of 22, 3/22. 8 9 THE COURT: Thanks. 10 MS. WARSHAW: It's the same page we were on 11 before the, "Present Levels of Academic Achievement and 12 Functional Performance." 13 BY MS. WARSHAW: 14 In the first section it says, "Consider 15 relevant data and list the sources used to develop this 16 IEP." Do you see that section? 17 Α Yes. 18 Does it say anywhere in that section anything 19 about the three letters that we just talked about from 20 Dr. Srinivasan and Melissa Dolgos? 21 It does not. Α So is it fair to say that if it's not listed 22 23 in that section these letters were not considered in 24 the development of this IEP?

Actually not. It refers to, "Recent evaluation,"

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 275 of 590 Pag I 1154
	Leigh - Cross 41
1	and while that's global that can certainly include all
2	sources including letters that are coming in from
3	outside sources that are evaluative in nature.
4	Q Do you know for a fact that these three
5	letters were considered in determining this April 6,
6	2017 IEP?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did you personally consider these three
9	letters from J.H. treating Physician and her Counselor
10	when determining this April 6, 2017 IEP?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And in the Parent Concerns section isn't it
13	correct that we had a discussion about classifying J.H.
14	as Other Health Impaired at the May 16, 2017 IEP
15	meeting?
16	A I do not recall.
17	Q Okay.
18	MS. WARSHAW: Again, Your Honor, I refer to
19	P-50
20	THE COURT: Hm-hm.
21	MS. WARSHAW: for clarification.
22	BY MS. WARSHAW:
23	Q Is it fair to say that the proposed IEP
24	presented to my clients at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting

was a final IEP and no changes were made to that IEP?

Leigh - Cross 42 1 I don't -- I do not believe that changes were made 2 to that IEP. Were you ever aware that my clients did not 3 4 sign the April 6, 2017 IEP? 5 Α Yes. 6 Okay. I'm going to show you R-16 and you 7 testified before that you've seen this before. Correct? 8 9 Α Correct. 10 And you see the heading on this report, it says, "Clifton Public Schools." Is that correct? 11 12 That is correct. Α 13 And that is actually a mistake because Ms. 14 Wilk was working for the West Morris Regional High 15 School District. Isn't that correct? 16 That is correct. Α 17 So that's a typo would you say on that 18 report? 19 Α It is. 20 And at the time that the May -- the April 6, 21 2017 IEP was presented to my clients at the May 16, 22 2017 IEP meeting you were aware that my clients 23 disputed the wording and characterizations within Ms. 24 Wilk's Report. Is that correct?

25

I do not recall.

43 1 And you don't recall at the May 16, 2017 IEP Q 2 meeting we had a discussion about the characterizations 3 and the errors in this report. 4 I do not recall. Α 5 So to your knowledge no corrections were made 6 to Ms. Wilk's Report. 7 Α To my knowledge. And were you aware that Ms. Wilk found in her 8 9 report that J.H. scored in the "Low Average" range for, "Working Memory?" 10 11 Α Yes. 12 And were you also aware that J.H. scored in 13 the "Low Average" range for, "Block Design?" 14 Α Yes. 15 Were you aware that J.H. scored in the "Low 16 Average" range for, "Matrix Reasoning?" 17 Α Yes. 18 And were you aware that J.H. scored in the 19 "Low Average" range in, "Digital Span?" 20 If it's in this report then I'm aware. Α 21 So you're also aware that J.H. scored in the 22 "Low Average" range for, "Arithmetic?" 23 It's in the report, yes. Α And the same with, "Symbol Search," she 24 25 scored in the "Low Average" range.

44 1 "Symbol Search," yes. Α 2 And were you also aware in that report it indicates that J.H. scored in the "Low Average" range 3 4 for her ability to, "Sustain attention, concentration 5 and exert mental control?" 6 Yes, that would be referring back to the Digit 7 Span score. 8 And her -- and J.H. was found to have a, "Processing Speed," of only in the, "34th," percentile. 9 10 Is that correct? 11 Α Correct. 12 Isn't it true that J.H. had school related 13 anxiety and not school avoidance or school refusal? 14 Again, she had school related anxiety and she also 15 had school refusal, she did not come to school. 16 And is it your opinion that J.H. did not come 17 to school because it was intentional and she was 18 purposely not going to school? 19 She was not coming to school, I mean, that's the Α 20 bottom line. She was not coming to school and 21 obviously the evaluations indicate that she had 22 anxiety, she had depression and she was really 23 struggling. 24 And to your knowledge isn't it true that Ms. 25 Wilk had no supporting documentation to make a

Case 2	1158 Leigh - Cross 45
1	statement in her report that J.H., "Sometimes threatens
2	to hurt others?"
3	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor (out of microphone
4	range)
5	THE WITNESS: I cannot speak to that.
6	THE COURT: Yeah, he can't. Sustained.
7	MS. WARSHAW: If it's within his knowledge,
8	that's all I'm asking for.
9	THE WITNESS: It's not within my knowledge.
10	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
11	BY MS. WARSHAW:
12	Q Were you aware that Ms. Wilk refused to
13	change this statement in her report even though it was
14	not true?
15	A I have no knowledge of that.
16	Q Were you aware that in the April 6, 2007
17	(sic) IEP if J.H if the Behavior Support Program
18	did not offer advance classes for her she could have
19	home instruction on those classes?
20	A I was not at that meeting.
21	MS. WARSHAW: Can I have one moment with my
22	clients?
23	THE COURT: Sure.
24	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
25	BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q Dr. Leigh, are you aware that Ms. Costa testified earlier that in the event a student needed a higher level class that they would have to go to the general ed setting when they were in the Behavior Support Program at the Mendham High School?

A So I'm not aware of her testimony or specifically what she said or how she characterized that.

Q It was your testimony that if a student who

- Q It was your testimony that if a student who was academically capable and they wanted to take higher level classes that those classes would be offered in the general education setting. Isn't that correct?

  A That is not correct.
- Q Isn't that what you said at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting?

A I did not say that. What I could have said was for students that are at the academic advanced level we will challenge them, we will differentiate, that's what we do. For somebody who is at AP or IB level that would be something that we would need to find a different way of offering, that could be done online or they would go to the gen ed setting.

We were always very creative in what we did, we would tailor our programs individually based on need and what I recall saying was if there is a class that isn't going to be a good fit through the BSP we would

Leigh - Cross 47 1 provide it through a one on one experience. 2 In the Behavior Support Program at the Mendham High School is a self-contained behavioral 3 program. Correct? 4 5 It's a self-contained program for students with 6 emotional and psychiatric conditions. MS. WARSHAW: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I just 7 have to find something. 8 9 THE COURT: Hm-hm. 10 BY MS. WARSHAW: Dr. Leigh, isn't it true that the Behavior 11 12 Support Program is described as, "To address the needs 13 of disaffected and at risk students?" 14 Α That may be part of the description. 15 I'm going to refer you back to -- what's the 16 number, R-12. Under, "Target Population," can you read 17 the first sentence, please? "The BSP is for students in grades 9 to 12 who are 18 19 manifesting anxiety, depression, OCD, school avoidance, 20 social challenges, disruptive behaviors and academic 21 underachievement due to lack of productivity and follow 22 through." 23 And to your knowledge J.H. never had 24 disruptive behaviors in school. Correct?

25

Α

Correct.

And she was not an academic underachiever. 1 Q 2 Is that correct? I think it would depend on when you were taking a 3 look at her academic performance. During the time when 4 5 she was out of school her productivity I'm sure went 6 down for a period of time. She, I do believe, was working well with the home instructors, but I don't 7 believe that part of her profile was that she was an 8 academic underachiever. 9 10 Can you describe for me what is meant by a, "Disaffected learner?" 11 A disaffected learner is somebody who is not 12 13 engaged with the content to the extent that we would 14 like them to be, they are less interested and they are 15 in a sense not performing to potential academically. 16 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. No further questions. 17 Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Redirect? 19 MS. HOWLETT: Just -- just a few. 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT: While you're on R-12, Dr. Leigh --21 22 Α Yeah. 23 -- in that description of the, "Target 24 Population," to your recollection did J.H. manifest 25 anxiety?

Α Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Depression?

> Α Yes.

> > School avoidance in some form?

Α Yes.

And can you clear up the Mendham High School Program -- the name of the Mendham High School Program, what is the name of the Mendham High School Program? It's the Being Successful Program. So a year into the program we got together, myself and the staff and we actually opened up to the students and got their input as well, because we wanted a different kind of feel to the program, a different connotation.

The BSP, everybody always referred to it and still do as "BSP", but the notion really was that this was a distinct program from the one at Central and we wanted an acronym that would more appropriately capture what was happening there so we came up with Being Successful.

And regardless of the name, can you talk about the distinction between the program at Central and the program at Mendham?

Yeah, I would say that the distinction is that the program at Mendham is a more comprehensive program, the one at Central I view as more part-time and I think

through the years probably it was taking on a more a student where there were more behavioral issues in nature and maybe more of that disaffected learner.

Over at Mendham it really was evolving into the program that was taking students more with the anxiety and depression and the combination of the two.

Students who had been in and out of hospital settings, intensive outpatient programs, students with more clear cut psychiatric conditions impacting school attendance and learning.

Q Okay. Going to the May meeting when the Purnell School was brought up, when Counsel had asked you a question about that and you said that there was some context involving your mention of Purnell School, can you describe what you mean by that?

A Sure. So when they had asked for, you know, what are some programs and if not BSP or if BSP didn't work I floated a few programs such as Homestead and Hunterdon Prep and then asked what programs are you on your side looking at and there were three that came up.

One was Fusion, Fusion is a one to one program, it's not approved as a therapeutic school, you're with a Teacher for each of the content areas. The other was the FlexSchool, I really didn't know much about that, it's fairly new, I believe it's been around since 2013.

It's a twice exceptional school for both gifted learners, a 120 IQ and above, and some other exception that you have.

And then the third one was Purnell and what I said at that point was of the three -- because I certainly don't endorse one to one learning, I don't think that prepares you for the real world and twice exceptional, that's not what the record was showing, and of the three at least Purnell offered a peer group.

It is a school, it's not a therapeutic school, it's not what I was recommending, it was not what was being proposed, but it's a school and if the family was going to be choosing to not work under our least restrictive environment and our process and was going to go an invest funds at least it was a school, and that was the context under which that statement was made.

Q Thank you, Dr. Leigh, I just have a couple of quick questions. You testified earlier that you weren't at that April meeting, did the Child Study Team report to you why that meeting had been terminated? Do you recall?

A I do not recall.

Q Okay. Was the May IEP meeting a continuation of the April meeting or was it --

	1165 Leigh - Redirect 52
1	A Yes.
2	Q If you could just turn to something real
3	quick for me, R-10, that's the IEP that we've been
4	using today.
5	A Yeah.
6	MS. HOWLETT: Should we remove R-10, I know
7	it's duplicative, Your Honor, or would you just rather
8	stick with P-26 or 29?
9	THE COURT: Actually R-10 doesn't have the
10	second the first two pages so
11	MS. HOWLETT: Okay. P-26?
12	THE COURT: It's a little confusing in terms
13	of the numbering but I think we'll P-26 is in and
14	we'll move R-10 in as well. I don't it doesn't
15	(R-10 Entered into
16	Evidence)
17	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	BY MS. HOWLETT:
19	Q So, Dr. Leigh, if you could just turn to tab
20	10 and then on the bottom marked WM-034 and on this
21	page there's a section that says, "Describe any options
22	considered and the reason those options were rejected."
23	Is this the section of the IEP where you would list
24	other considerations?
25	A Yes.

And can you just read that -- because it's short I'll have you read it, just that short paragraph that's in there -- in that box, please?

A Sure. "Mr. and Mrs. H. requested that J. be allowed to attend the Fusion Academy, a private alternative high school for students that is not a New Jersey approved special education program. This option was rejected by the District as it offers students a one to one learning experience which is highly restrictive, additionally this program does not offer therapeutic support on campus."

Q And then in that same if you could flip backwards to the page that's marked WM-026 and this page is entitled, "Behavioral Interventions." What do you use this page for in an IEP?

A So if there is a specific behavior that is in any way impacting or impeding learning we would create some type of intervention.

Q And for J.H. there's a, "Target behavior," listed on this page, can you tell us what that target behavior is?

A "School attendance."

Q And then you spoke earlier about -- kind of at length actually about the positive behavioral supports and the BSP, so how do behavioral supports

assist a student that's having school attendance issues?

A Right. So a student who is having trouble getting to school is their emotions are overwhelming them in a way where the most important piece of school -- you can't access an education if you're not there, so it's literally the most important behavior so we have to create an environment that supports their wanting to be there.

So being there is number one and them being there has to result in them being recognized for that because that's a big step for them when they've had a pattern of having struggled with that so that's why it is part of the point system, the recognition of it, and why it leads to participation in certain field trips that are offered to the students.

Again, those field trips were rewards and it's also for bonding because they are struggling in that much larger context and many times that's them feeling socially isolated or alienated. So this is more of a family experience and a bonding experience and those reinforcers are used to support — those positive behavioral supports are used to support important behaviors and really an incredibly important one which is school attendance.

Leigh - Redirect / Recross 55 1 And then can you -- thank you, Dr. Leigh. Q 2 Can you just flip to the tab marked 15 that Counsel asked you about before, it was a letter from ICCPC. 3 Yes. 4 Α 5 And could you just read it's like the third to the last sentence that says, "She would greatly?" 6 7 Α Yes, "She would greatly benefit from more time in a therapeutic setting to continue progressing with her 8 9 anxiety, depression and function in school." 10 And would you describe the BSP as a 11 therapeutic setting? 12 Α Yes. 13 And then R-17 or tab 17, I'm sorry, this is 14 Dr. -- I'm not going to be able to say her name 15 correctly, Srinivasan, she made a recommendation that 16 Counsel asked you about before, "At this time an out of 17 District placement is advised." Did Dr. Srinivasan ever come and visit the BSP? 18 19 Α No. 20 And I just -- (out of microphone range) 0 MS. HOWLETT: No further questions, Your 21 22 Honor.

> MS. WARSHAW: Yeah. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW:

THE COURT: Recross?

23

24

Leigh - Recross

56

1 Dr. Leigh, were you ever made aware that J.H. Q 2 was diagnosed with a, "Specific Learning Disability?" 3 Α No. THE COURT: Aren't we a little bit outside the 4 5 scope of direct, that wasn't even brought up? MS. WARSHAW: Okay. 6 7 BY MS. WARSHAW: You had testified that there is a point 8 9 system in the BSP Program, isn't it true that if the 10 students do not earn a certain number of points they 11 are not permitted to attend the field trips? 12 Α Yes. 13 And when you mentioned the Purnell School at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting you were aware that they 14 15 have a full-time Counselor in that program. Is that 16 correct? 17 Α No. 18 So you're not aware that they also have a 19 Psychologist -- (out of microphone range) 20 I was not aware -- I was not aware at that 21 meeting. 22 But you've sent other students to the Purnell 23 School prior to this, isn't that correct, so you're 24 aware of what they have at the Purnell School? 25 I am not very -- I know what the Purnell School is

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 291 of 590 PageID: 1170

	1170 Leigh - Recross / Colloquy 57
1	about, I know the gist of it, it's for students with
2	learning differences, that's really who they target,
3	it's not a therapeutic school.
4	MS. WARSHAW: No further questions.
5	THE COURT: You can step down. Thank you very
6	much, Doctor.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
8	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, upon reflection our
9	next witness will probably be redundant testimony so
10	we're actually preferring not to call her
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MS. HOWLETT: and rest at this time.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. Can we
15	just let her know?
16	THE COURT: That would be a good idea.
17	MS. HOWLETT: Yeah, she (out of microphone
18	range)
19	THE COURT: All right. We'll take a five
20	minute break as well.
21	MS. HOWLETT: All right. Thank you, Your
22	Honor.
23	(BRIEF RECESS)
24	THE COURT: All right. Let's go back on the
25	record.

1171 Colloquy 58

1 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, first we're going to 2 make a motion for a directed verdict because --3 THE COURT: Denied -- (laughter) MS. WARSHAW: I thought you would, but I have 4 to put it on the record. 5 6 THE COURT: I don't think -- I don't think our 7 rules allow for that. MS. WARSHAW: Yes, they do. 8 9 THE COURT: They do? 10 MS. WARSHAW: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Could you point it out to me 12 because I read them like -- I read them all the time --13 MS. WARSHAW: Yeah. THE COURT: -- and I never saw that? Anyway, 14 15 denied -- (laughter) 16 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. You don't even want to 17 hear my arguments? 18 THE COURT: No, and no disrespect meant but at 19 this point the School District has certainly met its 20 burden. 21 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. We're going to call Mr. 22 Η. 23 MR. H.: Should I bring this up -- (out of 24 microphone range) 25 MS. WARSHAW: Yes.

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 293 of 590 PageID: Colloquy / F.H. - Direct 59 THE COURT: Good morning. 1 2 MR. H.: Good morning. THE COURT: You know the drill -- (laughter) 3 MR. H.: Yes, sir. 4 5 THE COURT: Raise your right hand, please. 6 F. H., PETITIONER SWORN. 7 THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: Thank you. State your name and 8 9 spell your last name, please. THE WITNESS: F.C.H. -- (the Petitioner states 10 11 and spells his last name for the record) 12 THE COURT: Proceed. 13 MS. WARSHAW: How do you want to proceed with the names, do you want initials as well? 14 15 THE COURT: Well, he just put his name on the 16 record. 17 MS. WARSHAW: I know. THE COURT: And the transcriber -- they always 18 19 refer to them by initials anyway. 20 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. As long as it's 21 transcribed that way. 22 THE COURT: And for the transcriber, use F.H.

THE COURT: And for the transcriber, use F.H.

-- (laughter)

MS. WARSHAW: Okay.

25 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW:

F.H. - Direct 60 1 Can you tell us where you're employed? Q 2 I work for the NASDAQ Stock Exchange in New York 3 City. Okay. And your daughter for purposes of the 4 Q 5 record is J.H. Is that correct? 6 Α Correct. 7 Okay. What school did J.H. attend for middle school? 8 9 Α The Long Valley Middle School. 10 Okay. Can you describe for the Court what 0 your daughter's experience was in middle school in the 11 12 public school district? 13 Well, in middle school she had kind of a rough Α 14 time, she was starting to feel a little depressed, she 15 was struggling a bit, and she had a couple of Teachers 16 that didn't help her along. She had a Spanish Teacher 17 and an English Teacher that kind of she felt were bullying her and making it difficult for her. 18 19 Was she a good student academically? 20 Α Yes. And when you say that, "She was struggling," 21 22 what do you mean by that? 23 I mean emotionally, you know, I think this is 24 where the anxiety and depression was starting to creep

in although it wasn't always obvious to us, the

61 1 parents. 2 Did you at any point during middle school request that your daughter be evaluated by the Child 3 4 Study Team? 5 Α No. 6 Okay. Any reason why? Well, she was doing well academically and 7 emotionally, although she -- you know, we could tell 8 9 that she was having problems, she seemed to be holding 10 it together and we felt that when she left the middle 11 school and got in the high school a new environment 12 would -- would help her out. 13 Can you describe for us what you observed with J.H. regarding school when she entered ninth 14 15 grade? 16 Ninth grade, in ninth grade again she was doing 17 well academically. She was, you know, active in school 18 and seemed to be having some again difficulties but 19 again nothing that we thought was anything serious. 20 Was she having difficulties in any areas 21 other than school? 22 With friends she was -- she was having some issues Α 23 keeping and holding friends. 24 Starting in tenth grade do you -- can you

describe for the Court what happened at the beginning

of tenth grade?

- A In the beginning of tenth grade she started out and she started being very anxious and depressed and I think having panic attacks, I believe my wife brought her home from school a couple of times, and finally in the beginning of October she felt she could no longer go to school because of her anxiety.
- Q And what about her anxiety prevented her from getting into the school?
- A It was just this overwhelming feeling of walking in the door of that big school that triggered something inside her that made it -- it just kind of crushed her and that she couldn't be in there.
- Q Outside of school in tenth grade did she have this overwhelming feeling that she couldn't walk into places or was it just related to this school?

  A Just the school.
- Q And you mentioned it was a, "Big school," was there any other qualities about the school that caused her to get anxious?
- A Yes, I believe, you know, the hallways were crowded, for lunch there often wasn't enough seating for the students so they would sit along the lockers and eat lunch in the hallway and so it was just very -- it was just very busy and very noisy.

63

1 Did there come a time when J.H. attended a Q 2 therapeutic day program? 3 Α Yes. Can you tell me what happened with regard to 4 5 that program? 6 After we realized that J. was having trouble going 7 back to school we looked around for several options as to what we could possibly do for her and we were told 8 9 about this therapeutic day program called the, "ICCPC," 10 and we took J. there to talk to a Psychiatrist and Counselor and they recommended that she come there as 11 12 part of a therapeutic program that would be helpful to 13 her to get her back to high school after a certain time. 14 15 And how long did she attend that program? 16 I believe that was from the end of October through Α 17 the beginning of December. And do you recall if at any time in October 18 19 you notified the School District that there were issues 20 with J.H.? Yes, definitely right away after she told us she 21 22 couldn't, you know, go to the school we were on the 23 phone to the Guidance Counselor and, you know, getting 24 in touch with the Teachers to let them know what the

25

situation was.

F.H. - Direct 64 1 I'm going to show you what's been marked Q 2 P-12. Do you recognize this? 3 Α Yes. And who wrote this letter? 4 5 Α My wife and I sent this to Mr. Cusack who was J.'s Guidance Counselor. 6 7 Okay. And at this point in this letter are you requesting a, "Home tutor?" 8 9 This was in the very beginning before we had 10 talked to the ICCPC and we -- in talking with Mr. 11 Cusack on the phone we felt that a home tutor would 12 help us out until we got things straightened out. 13 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I would like to move that into evidence. 14 15 MS. HOWLETT: It's already moved as R -- (out 16 of microphone range) R-39. 17 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. 18 BY MS. WARSHAW: 19 I'm going to refer you to P-13, which is also 20 P -- or also R-40 and I believe that's already in 21 evidence. Do you recognize this letter? 22 Α Yes. 23 And who is this letter from? 24 This is from J.'s Pediatrician, at Family -- Plaza 25 Family Care, it is written to explain that she is --

she has looked at J. and she -- and it would be best if 1 2 she did not attend school but had home bound 3 instruction because of her depression and anxiety. I'm going to refer you to P-14, have you ever 4 5 seen this before? 6 Α Yes. 7 And can you describe what this is? This is a letter to J.'s Guidance Counselor and to 8 9 the Assistant Principal of the school, it is talking 10 about J. entering the ICCPC and that she would have tutoring in the second of the day and in the first half 11 of the day she would get therapeutic instruction -- or 12 13 help and it's saying that we would not need the home 14 tutors that we had requested because tutoring was going 15 to be provided by the ICCPC in conjunction with the 16 school. 17 And who was this email sent to Mr. Broad 18 (phonetic) and Mr. Cusack. 19 Both from the School District. 20 Α Yes. And what is the date of this email? 21 22 Is, "October 15, 2016." Α 23 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I would like to move 24 this into evidence.

MS. HOWLETT: I think that was already moved

66

1 this morning.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Me too, that was one of the things you brought up when we were off the record.

MS. WARSHAW: Oh, okay. Good. Okay.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

I'm going to refer you to P-15 and we had discussed this letter before. Are you aware of this letter?

Α Yes.

Okay. And this was also sent to the West Morris High School.

Α Correct.

Okay. I'm going to show you what's been marked P-17, which I believe is also R-1. Do you recognize this document?

Α Yes.

And this document was put into place when and for what reason?

This was put into place in the beginning of Α December when J. was going back to school after being at the ICCPC for a while. It was felt that she could try and attend school again and we had asked for a 504 Plan for her to help in that transition back to school.

And when J.H. tried to return back to school when was that time frame?

That would have been right around December 6th I 1 Α 2 believe that she went back to school. 3 And what happened? Well, she was just supposed to go for two half 4 5 days -- or for half days for that week and she was able 6 to make it through two days and then the anxiety and depression again got the best of her and she couldn't 7 -- she couldn't return. 8 9 And do you know what about going back to 10 school sparked that, her anxiety? 11 I think it was just -- well, I don't know just, I don't even think she really knows exactly what it was, 12 13 but certainly it was -- it was the impact of walking 14 into that school and into that big environment that 15 certainly triggered her problems. 16 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, is the witness 17 testifying from his own personal knowledge? 18 THE COURT: Yeah, I was just going to say if 19 you don't know, you don't know. 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 THE COURT: And you kind of hinted you didn't 22 really know and I don't think --23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 24 THE COURT: -- I don't think J. knows. 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't.

68

THE COURT: You know, at least at this point I 1 don't think she knows. 2 BY MS. WARSHAW: 3 I'm going to show you what's been marked 4 5 P-19. 6 Α P-19.Following J.H. -- her return for two days to 7 school, what happened after that? 8 We were in touch with Mr. Cusack to inform him of 9 10 what had happened and we then decided to request a 11 Child Study Team and an eval -- an IEP evaluation for 12 her and this is our formal request for that as well as 13 for her to receive home instruction while that was all 14 being taken care of. 15 MS. WARSHAW: I'm not sure if this is in or 16 not but I would like to move this into evidence. 17 MS. HOWLETT: It came in this morning. 18 MS. WARSHAW: It came in? Okay. 19 BY MS. WARSHAW: 20 Okay. I'm showing you what's been marked P-20, which I believe is also R-15, have you seen this 21 22 before? 23 Α Yes. 24 And can you look at the fifth line from the

bottom which says, "J.H. greatly improved," can you

F.H. - Direct 69 1 read that sentence, please? 2 "J.H. greatly improved while in program" -- I'm sorry. "J.H. greatly improved while in program due to 3 4 the fact that she was able to be in a smaller class 5 group setting, process her feelings and emotions, and 6 received more individualized attention for school 7 work." Continue? 8 Q No. Okay. 9 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I believe this is 10 already in evidence but to make sure it's in evidence. 11 MS. HOWLETT: It is, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: R-15? 13 MS. HOWLETT: R-15. MS. WARSHAW: It's also R-15. 14 15 MS. HOWLETT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Hm-hm. 17 BY MS. WARSHAW: 18 When you asked for the Child Study Team to 19 evaluate J.H., what evaluations to your knowledge did 20 they do? 21 Two were done, there was a Psychological 22 Evaluation and a Social Evaluation. 23 Can you turn to P-21 which I believe is also 24 R-18, have you seen this report before?

Yes, this is the report from the Social Worker.

F.H. - Direct 70 1 Okay. Did you discuss this report at any of Q 2 the IEP meetings with the school? 3 Yes, I believe the Social Worker went over it at 4 the IEP meeting. 5 Q Okay. 6 Oh, no, no, that's not true, she wasn't there. 7 No, so we never -- no, we didn't. Okay. Did you have any issues with this 8 Q 9 report? 10 Α No. 11 I'm going to show you what is P-22 which is 12 also R-16. Can you tell me what this report is? 13 This is the Psychological Evaluation that was done Α 14 by the School -- the stand-in School Psychologist, 15 Sherry Wilk. 16 Okay. Did you discuss this report at any of 17 the IEP meetings? 18 This we discussed at the IEP meeting, yes, on 19 April 6<sup>th</sup>. 20 April 6<sup>th</sup>, and can you tell me if at that time 21 you raised any issues with regard to the accuracies or 22 the characterizations in this report? 23 Yes, we had several issues with the information in 24 this report.

Can you tell me what those issues were?

A Yes, some of them were -- first of all, you know, we've talked about this before it says, "Clifton Public Schools," and that's -- that's incorrect.

In the paragraph under, "Background Information," it says, "J. was hospitalized on 9/22/16," she was never hospitalized, that was a clinic that she went to. It says that -- later in that paragraph that, "She refused to go back to school," and we had an issue with that, we said that she couldn't go back to school because of her anxiety.

What else -- and then going to the back of the report there's a section here under, "Parent Rating Scales," and some information based on the parents rating of J.'s behavior and some of these things were just not true.

On the back page of that it says that, "She lacks creativity," which is not true. That, "She has trouble getting others to work together effectively," not true. That, "She has difficulty at seeking out and finding information of (sic) her own," not true. And the thing that really upset us is that it says that, "She sometimes threatens to hurt others," now this is being what the parents reports and we said that is absolutely not true and they are not factual statements.

Q And what if anything was done as a result of

you and your wife indicating to Ms. Wilk that these were inaccurate?

- A We were kind of put off a bit and to my knowledge none of our changes were ever made.
- Q And there were two IEP meetings, one on April 6, 2017 and one on May 16, 2017, were any of your changes to this report incorporated in the IEP presented to you on the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting?

  A No.
- Q Did we discuss at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting that these changes had not been made to this report?
- A Yes. Yes, you brought that up towards the end of the meeting that we had issues with this Psychological Report and we wanted changes made and they had not been made.
- Q And since the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting to your knowledge no other IEP has been presented to you and no changes have been made to this Psychological Report.
- A That is correct.
- Q I'm going to refer you to the front page of this report under, "Background Information," the second line from the bottom of the first paragraph it says, "She refused to go back to school." Is that an

F.H. - Direct 73 1 accurate statement? 2 No, my wife and I felt that was not an accurate statement. It kind of cast J. as having, you know, 3 4 behavioral -- or being a problem, but it was because of 5 anxiety and she was unable to go back to school. 6 And at any point at one of these IEP meetings 7 did you question the scores in the "Low Average" range and what they meant? 8 9 Could you repeat that, please? 10 At any of the IEP meetings, either in April 11 or May of 2017, did you ever question the findings that 12 said "Low Average"? 13 Yeah, we were curious about that and what the Α 14 implications meant. 15 And were you ever told any answer to your 16 concerns? 17 Well, we were told that she's in the "Low" range 18 but it was okay. 19 Okay. I'm going to have you turn to P-23 20 which is -- are you familiar with this report? 21 Α Yes. 22 Okay. And are you aware that Dr. Srinivasan 23 has requested an out of District placement? 24 Α Yes.

Was it your understanding that this was an

	1187 F.H Direct 74
1	out of District placement or a therapeutic out of
2	District placement?
3	A Out of District placement.
4	Q I'm going to show you what's been marked
5	P-24, have you ever seen this document?
6	A Yes, yes.
7	Q What was your understanding strike that.
8	Did you attend an eligibility meeting?
9	A Yes, this is the invitation to that eligibility
10	meeting.
11	Q What was your understanding at the time that
12	you attended the eligibility meeting as to what the
13	District was going to do?
14	A We were going to go over the reports that the
15	evaluations that had been done, the Psychological and
16	the Social Evaluation, and we were going to discuss an
17	IEP for J., J.H.
18	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I would like to move
19	P-24 into evidence.
20	THE COURT: I think it's already in under "R".
21	MS. WARSHAW: It may be.
22	THE COURT: Yeah, well
23	MS. WARSHAW: The back and forth, we can do it
24	(out of microphone range)
25	THE COURT: Yeah, but it's a little

disconcerting to me that this was addressed to both of you, why do I have to go back and forth between documents when my pre-hearing order said to consult and do a Joint exhibit list and almost all of it would have been in one book on a Joint exhibit list as opposed to me having to go back and forth between two binders that have virtually the same information?

And before -- when we're done here, I'm directing both of you to confer and present me with one book that has everything in it as opposed to me going back and forth, both of you. Go ahead.

- Q I'm going to refer you to what's been marked P-25 which I believe part of it is R-7. On the first page of this document is that your signature?

  A Yes.
- Q I'm going to refer you to the third page of that document, it says -- partway down it says, "Psychiatric Evaluation Summary," under the, "Recommendations," what does that say?
- A I'm sorry, where?

BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q On the third page it says, "Eligibility

Determination Report," and then just the second read

heading it says, "Psychiatric Evaluation Summary."

A Hm-hm.

F.H. - Direct 76 1 Okay. Right under that it says, Q 2 "Recommendations," what is that recommendation? "At this time an out of District placement is 3 4 advised, J." -- oh, J.H. 5 Okay. I'm going to refer you to the next 6 page of this document. 7 Α Yes. Is that your signature? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 Okay. Can you tell me what the heading is 11 just above your signature? 12 "Waiver of Notice (sic)." Α 13 And can you read what it says? 14 "I hereby way the 15 day notice requirement to 15 permit the IEP Team to proceed to present the IEP 16 program and placement." 17 So at the time that you signed this Notice of 18 Waiver (sic) what was your understanding as to what you 19 were signing? 20 That we were going to be looking into the IEP, it was going to be presented, and we were going to look at 21 the -- what was advised which was the BSP Program at 22 23 Mendham High School, gather some information and make

changes and modifications as appropriate as more

information came in and as we assessed the program.

24

77 1 At this time that you signed this did you Q 2 have any awareness or knowledge that you were consenting to anything other than waiving the 15 day 3 4 notice? 5 Α No, no. 6 And in that sentence it says that the IEP 7 Team will proceed to present the IEP, is that what you're referring to where they would show you what the 8 9 IEP says and you would go from there? 10 Α Correct. 11 On that same page there is another heading 12 above the Notice of Waiver, can you read that? 13 "Statement of Eligibility." Α 14 0 Yes. 15 "Review of the records and consideration of the 16 cognitive functioning, academic achievement, learning 17 styles, and adaptive behavior indicates that J. is 18 eligible for Special Education and Related Services as 19 (sic) meets the criteria of Emotionally Disturbed." 20 Does it say anywhere that J.H. would be 21 classified as, "Emotionally Disturbed?" 22 No, it just says she, "Meets the criteria." Α 23 So when you signed this form were you in any 24 way under the impression that you were consenting to

the classification of Emotionally Disturbed?

Α

April 6<sup>th</sup>.

A No, my wife and I made it very clear at the meeting that we did not feel that was the correct classification and we wanted that changed and we were led to believe that as part of this process of the IEP that it could be changed and it would be changed at a later date.

Q And when you're referring to a, "Meeting," that was -- what was the date of that meeting?

Q So is it fair to say that the language of -it was your understanding that the language of the
Notice of Waiver indicated that you were signing so
that the IEP Team could proceed to present the IEP
sooner to you?

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, this is leading.

THE COURT: It is but I'm going to allow it.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Yes, you know, time was of the essence, we wanted to have an opportunity to look at the BSP Program. The way we left this April 6<sup>th</sup> meeting was that we would look at the BSP Program and see if it was a fit for J., J.H.

THE COURT: I have a question. The BSP Program was mentioned at the eligibility meeting.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

	<b>1192</b> F.H Direct 79
1	THE COURT: Hm-hm. And it was discussed.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	THE COURT: All right. When you signed this
4	you read the part that said that it was a
5	recommendation for an out of District placement.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	THE COURT: You read that.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Did you discuss that as well?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, we did discuss that because
11	we had looked at, you know, a couple of schools
12	THE COURT: No, see I'm talking that's why
13	I asked the question about you said you talked about
14	the BSP at the program.
15	THE WITNESS: Correct, yeah.
16	THE COURT: So I'm a little confused as to
17	where this meeting went and maybe you could help me
18	out.
19	THE WITNESS: Sure. So the IEP recommendation
20	we were presented the IEP rec
21	THE COURT: Right.
22	THE WITNESS: at the meeting and that said
23	that recommended to the BSP Program.
24	THE COURT: That's not what I just read.
25	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, just to clarify,

	<b>1193</b> F.H Direct 80
1	this Eligibility Report was also this happened
2	before and then right after that they had the IEP
3	meeting.
4	THE COURT: This was all in one day.
5	MS. WARSHAW: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MS. WARSHAW: Yes. Does that clarify it?
8	THE COURT: Now I'm clarified. Counsel
9	clarified it for me.
10	MS. WARSHAW: Sorry.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	THE WITNESS: It's all one blur to me.
13	MS. WARSHAW: You took my question.
14	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
15	MS. WARSHAW: That's okay.
16	THE COURT: You know, if I don't ask it right
17	away I forget.
18	MS. WARSHAW: No problem.
19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.
20	BY MS. WARSHAW:
21	Q At the time that you signed the Notice of
22	Waiver if you had known it was meant if you had
23	known that you were agreeing to classify your daughter
24	as Emotionally Disturbed would you have signed it?
25	A No, no, we said we disagreed with that.

Q Did anyone at any time indicate what it meant for J.H. to meet the criteria of being Emotionally Disturbed?

A Meet the criteria, no, I don't believe so. No, we were told that that was the only term that could be used for her, that was the only explanation we got.

Q And at the April 6, 2017 IEP meeting when you discussed the classification was that -- who told you about that that was the only classification that could be given to you?

A That was Sherry Wilk, the Psychologist.

Q And can you describe for the Court any more about your discussions with Sherry Wilk about what -- or anything that happened at the April 6, 2017 IEP meeting?

A Yes, so the IEP was presented -- well, we all went there, my wife, my daughter and I all went there, and Sherry Wilk asked my daughter to sit outside while we discussed the various reports.

So we went through the Psychological Evaluation and the IEP and that took about an hour and then -- so J. was outside by herself all that time and then we brought her in and sort of rehashed the same thing with her and then at the end it was said that the school was recommending the BSP Program, the Behavior Support

Program, and Mr. Cusack started to explain a little bit about it and then J. asked what her other options might be.

And the Psychologist said, "Well, at this time there aren't any other options, this is what we're going with," and J. started to get upset and the Psychologist started telling her, you know, repeatedly over that, you know, "This is not the way adults behave, you have to have an open mind, you know, stop being upset," you know, those kinds of things which my wife and I did not appreciate at all.

And so finally J. got upset enough that it was suggested that she take a break and leave the room with my wife which she did and then when they left the Psychologist told those of us that were left in the room that she couldn't tell if J. was faking it or not and it was basically at that point that I said to myself I need to get a lawyer.

Q Okay. I'm going to refer you --

MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I would like to move P-25 into evidence, It is a little bit different than R-9. It's missing one of the pages so I would like to -- mine is complete.

THE COURT: Well, here's what we're going to do, you two are going to confer and present me what you

want as Joint exhibits.

MS. WARSHAW: Okay.

THE COURT: And then we'll exclude the redundancy in the two books. All right. Anything that's not redundant that you want to move in we'll entertain when the case is over. I'm not going to do this piecemeal because I'm getting confused and you do not want me confused when I'm writing the decision.

MS. HOWLETT: Okay.

MS. WARSHAW: So can we enter P-25 into evidence?

THE COURT: We're not entering anything --

MS. WARSHAW: No.

THE COURT: -- until you do what I just told you to do.

MS. WARSHAW: Okay.

THE COURT: So some time after today you two can confer and come up with a Joint exhibit book and present that and then anything that's not redundant that's in your respective books we can move. But I'm not doing any more -- there's no more -- nothing else is going into evidence today until you do what you were supposed to do initially and confer.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q I'm going to show you --

84 1 THE COURT: And then when -- I'm sorry, when 2 we reconvene on whatever date that is in the future, I 3 know we have more days, but whenever our next date is 4 the first thing we're going to do is the evidence, all 5 right, so we can just get that all straightened out. 6 Thank you. Go ahead. 7 BY MS. WARSHAW: I'm going to show you what's been marked 8 P-26. 9 26. 10 Α 11 When you arrived at the April 6, 2017 IEP 12 meeting were you handed an IEP? 13 Α Yes. Okay. I'm going to refer you to the third 14 15 page of P-25 -- I'm sorry --16 THE COURT: 6. 17 MS. WARSHAW: P-26. 18 BY MS. WARSHAW: 19 Q Okay. 20 Α What page? 21 From this point back is this the IEP that you 22 were handed? 23 Α Yes. 24 And who is listed as the Case Manager? 25 The Case Manager on this, "Sherry Wilk." Α

- Did you have any input in putting this IEP Q together?
- Α No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- And after your discussions with the Child Q Study Team at the April 6, 2017 IEP meeting did you have any other input into the IEP that was presented to you at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting?
- No, other than the points we brought up at the April 6<sup>th</sup> meeting.
  - Were any of your points incorporated into the IEP that was provided to you in May at that IEP meeting?
- 13 Α No.
  - When -- I'm going to refer you tot he first two pages of P-26, actually the first page, when was the first time that you saw the word "Draft" written on the IEP?
    - When I was presented with this book. Α
    - Okay. When you were at the IEP meeting in 0 May of 2017 did the IEP that you were presented have the word "Draft" on it?
- 22 Not that I'm aware of, no. Α
- 23 THE COURT: The draft -- the one that says, 24 "Draft," would have been presented at the April 25 meeting. Correct?

F.H. - Direct 86 1 MS. WARSHAW: No. It was at the -- that's the 2 one that says Kendra Wilk (sic) --3 THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. MS. WARSHAW: -- Dickerson, she was on 4 maternity leave at the April 6<sup>th</sup> meeting, she was at the 5 6 May meeting. 7 THE COURT: Okay. MS. HOWLETT: The only difference is the cover 8 page, that's -- (out of microphone range) 9 10 THE COURT: I understand that. Okay. Go 11 ahead. 12 BY MS. WARSHAW: Did the school offer J.H. an out of District 13 placement at any time? 14 15 Α No. 16 Did the school offer J.H. a therapeutic out 17 of District placement at any time? 18 Α No. 19 Did you agree to have your daughter attend 20 the Behavioral Support Program at Mendham High School? 21 Α No. 22 When was the first time that you've ever 23 heard about the Behavioral Support Program? I believe our -- J.H.'s Guidance Counselor 24

mentioned it to us as one of the options that would be

	<b>1200</b> F.H Direct 87
1	considered.
2	THE COURT: Could you give me a time frame on
3	that?
4	THE WITNESS: That would have probably been
5	when she returned to school in December and was unable
6	to attend and we started looking at other options.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	BY MS. WARSHAW:
9	Q Did you hear about the Behavioral Support
10	Program in December or was it at the IEP meeting in
11	April of 2017?
12	A Well
13	MS. HOWLETT: He just testified
14	THE COURT: He just testified
15	MS. WARSHAW: I'm just trying to clarify
16	THE COURT: I just asked him the question and
17	he said, "December."
18	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
19	THE COURT: When J. went back to school from
20	Mr. Cusack, correct, he was the Guidance Counselor?
21	THE WITNESS: Correct.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	THE WITNESS: It was officially presented at
24	the IEP.
25	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. That's right.

F.H. - Direct 88 1 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 2 BY MS. WARSHAW: When was the first time that you ever heard 3 the term, "Being Successful Program?" 4 5 Not until we received, again, this book with --6 with the brochure in it. We never heard those words 7 spoken, we never saw them in print, and anybody who ever referred to it to us called it the, "Behavioral 8 9 Support Program." 10 And so the IEP that was presented to you both 11 at the April 6, 2017 IEP meeting and the May 16, 2017 12 IEP meeting referred to the program as the Behavioral 13 Support Program at Mendham High School. Was that your 14 understanding? 15 The documents did, yes. 16 And at some point your wife and your daughter 17 went to see the Behavioral Support Program, do you 18 recall when that was? 19 That would have been after the April meeting, some Α 20 time in April I believe. 21 When was the first time that you heard about the Purnell School as a possible school for J.H.? 22 23 We actually had a list of 20 schools in the area, 24 the Purnell School was on that list, but J. had

rejected it initially because she didn't want to go to

an all girls school so it was not one of the ones that we considered or looked at.

- Q Was the Purnell School ever mentioned at one of the IEP meetings?
- A No -- well, at the -- I'm sorry, at the May  $16^{\rm th}$  meeting it was mentioned.
- Q Can you tell the Court what was said about it?

A Okay. So we were having the IEP meeting and you brought up some of the schools we had looked at, Flex, Fusion, and you mentioned another -- you know, Purnell as a possible school.

And then after the meeting was over David Leigh came up to us and said, "Did you -- did you look at the Purnell School?" And we said, "No, we hadn't looked at it," and he said, "Why don't you take a look at it, it would probably be worth looking at?" And then you asked him, "Is that something the District would consider for J.?" And he said, "Possibly, it's got the peer group that you're looking -- that she needs," and that kind of thing. So it was at that point -- only at that point that we actually went to look at it.

Q And had you previously requested an out of District placement to a different school to the Child Study Team?

A J. initially -- J.H. initially was -- thought that Fusion might be a good fit for her and we had visited there and they had said that other school districts send children there so we thought that would be something that we could explore.

Q And at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting is it fair to say that you expressed concerns about the Behavioral Support Program at the Mendham High School for J.H.?

A Yes, yes.

Q And what did -- do you recall what you expressed were some of your concerns?

A Well, again it was walking into a big school, you know, the very fact that she had to walk into the door and that she had to walk through a crowded hallway to get to the BSP Program room and then once there, you know, you couldn't -- you really couldn't leave because she would be in this -- you know, in this environment that was -- you know, that caused the anxiety.

So it would be, you know, sort of in this self-contained room that she would be -- that she would be in the whole time. Other issues were that, you know, they couldn't offer, you know, Gym or something like that where she could get out because, you know, she couldn't go into the mainstream classes,

And we didn't -- and of course she couldn't go to the mainstream classes for any advanced work that she needed to do. I think we brought up issues with, you know, Lab classes like Chemistry and Physics where you would need to be in a Lab, how would that be done and it didn't seem like that had a solution for that.

Music, you know, they really didn't have a solution for that. I think David Leigh mentioned they could bring in a piano but she played the clarinet so, you know, and she -- you know, that you typically play in an band or something and how would that be done.

So we had a lot of issues with it and also with the peer group that would be there, it seemed like it was a mixed bag of students from being rebellious students to students having, you know, other issues and that, you know, all of them might not be college bound and we wanted J. in a peer group that, you know, that was academically challenged and where her peers were looking to go to college.

Q Do you recall at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting if there was any discussion about her taking Gym online such as volleyball?

A Yes, I believe that that was the explanation as to how Gym would be done, she would go online at the -- in the BSP room and do a program there for Gym.

Q So was it your understanding that the BSP, the Behavioral Support Program, did or did not have higher level academic classes?

A It was our understanding that -- well, we were kind of confused, from the visit to the BSP, you know, we were told that to take higher level academic classes you would have to take them in the mainstream and then Dr. Leigh told us in the -- in the May 16<sup>th</sup> meeting that they would bring in a private tutor for the advanced classes.

Q Were you aware of any differences between the Behavioral Support Program at the Mendham High School and the Behavioral Support Program at West -- Central High School?

A Yes, we were sort of told by Joe Cusack that the one in Mendham might be better for J.H. because the one in West Morris that the kids there might have had more behavioral issues, rebellious issues and, you know, lack of attending school issues and that kind of thing, that was it.

Q Were you ever informed that the Behavioral Support Program in Mendham High School was for psychiatric reasons or was it described to you has a behavior class?

A Yes, we were never told that it was for

93

1 therapeutic or anything like that. It was -- it was, 2 you know, an academic level class and, you know, it was just to get the kids to get in the door and attend high 3 4 school more or less. 5 To your knowledge were any of the concerns that you raised at the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting 6 7 incorporated into another IEP? No. 8 Α After the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting did you 9 10 have any discussions with the District about changes to 11 the IEP or the placement? 12 After the May meeting with the District, no, no. 13 Was there any explanation provided to you by 14 Kendra Dickerson as to why there were no further 15 discussions between you and the Child Study Team? 16 When are you asking this because she testified Α 17 that after that meeting there was --18 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor -- (out of microphone 19 range) 20 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. 21 THE COURT: Yeah. 22 BY MS. WARSHAW: 23 Were you aware --24 I don't know what the question --25 Okay. Let's rephrase it. Were you ever

F.H. - Direct 94 1 provided with an explanation as to why there were no 2 further discussions between you and the Child Study Team after the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting? 3 No. 4 Α 5 Were you aware of any contacts between 6 Counsel regarding issues with the IEP and placement and 7 classification? Yes, that the -- that the Counselors were talking 8 9 about it. Is that what you mean? Yes. 10 Were you aware of that? 11 Α Yes, yes. 12 I'm going to show you what's been marked 13 P-27, have you seen this email before? Yes, we received a copy of it, we were CC'd on it. 14 Α 15 What's the date of this email? "May 22, 2017." 16 Α 17 And who is this email between? This is an email between you and Jodi -- and Jodi 18 19 Howlett. 20 Okay. Is there any mention in this email about any changes that needed to be made? 21 22 Yes, this is about you requesting changes to be 23 made. 24 Okay. And what were the changes to be made 25

for?

F.H. - Direct 95 1 "Several changes that need to be made including" Α 2 -- (reading out of microphone range) MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, the witness didn't 3 draft this email. 4 5 THE COURT: Yeah, that's what I'm thinking. 6 MS. HOWLETT: He said he's never seen it before and now we're --7 MS. WARSHAW: He did -- (out of microphone 8 9 range) 10 THE COURT: No, he said he saw it. 11 MS. HOWLETT: I'm sorry, he did see it? 12 THE COURT: He did say he saw it. 13 BY MS. WARSHAW: Were you aware that there were requests made 14 15 by Counsel to the Attorney for the District that -- to 16 correct the errors in the Psychological Report as well 17 as changes to the IEP? 18 Α Yes. 19 Were you aware that Counsel was discussing in 20 this email the classification issue? 21 Α Yes. 22 And is there a mention that you were 23 disagreeing with the Behavioral Support Program? 24 Α Yes. 25 And is there a mention that you were going to

F.H. - Direct 96 1 locate other -- other appropriate out of District 2 schools? Yes, that is mentioned here. 3 And is there a request to toll the time, a 4 5 15 day time frame, to have the IEP come into effect? 6 Α Yes. 7 Okay. I'm going to refer you to the second page of P-27. Were you also aware that there was 8 9 another email between Counsel? 10 Α Yes. 11 And you were copied on this. 12 I was, yeah. Α 13 What is the date of this email? This is, "May 26, 2017." 14 Α 15 Okay. Can you read this email? 16 THE COURT: It speaks for itself, I don't --17 MS. WARSHAW: It speaks for itself? 18 THE COURT: Yes, if it's going to come into 19 evidence I don't need -- I don't need Mr. H. to read it 20 to me. 21 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. 22 BY MS. WARSHAW: 23 Turning to the next page, were you aware that 24 there was another email from Counsel to the District in 25 August of 2017?

F.H. - Direct 97 1 Yes, "August 18, 2017." Α 2 And attached to this email was there another letter from the ICCPC? 3 Yes. 4 Α 5 And what is the date of that letter? This is, "8/17/2017." 6 Α 7 Turning two more pages, were you aware of another email that was sent to Counsel in August of 8 9 2017? Yes, this is dated, "August 24, 2017." 10 11 Turning a few more pages there is a letter, were you aware that there was a letter sent, "August 12 13 26, 2017?" August 26<sup>th</sup>, yes. 14 Α 15 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I'm going to allow 16 these to speak for themselves as to the correspondence. 17 THE COURT: If they're going to come in, yes, 18 I don't need them read to me. 19 BY MS. WARSHAW: 20 Turning two more pages, were you also aware of another email dated, "August 29, 2017," to Counsel? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 Turning another page, were you aware that 24 there was another letter to Counsel dated, "September 25 16, 2017?"

A Yes.

Q And were you aware that during the time of August through mid September there was no communication or response to any of these correspondence from Counsel for the District?

A That is my understanding.

Q And in August and September of 2017 were you still attempting to find an appropriate placement for your daughter for that school year?

A Yes.

MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I would like to move P-27 into evidence when -- well, I'm just preserving my right.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q I'm going to refer you to P-28. Can you tell the Court what this is?

A This is a letter from J.H.'s Therapist at ICCPC, Melissa Dolgos, and it is a letter that was written in August of 2017 explaining that she is working with J. and recommending a placement that would be appropriate for J. based on her current state of progress.

Q I'm going to have you read from the third line down, can you read the few sentences please where it says, "She has struggled?"

A "She has struggled in large -- with engaging in

large group settings due to feeling overwhelmed and having thoughts that others were going to judge her for what she says.

"I was able to encourage J. to try and attend school again for approximately two days last year and she continued to report anxiety due to the large population of students and the size of her classes.

She was unable to complete her academic assignments due to the anxiety causing her confusion and delaying her ability to function in school.

"While in smaller group settings I have noticed that J. was able to progress in managing her anxiety. She became more open and engaged and identified that her anxiety lessened throughout time. I have worked with J. for almost one full year and throughout that time have seen her progress when she is in smaller settings where she can get more attention and feel less anxious.

"J. is a very mature and bright person, she excels better when people around her are mature and college bound rather than peers who have behavioral issues. Throughout my time with her J. has never demonstrated any negative behaviors or came to the program due to behavioral issues. She also does not respond well with others around her have behavioral issues as it

distracts her and causes her to become anxious again.

"She will be continuing treatment here at ICCPC throughout the school year and will not need to be -- and will not be in need of therapy while in school. She will need a structured but non-strict educational environment as she functions better with more flexible schedules. It is highly recommended that she be placed in a school that can meet these needs in order for J. to function academically and succeed."

Q And the date of this letter is that -- was this sent to -- I'm sorry, strike that. Was this letter sent to the School District in August of 2017?

A Yes, I believe it was.

MS. WARSHAW: I would like to move this into evidence as well.

THE COURT: Again, I'm not accepting anything until you guys work it out.

MS. WARSHAW: I know, I'm just preserving it.

THE COURT: Whatever you don't work out we'll discuss before we start taking testimony at the next session.

### BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q I'm going to show you what's been marked P-29, have you seen these emails before, the first two pages?

A Yes, yes.

Q And the date of this email on the first page?

A The first page is, "Friday, August 25, 2017."

Q And can you give a quick synopsis as to what this email says?

A This has to do with J.'s testing results with Dr. Shuberth. We're asking -- my wife is asking that Kendra Dickerson give her a call so that we can discuss the results because school is almost here and we need to decide what we're going to do about J.'s school for the year.

Q Okay. I'm going to refer you to the next page, have you seen this email before?

A Yes.

Q And, again, can you give us a quick synopsis as to what this says?

A Yeah, this is from, "Friday, September 8<sup>th</sup>," it's to Joe Cusack, our Guidance Counselor, and she's talking about a phone conversation that was had on, "August 25<sup>th</sup>," wherein we were informed that J. would be coming back to West Morris as a general education student with a 504 and this would be the old 504 that she had way back in December.

And it says that we were confused because she was already determined to be eligible for Special Services

	1215  F.H Direct  102
1	with a previously proposed IEP and we're trying to get
2	information and if we can get a plan proposed in
3	writing for J.
4	Q Were you aware that the School District paid
5	for any independent evaluations?
6	A Yes, the school paid for two independent
7	evaluations.
8	Q And what were they?
9	A One was a Psychological Evaluation and one was an
10	Academic Evaluation.
11	Q Okay. Do you recall who did those
12	evaluations?
13	A Yes, it was Dr. Shuberth and Dr. Platt.
14	Q I'm going to refer you to P-32 and we're
15	going to start with the second page. Do you recognize
16	this report?
17	A Yes, this is Dr. Shuberth's Psychological Report.
18	Q And are you aware of the date of this report?
19	A The date is
20	Q On page 15.
21	A Page 15?
22	Q Yeah, of the report.
23	A "8/21/17."
24	Q So in your own words do you recall what Dr.
25	Shuberth found with regard to your daughter?

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 337 of 590 PageID:

<b>G</b> 400 2	1216 F.H Direct 103
1	THE COURT: Are you going to call Dr.
2	Shuberth?
3	MS. WARSHAW: She's on the list, yeah.
4	THE COURT: I didn't ask you if she's on the
5	list. Are you going to call her?
6	MS. WARSHAW: Yes. Yeah, we have
7	THE COURT: Then let her explain her report.
8	MS. WARSHAW: Well, I would like to know his,
9	you know, his understanding of it.
10	THE COURT: Well, you have him looking
11	leafing through the report to read me what the Doctor
12	says.
13	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
14	THE COURT: If he under if he understands
15	what it says he doesn't need to read through the report
16	he can just tell me what he understood the report to
17	say.
18	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
19	BY MS. WARSHAW:
20	Q Were you aware that
21	THE COURT: And ask a direct question.
22	MS. WARSHAW: I'm sorry?
23	THE COURT: Ask a direct question.
24	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
25	BY MS. WARSHAW:

F.H. - Direct 104 1 To your knowledge did Dr. Shuberth diagnose Q 2 you daughter with a --3 THE COURT: A direct question would be, do you 4 under -- do you know what the diagnosis was of your 5 daughter? 6 THE WITNESS: The exact diagnosis I cannot 7 recall honestly. THE COURT: Okay. 8 BY MS. WARSHAW: 9 10 At any time were you made aware that your 11 daughter was diagnosed with a Specific Learning 12 Disability? 13 Yes, yes. Α 14 Do you recall who diagnosed that? 15 I don't remember which -- which report came up 16 with that. I know that that was, you know, the outcome 17 of one of these two reports that she had a learning 18 disability but I don't remember which Doctor came up 19 with that. 20 That's fine. Was this report provided to the 21 District? 22 Yes, the District ordered the report so they got 23 it before we did. 24 MS. WARSHAW: Again, I'm going to preserve my

25

right to --

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW   Document 13-21   Filed 11/26/19   Page 339 of 590 Page 1218
	F.H Direct 105
1	THE COURT: Hm-hm.
2	MS. WARSHAW: to put this into evidence.
3	BY MS. WARSHAW:
4	Q To your knowledge did the School District
5	contact you in any way after receiving Melissa Dolgos'
6	Report of August 17, 2017 to change or modify the IEP?
7	A No.
8	Q To your knowledge did the School District
9	contact you in any way to change or modify the IEP once
10	they received Dr. Shuberth's Report?
11	A No.
12	Q I'm going to refer you to P-33. Do you
13	recognize this report?
14	A Yes, this is Dr. Platt's Report.
15	Q And to your knowledge the School did the
16	School District have a copy of this report as well?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And to your knowledge did Dr. Platt mention
19	that J.H. had a Specific Learning Disability?
20	A Yes.
21	Q To your knowledge after receiving this report
22	from Dr. Platt did the School District at any time
23	contact you or offer to change or amend the IEP for
24	J.H.?

А

No.

F.H. - Direct 106 1 Prior to the first day of public school did Q 2 you have an IEP in place for J.H.? 3 Α No. Prior to the first day of school or on the 4 first day of school as well at the public school did 5 6 you have an updated 504 Plan for J.H.? 7 Α Updated, no. And is it fair to say that Melissa Dolgos' 8 9 August 17, 2017 Report and Dr. Shuberth's August 21, 10 2017 Report were received by the District prior to the 11 start of the public school -- school year? 12 Α Yes. 13 Once the School District received Dr. 14 Shuberth's and Dr. Platt's independent reports did the 15 School District ever call another IEP meeting prior to 16 or after the start of school for J.H.? 17 We never heard from the school pretty much 18 all summer and into the -- into September. 19 MS. WARSHAW: Again, I'm going to request to 20 move this into evidence. 21 THE COURT: Okay.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

22

23

24

25

I'm going to have you go back to P-29 to the third page. Is this the outdated 504 Plan that the District --

# Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 341 of 590 PageID:

	<b>1220</b> F.H Direct 107
1	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor (out of microphone
2	range)
3	THE COURT: Hm-hm.
4	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
5	BY MS. WARSHAW:
6	Q What's the date of this of this 504 Plan?
7	A It's 29?
8	THE COURT: Are you on P-29 because P-29 is
9	not the 504 Plan?
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's
11	MS. WARSHAW: P-29, it's the third page, it's
12	not the it's the 504 Plan.
13	THE COURT: Oh, it's the attachment to the
14	email.
15	MS. WARSHAW: Yes, the attachment to the
16	email.
17	THE WITNESS: Oh, oh?
18	MS. WARSHAW: It should be the third page.
19	THE COURT: Well, he stated he already said
20	it was never revised.
21	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
22	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay, this one. Yes, this
23	is the one she got initially in December.
24	BY MS. WARSHAW:
25	Q Was there any meeting that you attended or

F.H. - Direct 108 received notice of prior to this 504 Plan being implemented for the 2017/18 school year? Α No. At the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting did you or wife specifically inform the Child Study Team and Dr. David Leigh that you were going to unilaterally place your daughter in an out of District placement if you could not work out an IEP? Α Yes. When was the first time that you went to visit the Purnell School? Do you recall? It was after the May 16<sup>th</sup> meeting, I don't recall how long after that. My wife and daughter went shortly after that and then I went on another occasion with them. I'm going to show you what's been marked P-35, have you ever seen this letter? 35, yes. Α

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And can you describe for the Court briefly what this letter is?
- This is a letter from my wife and I to the Principal at West Morris Central basically informing him that J. would not be returning to the high school and that she was enrolled at the Purnell School.
  - Okay. Do you believe that you and your wife

did everything to -- you could to reach an amicable resolution with the District prior to placing your daughter at the Purnell School?

A Yes.

Q And is your daughter attending the Purnell School?

A She is.

Q Have you noticed any change in her since she started attending the Purnell School?

A Yes, night and day, she's made tremendous progress since she was there.

Q And can you describe for the Court a little bit about that progress?

A Sure. She has -- she's able to make friends now, she has many -- a couple of close friends, her anxiety levels are low. She was able to participate and had a lead in the school musical <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/jhear.2007.000">The Adams Family</a>, she played Gomez.

So she is really coming out and academically she is, you know, getting "A's" and "B's" and doing really well and just a wonderful experience and that school helps so many girls that I really think the District should consider placing people there.

Q Were you at any time aware that your daughter was diagnosed with an issue with acute sounds bothering

her?

A Yes, she would complain about the noisiness of the halls and she would complain about if we had the TV on -- even though we're old, we're not that old where we have it blasting but she would complained about that, so she had a sensitivity to noise.

- Q Now did there come a time that J.H. saw an Audiologist?
- A Yes.
  - Q Okay. I'm going to refer you to P-34, have you ever seen this document before?
- 12 A 34, yes.
  - Q And can you just briefly describe what this is?
    - A The is the result of J. visiting an Audiologist to -- Dr. Hanna, to see what her hearing situation was and basically he came up that she did have a sensitivity to sound -- to noise.
    - Q And did you see Dr. Hanna as a result of someone else referring -- indicating that she had a problem with noise?
    - A Yes, one of the -- Dr. Shuberth or Dr. Platt, I can't remember which one had said that there might be an auditory issue that was increasing her anxiety and inability to be in a large school setting.

	<b>1224</b> F.H Direct 111
1	THE COURT: This report is dated, "February
2	28, 2018?"
3	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. "March"
4	THE COURT: Since the cover letter has no date
5	on it.
6	MS. WARSHAW: The first it's, "March 26,
7	2018."
8	THE COURT: March 26th. I get it. Okay.
9	MS. WARSHAW: At the top of the page.
10	THE COURT: The top of the page?
11	MS. WARSHAW: Yes, the top. P-35 or P-34
12	we're on, it says, "Hunterdon Audio" (out of
13	microphone range)
14	THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't see a date at
15	the top of the page.
16	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: They put it in the wrong
17	spot, it's on the top right.
18	THE COURT: But the date on the top of the
19	page is the
20	THE WITNESS: The "Date of Birth"
21	THE COURT: It's the "Date of Birth" of the
22	(out of microphone range)
23	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: It's to the left (out
24	of microphone range)
25	MS. WARSHAW: Oh, okay.

	<b>1225</b> F.H Direct 112
1	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry, to the left above
2	the name, J.H.
3	MS. WARSHAW: It's to the left.
4	THE WITNESS: It says, "3/26/18," where it has
5	our address.
6	THE COURT: Oh, I see it. Okay. Thank you.
7	I need stronger glasses.
8	THE WITNESS: Me too.
9	THE COURT: But the date I read was from page
10	2.
11	MS. WARSHAW: Correct. That was
12	THE COURT: Okay. That was the "Date of
13	Exam".
14	MS. WARSHAW: "February 28, 2018."
15	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you.
16	BY MS. WARSHAW:
17	Q I'm going to refer you to P-30, have you ever
18	seen this document before?
19	A Yes, yes.
20	Q Okay. And can you briefly describe for the
21	Court what this says and who it's from?
22	A This is from the ICCPC, it's from her Psychiatric
23	Nurse Practitioner who monitors J.'s or J.H.'s medicine
24	and it states that, "The medication that she's on has
25	had a positive outcome and that she's doing very well

F.H. - Direct 113 1 in school." 2 MS. WARSHAW: I would like to move this into 3 evidence as well. 4 BY MS. WARSHAW: 5 I'm going to show you what's been marked 6 P-38 and going to the last page, have you ever seen 7 this before, "Authorization to Release Records?" Oh, yes. 8 Α 9 And what was the date of this that it was 10 signed? 11 Α "November 3, 2017." 12 And to your knowledge did the School District 13 ever produce these documents that was requested? 14 Α No. 15 You indicated that your daughter goes to the 16 Purnell School now and are you paying the tuition bills 17 for that? 18 Α Yes. 19 I'm going to refer you to P-37, have you ever 20 seen this -- these documents before? 21 Oh, yes, these are the tuition bills. Α 22 Can you review these pages and let the Court 23 know if these are true and accurate copies of the 24 statements from the Purnell School? 25 Yes. Yes, they are, as well as copies of our

	<b>1227</b> F.H Direct 114
1	checks for some of the expenses.
2	MS. WARSHAW: I would like to move as
3	evidence.
4	BY MS. WARSHAW:
5	Q Can you tell the Court whether or not the
6	Purnell School has any supports for J.H.?
7	A Yes, she has a Counselor available, I believe the
8	Counselor only has three students or six students
9	that she is counseling so they break it up that way.
10	In addition I believe there's a Psychiatrist that comes
11	to the school three times a week.
12	Q And were you aware with the Behavioral
13	Support Program how many students would be strike
14	that. Were you aware at the Behavioral Support Program
15	that the Guidance Counselor had a number of students
16	that they were responsible for counseling?
17	A The Guidance Counselor?
18	Q Yes.
19	A I'm trying to understand the question.
20	THE COURT: How many students does the
21	Guidance Counselor in the BSE (sic) Program have if you
22	know?
23	THE WITNESS: I don't know that.
24	THE COURT: I didn't think you did.
25	THE WITNESS: I don't know that.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q Do you know if the Guidance Counselor is responsible for counseling at the Behavioral Support Program also counseled the students throughout the Mendham High School?

A Are we -- I never met the Guidance Counselor at the BSP.

Q Okay. I'm going to refer you to P-40, have you ever seen this before?

A Yes.

Q Do you know who Megan DuVall is?

A Megan DuVall is J.H.'s Counselor at Purnell.

Q And what is the date of this letter on the first page?

A "10/12/17."

Q I'm going to request that you read the second paragraph, please.

A "I have been meeting with J. weekly and she explains how she is enjoying coming to Purnell and is starting to feel as though she is good at school. She has been opening up and is willing to work on some of her social anxiety surrounding school. She appears to be really trying to find herself here and is enjoying doing so. I think Purnell has been a great fit for J. thus far."

1 Q Turning to the next two pages, have you ever 2 seen this report before? Oh, yes. Ah-ha, yes. 3 Α Without going through all the details does --4 5 do you -- can you summarize for the Court what this 6 says from Megan DuVall? 7 Α She just feels that J. is doing very well and has changed dramatically since she first came and is just 8 having -- having a great experience. 9 I'm going to refer you to P-41. 10 0 11 MS. WARSHAW: I would like to move P-40 into 12 evidence. 13 THE COURT: Again, I'm not taking anything 14 into evidence until you guys figure it out. If there's 15 objections you'll make objections when we start at the 16 next session. 17 BY MS. WARSHAW: I'm going to refer you to P-41. 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Have you seen that before? Yes, hm-hm. 21 Α 22 And can you briefly describe for the Court 23 what this is? 24 This is from the Head of Purnell School, Ann 25 Glass, and it is a list of J.'s classes and her grade

F.H. - Direct 117 point average or grade percentage. 1 2 And what is the date of this? "October 15, 2017." 3 Α 4 So that would have been just the first 5 marking period. Correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 I'm going to have you turn to P-42, have you seen this before? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 And can you briefly describe for the Court 11 what this is? This is J.'s -- she called it a, "Report Card," 12 13 for Purnell for the academic year 2017/2018. And what is your understanding of how J.H. 14 15 was doing in school during those marking periods? All "A's" from what I see. 16 Α 17 I'm going to have you turn to P-43. 18 Α Yes. 19 Have you seen this before? 20 Yes, this is for the eleventh grade report card. Α 21 Okay. And, again, to your knowledge how is 22 J.H. doing at the Purnell School in these marking 23 periods? 24 Again, all "A's". Α 25 I'm going to refer you to P-44, have you seen

F.H. - Direct 118 1 this before? 2 Yes, yes. And can you briefly describe for the Court 3 what this is? 4 5 This is a letter from the College Board who 6 administers the SAT to J.H. that she has been approved 7 for the following accommodations on the College Board SAT tests and it lists the accommodations that she is 8 9 entitled to based on her disabilities or whatever you 10 call them. 11 And these accommodations from the College 12 Board are based on her Specific Learning Disability. 13 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor --14 THE COURT: Sustained. 15 BY MS. WARSHAW: 16 Is that your understanding? 17 MS. WARSHAW: Well, I need to know his 18 understanding of that. 19 MS. HOWLETT: It's -- (out of microphone 20 range) 21 THE COURT: Well, you need -- yeah, I'm going 22 to sustain it, you know. 23 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. 24 BY MS. WARSHAW: 25 Can you -- on the first page of the College

F.H. - Direct 119 1 Board letter could you read the, "Accommodations," that 2 she was receive -- that she was approved for? "A four function calculator, the use of the 3 calculator for the Math sections that do not permit the 4 5 use of a calculator. Reading, 50 percent, time and a 6 half. Writing, 50 percent, time and a half. 7 Mathematics Calculations an additional 50 percent, time and a half." 8 9 Do you recall ever drafting an affidavit? 10 Α Yes. 11 Do you recall when that was? Oh, the affidavit? I don't recall when that was, 12 13 some time after the May 16th meeting. 14 And do you recall what was in that affidavit? 15 Several things but -- but in that affidavit was a 16 detailed list of the -- all the problems that we had 17 with the Psychologist's Report and the IEP. And to your knowledge did the School District 18 19 receive a copy of that? 20 Α Yes. I'm going to refer you to P-5 on the second 21 22 page. 23 P-5, the second page, yes. Α 24 Is that the affidavit that you're describing?

25

Α

This is, yes.

1233 Colloquy 120

THE COURT: P-5 is -- oh, it's the second 1 2 page. 3 THE WITNESS: The second page, right, it's an affidavit? 4 5 THE COURT: Why are we revisiting an affidavit 6 filed in support of a motion for summary decision that 7 was denied? 8 MS. WARSHAW: Because he was -- he put down 9 all the information that was a concern and even then 10 there was still no discussion whatsoever about trying 11 to even get her back to school or anything like that 12 and that there was -- there was no issues. It was just 13 another proof that he has told the District over and 14 over again that there was issues. 15 THE COURT: He's testified that he's done 16 that. 17 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. I think we're done. 18 Thank you. 19 THE COURT: One second. Do you want to take a 20 lunch break before you start cross or do you want to just work right through? It's up --21 22 MS. HOWLETT: I'm going to be brief, I don't 23 think that it's going to take that long. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MS. HOWLETT: But it's up to -- I know Your

### Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 355 of 590 PageID:

Case 2	2.19-CV-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 355 01 590 Page1 1234 Colloquy 121
1	Honor may want to take a break, so.
2	THE COURT: Nobody cares what I want.
3	THE WITNESS: How's your sugar?
4	THE COURT: My sugar is good today. Thank
5	you.
6	MS. HOWLETT: Your opinion is the only one
7	that really matters here, so.
8	THE COURT: I wish that were true no, I'm
9	only kidding.
10	MS. HOWLETT: I really I really will be
11	brief though.
12	THE COURT: Brief go ahead.
13	THE WITNESS: Well, excuse me, could I have a
14	drink of water?
15	THE COURT: You can you know what, yeah,
16	let's take a quick
17	MS. HOWLETT: Okay. Yeah, that's fine.
18	THE COURT: a quick break.
19	MS. HOWLETT: Sure.
20	THE COURT: And then we'll start cross.
21	MS. HOWLETT: Yeah, that's actually (out of
22	microphone range)
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: I'll go check my blood sugar.
25	(BRIEF RECESS)
	I

F.H. - Cross 122 1 THE COURT: Ready? 2 MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. After a brief break 4 we're back on the record and we're going to start 5 cross. 6 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT: 7 Hi, Mr. H. How are you? Hi. 8 Α 9 I'm going to try and keep it as brief as 10 possible so we can keep it moving. So you testified 11 earlier that you said that you had a list of like 20 12 school that you were thinking about for J.H., why did 13 you compile that list of schools? 14 Well, it was part of, you know, putting together 15 all the information we possible could to try and figure 16 out a solution for her situation. 17 So when was that that you put that together? 18 That was -- when did we put that together? 19 was I think after we realized that she couldn't go back to the high school after the December 7th week. 20 21 So after December that's when you guys 22 explored sending her other places.

> Yeah, I believe so. Α

23

24

25

And is that when you mentioned Fusion to the District as well?

F.H. - Cross 123

A Well, we looked at it during that time and I believe we started mentioning it, you know, at the -- at the IEP on April  $6^{\rm th}$ .

- Q Turning to the black binder --
- 5 A Hm-hm.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q -- in front of you, it's going to be the tab marked 3.
  - MS. HOWLETT: This was previously introduced,

    Your Honor -- (out of microphone range) This is a

    Referral -- a Pre-Referral Intervention Information

    Form.

#### 12 BY MS. HOWLETT:

- Q In this -- on this form under, "Other information you feel is pertinent to this referral," we had previous testimony that I believe Joe Cusack had prepared this form, it says, "Mr. and Mrs. H. explained a private school setting, they have opted to keep J. at WMC and pursue the CST evaluation." Is that accurate?
- A "Explained a private school" -- yes, yes.
  - Q So this is dated, "January 3<sup>rd</sup>."
- 21 A "January 3<sup>rd</sup>."
  - Q So is it fair to say you had conversations with Mr. Cusack before January 3<sup>rd</sup> about placing J. in a private school, J.H.?
- 25 A Yes, yes, during that time we talked to the

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 358 of 590 Pag   1237
	F.H Cross 124
1	Guidance Counselor about, you know, what various
2	options we had all along.
3	Q So that was before she was even classified.
4	Right?
5	A January 3 <sup>rd</sup> yes.
6	Q And it was also before you received Dr.
7	Srinivasan's Report suggesting an out of District
8	placement. Is that correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q So at the time that you discussed out of
11	District schools and private school placements with the
12	District at that time there was no clinical
13	recommendations that J.H. couldn't return to school.
14	A I'm sorry, repeat that again.
15	Q When you had this discussion with the staff
16	and with Mr. Cusack and compiled this list of private
17	schools at that time did you have any clinical
18	recommendations that J
19	A Oh, no. We were just analyzing the options.
20	Q And to the contrary ICCPC had actually
21	cleared J.H. to return to school on a part-time basis.
22	A Yes.
23	Q Which you later testified that it didn't work
24	out but that was the recommendations at that time.

A But for December -- yeah.

F.H. - Cross

1

2

4

8

24

25

125

Okay. Earlier when your Attorney asked you Q some questions about the eligibility determination meeting you had testified that you made it clear at the 3 meeting that you wanted the classification changed. 5 that accurate? 6 Correct, yes. 7 And then -- so what did you want the classification changed to? 9 Well, I didn't know what all the possible classifications were but I knew that there were other 10 11 ones besides Emotionally Disturbed and I knew that that 12 one -- we didn't feel that that one was appropriate for 13 J. So it was sort of at that point that I realized we 14 15 were kind of out of our depth with all the legal terms 16 and that we had to get help. 17 Understood. So what was your opposition to 18 the term, "Emotionally Disturbed?" 19 Emotionally Disturbed I think to us connotated Α that she, you know, had a mental illness, that she was 20 rebellious, that she was not -- you know, had 21 longstanding problems, which just didn't describe her. 22 23 THE COURT: I'm going to ask you a question, did you have any idea what the -- what Emotionally Disturbed meant in the context of Special Education at

F.H. - Cross 126 1 that time? 2 THE WITNESS: At that time, no, I hadn't --THE COURT: Thank you. That's all I needed to 3 4 know. 5 THE WITNESS: -- seen the list. BY MS. HOWLETT: 6 7 You testified that at the May IEP meeting that -- I have this language that you said but you can 8 9 correct me, you said, "No points were incorporated into 10 the IEP," I believe you were referring to the points 11 that you had brought up in the April meeting. 12 Yes, yes. Α 13 And that your concerns weren't addressed. 14 Α Right. 15 The IEP, however, does state that you and 16 your wife requested the Fusion Academy, so how do you 17 think the District got that information into the IEP? That -- was that in -- that was probably in the 18 19 first one, the April 6th. Right? 20 So that information must have been provided -- was that information provided by you and Ms. H. to 21 22 the District that you were considering Fusion? 23 Yes, somehow they -- that must have been provided.

I'm not sure when we would have mentioned that, I'm not

sure when we -- when we actually looked at Fusion.

24

25

F.H. - Cross

127 1 So it's not accurate that none of your Q 2 concerns were or points were put into the IEP. Is that 3 correct? Well, okay, that's true, yeah. We were thinking 4 5 more of the corrections that we had from the April  $6^{\rm th}$ 6 meeting. 7 Q Understood. You testified earlier that no one had informed you that the BSP was a therapeutic 8 9 type of program. That's -- that's correct. 10 Α 11 So at the May meeting Dr. Leigh didn't discuss any of the therapeutic supports that the BSP 12 13 had to offer? 14 Oh, in May, yes. Not at -- not in April, we 15 didn't know. 16 Q Okay. 17 So we didn't know that until May, yeah. 18 I got it. Are you aware that the School 19 District is not permitted by law to implement an IEP --20 an initial IEP without parental consent? Not allowed to without parental -- yeah. I guess 21 22 so, yeah. 23 So that unless you -- just bringing it back 24 to what's going on here, that if you and Ms. H. or one

of you did not sign the IEP that the District couldn't

25

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 362 of 590 Page 1241
	F.H Cross 128
1	provide J.H. with Special Education and Related
2	Services. Did you understand that?
3	A Well, they couldn't provide us with the IEP they
4	proposed, yes.
5	Q And are you aware that the "15 Day", quote
6	"Rule" that your Counsel brought up earlier, that that
7	doesn't apply in an initial IEP situation?
8	A No. Again, I didn't know all the legalese.
9	Q I got you. Earlier Ms. Warshaw also asked
10	you to look over some tuition documents, I think it was
11	marked as P-37 which we haven't moved yet, can we just
12	refer to that?
13	A Sure.
14	Q It's the blue binder, Mr. H. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: I'm going to pause for just I
16	got to run and get another pad.
17	MS. HOWLETT: Sure.
18	THE COURT: No, I didn't pause it, Hold on.
19	(BRIEF PAUSE)
20	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
21	BY MS. HOWLETT:
22	Q If you could just turn to the second document
23	in P-37
24	THE COURT: 37 or 47?

MS. HOWLETT: 37.

F.H. - Cross

129 1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 BY MS. HOWLETT: 3 It looks like a cancelled check, is that 4 accurate? Is that one of your cancelled checks or a 5 check that was --6 That was cashed by the school, yes. 7 That was cashed and it was cashed by what school, the Purnell School? 8 9 Α The Purnell School, yeah. 10 And what's the date of that check? 11 Α "August 31, 2017." 12 Okay. And if you could just turn to P-35, 13 and you testified earlier that that's the letter that you sent to the District to advise the District that 14 15 you were enrolling J.H. into the Purnell School. 16 that correct? 17 Α Yes. 18 I'm sorry, you needed a second to get there, 19 sorry. 20 Α Yes. 21 And do you see when it's marked "Received" by 22 the District at the bottom? 23 "Received 9 -- September 25<sup>th</sup>." 24 And your letter otherwise doesn't appear to 25 be dated, do you know when this was actually sent to

F.H. - Cross 130 1 the District? If you recall, it doesn't have a date on 2 it, so. I think it was whenever the requirement was that 3 we had to inform the school that we weren't coming 4 5 back. I don't recall when in September that was but 6 there was some requirement that we had to tell them or 7 less, you know, the Truant Officers would come. Right, I get you. 8 Q 9 Α Yeah. 10 Do you know why there's a discrepancy that you paid the Purnell School on August  $31^{\rm st}$  but the 11 12 District didn't receive notice that J.H. was going to 13 the Purnell School until the end of September? 14 Well, we had to, you know, put a down payment on 15

Purnell just to make sure she had some place to go and, you know, we were hoping to -- that we could resolve something, but we had to keep our options open at that point because we didn't know what was going to happen.

After sending this letter to the District did you ever send any subsequent letter requesting -specifically requesting a new IEP?

## Α Which letter?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Oh, I'm sorry. After you sent -- you and Ms. H. sent P-35 to the District, that's the one you're looking at.

F.H. - Cross 131 1 Oh, yeah, yeah. Okay. Α 2 After that did you ever write a second letter requesting an IEP from the District? 3 4 After September --Α 5 If you recall. -- 25<sup>th</sup>, I don't recall the dates. No, I don't 6 7 recall. Did you ever write a subsequent letter to 8 9 the District indicating your intent to re-enroll J.H. 10 in the District? 11 Α Attempt to re-enroll, I don't -- I don't believe 12 so. 13 Are you aware that the District is not Q required to provide a student that's not enrolled in 14 15 the School District with an IEP? 16 Not enrolled -- yes, correct. Α 17 You testified earlier that J.H. was diagnosed with a Learning Disability or at least that was your 18 19 understanding. Is that accurate? 20 Α Yes, yes. 21 Do you know is the Purnell School approved 22 for Special Education? 23 Special Education, no. Are -- the teaching staff members, are they 24

Special Education Teachers? Do you know?

25

F.H. - Cross 132 1 I don't know. Α 2 Is J.H. receiving services at the Purnell School related to her noise sensitivity? 3 4 No, just the -- just the nature of the school 5 helps her there. 6 Do you recall when you filed for due process 7 or when through Counsel you filed a petition for due process? Do you remember when that was? 8 9 I believe it was some time after the May 16<sup>th</sup> 10 meeting. 11 It's actually marked as P-1, your Due Process Petition. I believe it is, at least that's what I have 12 13 -- (out of microphone range) 14 THE COURT: Nope. 15 MS. HOWLETT: Do you see it, Your Honor, P-1? 16 THE COURT: Well, that's the -- I'm looking 17 for the --18 MS. HOWLETT: I mean, it's a matter of record, 19 so it's --20 THE COURT: Yeah. "5/30." 21 MS. HOWLETT: Right. 22 BY MS. HOWLETT: 23 May  $30^{th}$ , does that sound like that would be 24 about right?

That sounds about right, yeah.

25

Case	1246 F.H Cross / Redirect 133
1	Q Okay. So all the reports that Ms. Warshaw
2	had asked you about before, they were all prepared
3	after this matter was already in litigation. Isn't
4	that correct?
5	A Correct.
6	MS. HOWLETT: I believe that's all the
7	questions I have, Your Honor.
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW:
9	Q Okay. Mr. H., I'm going to refer you back to
10	P I'm sorry, R-3.
11	A R-3, yes. The, "Pre-Referral Intervention
12	Information."
13	Q Did you write or sign this document?
14	A No.
15	THE COURT: R-3. Right? I'm sorry.
16	MS. WARSHAW: R-3.
17	THE COURT: I was making a note for myself.
18	R-3. Okay.
19	BY MS. WARSHAW:
20	Q Do you recall the first time that you ever
21	saw this document?
22	A No, actually I don't remember this one.
23	Q When you daughter went to see Dr. Hanna was
24	it in preparation for litigation or was it a follow-up
25	from what Dr. Shuberth had diagnosed her with?

	1247 F.H Redirect / Colloquy 134
1	A It was a follow-up from Dr. Shuberth because she
2	had recommended that we have Audiologist check her out.
3	Q Do you recall the date that the Purnell
4	School started for the 2017/18 school year?
5	A The date they no, I don't recall the date.
6	MS. WARSHAW: I have no further questions.
7	Thank you.
8	THE COURT: You can step down. Thank you.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Again, since you guys don't
11	listen to me, are you taking lunch or are we going to
12	work through?
13	MS. WARSHAW: We'll work through.
14	THE COURT: Okay. You didn't even ask your
15	adversary if she agreed with that.
16	MS. HOWLETT: I would like to work through.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you.
19	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. I'm going to call Mrs. H.
20	THE COURT: Have a seat. Raise your right
21	hand.
22	M. H., PETITIONER SWORN.
23	THE WITNESS: I do.
24	THE COURT: State your name.
25	THE WITNESS: M.H (The Petitioner states

her name)

THE COURT: You don't have to spell your last name, your husband already did it. Proceed.

MS. WARSHAW: Okay.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q Mrs. H., we're going to try very hard not to repeat what your husband has said so it won't be duplicative, so we'll do the best we can. Okay. Can you please describe for the Court your knowledge about what if anything occurred in middle school with your daughter?

A Yes, in middle school in eighth grade that's when she first started having panic attacks and ended up at the Nurse's office due to her fear of two of the Teachers in middle school.

- Q And was she having any issues academically in middle school?
- A Well, eighth grade her grades slipped a bit but generally they were good.
- Q Do you know why her grades slipped a bit in eighth grade?
- A Yeah, because she was anxious about situations in school.
- Q And can you describe for the Court what if anything happened in ninth grade for your daughter?

136

1 In ninth grade she pretty much -- she tried very Α 2 hard to keep it together and she kept a lot to herself. How did she do academically in ninth grade? 3 I think she did pretty well in ninth grade. 4 Α 5 The beginning of tenth grade --6 Α Hm-hm. 7 -- did -- can you describe for the Court what 8 you believe happened? So she started the year off and then I think it 9 10 was only a couple weeks in I think I had to pick her up 11 from school and she was -- you know, confided to me that she was suffering from depression and at that 12 13 point I immediately started researching for therapists. 14 And do you know what the basis for her 15 depression was? 16 Well, it's hard to say exactly but certainly the 17 school anxiety was a factor. Do you know why she was suffering from school 18 19 related anxiety and what was the basis for that? 20 Difficulty in relationships with other people and overwhelmed by the -- just the general experience of 21 22 the big high school. 23 Can you describe for the Court what happened 24 after she initially told you that she was depressed? 25 Then actually I think I sent her back to school Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

137

the next day and then I think I realized the enormity of it and then took her to -- through a recommendation of a friend I took her to ICCPC in Parsippany and then she was let in I believe the Monday. I think I took her on a Friday and they talked to her and then she started on Monday. Okay. And that was a part-time day program. Is that what it is? Yeah, I believe it was 9 to 2 or 2:30, hm-hm, and then the rest was tutoring. Did there come a time where you notified the school that she was having issues? Yeah, pretty much almost from the beginning and Α first I reached out to all her Teachers by email and Mr. Cusack because at that point I didn't really know -- I didn't really know what was going on and I just said she was having some difficulties right now. And at some point was your daughter placed on home instruction? Α Yes. Can you describe for us what events transpired that that came about? She completed her program at ICCPC in the middle of December -- well, I'm sorry, prior to that the

intent was to complete her program and then to return

138

1 to West Morris. She tried to return to West Morris for 2 a couple days and found it overwhelming, so then at that point after the Christmas break she started home 3 instruction from I think it was like January 4th to the 4 5 end of June and completed her sophomore year. 6 THE COURT: She stayed on home instruction 7 through the end of the sophomore year. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 BY MS. WARSHAW: 11 When did J.H. start seeing Melissa Dolgos? That was from the beginning when she was in 12 13 Parsippany so that would be October 2016 -- yeah, 2016. And to your knowledge did -- at any time did 14 15 Melissa Dolgos indicate that J.H. had behavior issues? 16 Α No. 17 At any time did J.H. receive a 504 Plan? She did on the first day of December I think -- I 18 19 quess it was December 6<sup>th</sup> or 7<sup>th</sup>, I don't remember. We 20 sat down in Joe Cusack's office and he showed us that 504 Plan which I believe we signed. 21 22 Once your daughter was placed on home Q 23 instruction had did she do academically? 24 She did fine, she did very well. Α

And when she was on home instruction how was

25

M.H. - Direct 139 1 her anxiety levels? 2 It was much lower. 3 And did there come -- there came a time that 4 J.H. was evaluated by the Child Study Team, did you 5 request that or did the School District request it? 6 Α We requested that. 7 Just going back I'm going to show you what's been marked P-19, did you sign this letter? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 And that was sent to Mr. Cusack. Is that 11 correct? 12 Α Yes. 13 I'm going to refer you to P-25, is that your 14 signature on the first page? 15 Α Yes. 16 And I'm going to refer you to the last page, 17 is that your signature on the last page? 18 Α Yes. 19 And when you signed this agreement or this 20 page --21 Α Hm-hm. 22 -- what did you think that you were signing? 23 We thought we were moving ahead with trying to Α 24 find a solution for J. because once we signed this I 25 believe it was maybe a day or two afterwards we went to

140 1 see the BSP Program. We thought we were moving ahead 2 to try and find a solution for her and we thought that was just fast tracking the -- the 15 day notice. 3 Does it say anywhere that your daughter would 4 Q 5 be classified as Emotionally Disturbed? 6 Α No. 7 Were you ever told by anybody what Emotionally Disturbed meant? 8 9 Α No. Did you ever object to your daughter being 10 11 classified as Emotionally Disturbed? 12 Α Yes. 13 And do you recall when that was? Yes, that was the April 6th meeting. We came in 14 Α with our highlighted copy of the report and went 15 16 through all the things that were inaccurate. 17 And do you -- you mentioned a, "Report," which report did you bring in that was highlighted? 18 19 It was Sherry Wilk's Psychological Evaluation, I Α 20 don't know the exact title of it. 21 I'm going to show you what's been marked 22 P-22, is that the report that you're referring to? 23 Yes, that's it. 24 Okay. In your words can you please tell us 25 what your issues were with regard to this report?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

141

Well, it just characterized her inaccurately I Α thought. I mean, you know, it looked like she was belligerent, it looked -- that she refused to go to school, I interpret that as being belligerent. It said she was hospitalized and she wasn't, she was in a day program, you know, and it had -- it had a bunch of errors in it. I thought her personality was not char -- you know, we filled out these multiple choice forms and they claim that they took the information from them and put it in here but it didn't -- it did not at all accurately describe her. Do you believe that those inaccuracies affected the outcome of that report? MS. HOWLETT: Objection, Your Honor --THE COURT: Sustained. MS. HOWLETT: -- it's speculative. THE COURT: She's not in Ms. Wilk's head. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could you say that --THE COURT: Don't answer the question I sustained the objection. THE WITNESS: Oh. BY MS. WARSHAW: Do you believe that that report inaccurately

described your daughter?

M.H. - Direct 142 1 Α Yes. 2 Do you request at any time that changes be 3 made to that report? 4 Α Yes. 5 When did you request the changes? April 6<sup>th</sup>. 6 Α 7 Did there come another time when you also requested that these -- the changes be made? 8 Yeah, I believe we brought it up at the May  $16^{th}$ 9 10 meeting as well. 11 And to your knowledge were any of these 12 changes ever made? 13 Not to my knowledge. Α 14 Can you describe for us what happened at the 15 April 6, 2017 IEP meeting? 16 Yes. So, as my husband mentioned, we were brought 17 to the meeting and J. was to sit in the hallway and we 18 thought it was going to be for a brief period of time 19 but she was stuck out there for quite a long period of 20 Then she was called in with us and we, once time. 21 again, went over the information from the reports and her IEP proposed -- the proposed IEP for her. 22 23 And what if anything happened? 24 Well, it was a very uncomfortable situation 25 because we had already made the corrections and then

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

24

25

143

once J. was in there she -- Mrs. Wilk was telling her these were -- "This is what we are going to do," and J. said, "What are my other options?" And she said, "Well, this is it, this is what we're proposing," and that's when J. was upset. Did you have any other concerns that you raised at the April 6, 2017 IEP meeting? Related to the -- creating a new IEP, relating to the report? Okay. Let me clarify. Did you receive a copy of an IEP at the April 6, 2017 IEP meeting? Α Yes. And what programs specifically did it refer to as the proposed placement for J.H.? For the Behavioral Support Program in Mendham. And did you have any objections to that program at that time? No, because I hadn't seen it then. 19 Did you describe any -- did anybody describe 0 20 for you what that program was at that IEP meeting? Yes, Mr. Cusack explained a little bit of it and then the woman that used to work at that program was 23 walking by the office and they pulled her in to explain a little bit more information about the meeting (sic).

And do you recall what they explained to you

144

1 about what the Behavioral Support Program at Mendham 2 was? Yeah, you know, she said it was -- I don't 3 remember her exact words but that it was in a 4 5 self-contained room and that it's a smaller group of 6 kids and it was to encourage kids to try to get to school and she talked a little bit -- she may have 7 talked about the point system and she talked about the 8 9 flexibility to get the kids to complete their work, I 10 don't remember a lot of details, no. 11 Okay. Did there come a time when you did go 12 see the Behavioral Support Program? 13 Α Yes, I did. 14 Did you speak to anybody there? 15 Yes, I did. Α 16 Did anybody go with you to see the program? 17 J. and I went to see the program. Α And who did you speak to when you got there? 18 19 First we were downstairs and we spoke to Tracy Α 20 Costa. 21 And what did Ms. Costa tell you? 22 Well, she sort of was giving us an idea about the Α 23 program and she said, "Basically we're trying to get 24 the kids to just get in the door and get to school and 25 make it through as much of the say as possible." She

told us about the point system, the reward systems, the special trips. She told us how -- about getting work from the mainstream Teachers, I don't remember too much else.

Q Did she mention anything about the course offerings in the Behavioral Support Program?

I don't remember if we talked specifically about course offerings then. I think she -- I think we knew that -- I think what she told us was that if we did need to take a higher level course that we would have to go into the mainstream classes -- she would have to go into the mainstream classes.

So I knew we were talking about something like Physics and she said, "No, that wasn't offered, you would have to go into the mainstream class."

Q Do you recall if there were any other courses that were not offered at the Behavioral Support Program?

A Well, obviously they can't do Gym or any of the other electives. I think if it was a language you had to have it on the EduShare, which is that computer program, so it was -- and when we went -- I'm sorry, go ahead.

Q No, no. Go ahead.

A No, when we -- then we -- then J. and I went up to

146

1 the room and we saw the kids in the BSP Program and 2 they were -- several of them were on their own doing their own thing and working on their computers. 3 Can you describe where this Behavioral 4 Support Program is located in the high school? 5 6 Yeah, I remember it being on the second floor so 7 you had to work through the hallways to get there, up the stairs and down the hall to the room. 8 9 And what did you -- was it one room or two 10 rooms? 11 I believe it was one room, a room smaller than 12 this room. 13 And do you recall how many students were in that room? 14 15 At that particular time maybe -- maybe ten, I 16 don't remember exactly. 17 Did you speak to anybody else while you were 18 at the Behavioral Support Program? 19 I did but I don't remember talking about -- I Α 20 think she told me a little bit, like there was a 21 Teacher's Aide in the room, maybe one or two, I don't 22 remember. I don't remember going into any detail about 23 the room with her but I seem to remember having 24 conversations about the kids going in and out a lot. 25

Some kids would go in, some kids go out, back and forth

to the mainstream and back in again.

- Q Do you recall if they mentioned it was a behavior class or was it more of a psychological or psychiatric class?
- A I never heard anything about psychiatric or -- I just knew it was called the, "Behavioral Support Program," that was the title that I was told it was called.
- Q Did anyone ever explain to you the types of issues the children had who are in the Behavioral Support Program?
- A I believe Tracy Costa said that most of the kids have trouble getting to school or staying in school, getting to school and staying in school. So the emphasis -- what I took away from that meaning it was a point system for staying in school.
- Q After seeing the Behavioral Support Program at Mendham High School did you believe that it was a good fit for J.H.?
- A No. And I actually let, you know, J.H. decide, "What do you think," you know, "How do you think this will work?" And we both talked about it and she didn't think so and, no, I didn't think it would work for her because well, physically, first of all it's in a big building and she has to navigate to the room and out of

the room, if she wants to go to more advanced classes my understanding was that she had to go to mainstream classes.

People were working individually on their computers, it wasn't like a Teacher in a class teaching. It was everybody doing their own work and it didn't seem like -- it reminded me of when I used to work in the Resources -- the Resource Rooms in school, so everybody doing their own thing and it just didn't seem appropriate for her.

I can't speak to what the intentions were of the kids in there but it didn't seem like a highly academic college bound program.

- Q Did you ever express your concerns about the Behavioral Support Program to the Child Study Team?

  A Yes.
  - O When was that?
- A Let's see, so we went -- I don't remember specifically. I know we went after the April meeting, I don't know the date that we went to go see it. I don't remember if it was before the May 16<sup>th</sup> meeting or at the May 16<sup>th</sup> meeting.
- Q And what if anything was the response to you sharing your concerns about the Behavioral Support Program to the Child Study Team?

149

1 I don't think there were any other options at that Α 2 point or they didn't give us any other alternatives. 3 The IEP that was presented to you at the May 4 16, 2017 IEP meeting, was that the same IEP that was drafted in April of --6<sup>th</sup> of 2017? 5 6 Α Yes, I believe so. 7 When the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting occurred you were handed an IEP -- an IEP, did you have any 8 9 input into the formulation of that IEP? 10 Α No. 11 Had you ever been consulted about what your 12 concerns were or anything to put in that IEP? 13 Α No. 14 After you expressed concerns about the 15 Behavioral Support Program at the May 16, 2017 IEP 16 meeting, were any changes made to the IEP? 17 Α No. 18 Have you ever heard of the Being Successful 19 Program? 20 Not until I saw it in the book that was presented, 21 the binder. 22 To your knowledge the program that was 23 presented for your daughter was the Behavioral Support 24 Program --

25

Α

Yes.

Q -- at the Mendham High School.

A Yes, it was in the IEP. That's what it said the Behavioral Support Program.

Q Is there any difference to your knowledge between the Behavioral Support Program at the Mendham High School versus the one at West Morris or West -- sorry, Morris Central?

A No, the only -- what I remember from the April 6<sup>th</sup> meeting was that Joe Cusack saying that maybe it would be better for her to go to the Mendham BSP Program but I don't remember any details as to why, other than it not being in West Morris Central.

Q Was it your understanding that the Behavioral Support Program at Mendham had the same grade level or multi-grade levels?

A Multi-grade levels.

Q When was the first time that you heard about the Purnell School?

A Well, as my husband mentioned there was a list that we -- initially we were in sort of "What do we do" kind of panic and we started looking at schools, but I didn't -- but we did not seriously consider it at all, in fact I think it was crossed off the list, until May -- at the May meeting when Dr. David Leigh suggested we go look at it.

151 1 Do you recall the date that you first went to Q 2 see the Purnell School? I actually do, I think it was May 25th. 3 After the May 16, 2017 IEP meeting did you 4 5 have any correspondence with anyone from the District 6 regarding an IEP or a 504 Plan? 7 Α I don't believe so, no. Did you ever reach out to Kendra Dickerson 8 9 regarding an updated IEP? I believe I did in an email. 10 Α 11 I'm going to show you P-29, can you tell me 12 if you recognize those emails? 13 Α Hm-hm, yes. Did you write them? 14 Q 15 Α Yes. 16 And at the time that you wrote this, "August 17 25, 2017," email to Kendra Dickerson --18 Α Yes. 19 -- had you seen Dr. Shuberth's Report? 20 Let's see, I'm trying to remember exactly when I Α 21 saw it. I think I was waiting from the school -- I was 22 waiting for the school to send me the report because I 23 was told that the Psychologist wanted to go over the 24 report with me but it took a while to get that. 25

Did the Psychologist ever go through the

M.H. - Direct 152 1 report with you? 2 I don't believe so. 3 What was your understanding as to Dr. 4 Shuberth's Report? 5 Well, I was really glad she had the evaluation 6 done because I would see that she had -- we suspected 7 it but it was then confirmed that she did have a Math learning disability. 8 9 And you say that you, "Suspected," that she 10 had a Math learning disability, can you elaborate on 11 that a little bit? 12 Well, she had some struggles with memory and 13 calculation and that she just -- that I sort of noticed over the years and it sort of -- it confirmed what I 14 15 suspected. 16 Did Dr. SHuberth make any recommendations 17 about a follow-up for J.H.? 18 Yes, she recommended to have -- to have her tested 19 by an Audiologist. 20 I'm going to refer you to P-34, is this the 21 report that you talked about --22 Α Yes. 23 -- from the Audiologist? 24 Α Yes.

Okay. I'm going to also refer you back to

25

153

1 P-32, the first page, can you just tell me what that is 2 as well? This is a letter from Dr. Shuberth confirming 3 that, "J. meets the criteria for, "F81.2, Specific 4 5 Learning Disorder with impairment in Mathematics, 6 specifically with fluent calculation, moderate 7 dyscalculia -- dyscalculia." Prior to the first day of school, of public 8 9 school, did you have any IEP in place? 10 For the 2017 school year, no, none that was Α 11 appropriate. Prior to the first day of school, of public 12 13 school, did you have an updated 504 Plan in place? 14 Α No. 15 What was the date that J.H. started at the 16 Purnell School? 17 I think it was September 11th or about that, if that was a Monday, it was the Monday. 18 19 As of September 11<sup>th</sup> had you heard back from 20 the District regarding any changes or modifications to the proposed IEP? 21 The only -- I had a conversation with Kendra 22 Α 23 and Joe and they mentioned that she would be entering 24 the school as a general ed student with a 504 Plan, 25 that was a phone conversation in I think the end of

Case 2	:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 388 of 590 Page 1267
	M.H Direct 154
1	August.
2	Q Did you have any meeting for updating the 504
3	Plan for the start of the 2017/2018 school year?
4	A No.
5	Q Did you have any input into the 504 Plan
6	proposed for the 2017/18 school year?
7	A No.
8	Q Did there come a point in time where J.H.
9	started improving and emotionally and needed a
10	different environment from home school home
11	instruction?
12	A Yes, in fact when we were talking to Dr. Shuberth
13	at the initial interview and I believe that was the
14	beginning of August, she had an interview and she asked
15	J. if she was ready to try and go back to school and
16	she said, "Yes," she was, she was ready to try a new
17	school.
18	THE COURT: To try a new school, is that what
19	you said?
20	THE WITNESS: She said she was ready to try
21	I don't remember if she said a new school or whether
22	she said a school, I can't I don't know for sure,
23	school.
24	BY MS. WARSHAW:

25

I'm going to show you what's been marked

M.H. - Direct 155 1 P-28, have you seen this document before? 2 Α Yes. And can you briefly describe what this 3 4 document is and when it's dated? 5 Α Its dated, "August 17, 2017," and it's a letter 6 from Melissa Dolgos talking about what would be the 7 best environment for J. and school. And to your knowledge was this sent to the 8 9 School District prior to Dr. Shuberth's Report? 10 I believe so because I think Dr. Shuberth's Report Α 11 was like the 21st of August. 12 To your knowledge did Melissa Dolgos ever 13 speak to or have any interactions with Dr. Shuberth regarding your daughter? 14 Not that I know of. 15 Α 16 I'm going to show you what's been marked 17 P-33, have you seen this document before? 18 Α Yes. 19 And can you just briefly tell the Court what 20 this is? 21 This is the evaluation by Dr. Platt that was done at the end -- the very end of the summer I believe. 22 23 To your knowledge did Dr. Platt indicate J.H. 24 Had a Specific Learning Disability?

25

Α

Yes.

1	Q Following receipt of this Psychiatric Report,
2	the independent evaluation by Dr. Platt, did the School
3	District indicate that it would change or modify or
4	alter in any way the IEP that was proposed at the May
5	16, 2017 IEP meeting?
6	A No.
7	Q What prompted you to unilaterally place your
8	daughter at the Purnell School?
9	A It was her junior year of high school and we had
10	to make an important decision, we couldn't have her
11	languishing, you know, and maybe getting into the room
12	and maybe not getting into the room at the BSP in
13	Mendham.
14	I couldn't we couldn't gamble on that to see if
15	she would you know, if she would even walk in the
16	door and to waste weeks and months struggling with her
17	anxiety and trying to even get into a place and then
18	not even have a rigorous curriculum to go on top of
19	that, it was just not an appropriate placement for her.
20	Q Do you believe that you did everything you
21	could to work with the District to come up with an
22	appropriate placement for your daughter?
23	A Yes, absolutely. And my I comment about the Platt
24	
25	THE COURT: No.

M.H. - Direct 157 1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 THE COURT: You can only ask -- answer the 3 questions --4 THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: -- that are asked. 5 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: Which I'm sure you're going to be 7 asked in about ten seconds. Go ahead. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10 BY MS. WARSHAW: 11 What if anything were you impressions with 12 regard to Dr. Platt's Report? 13 Well, related to Dr. Platt's Report the -- we Α repeatedly tried to get this appointment to have it 14 15 before September and it was held up at the Board 16 Office. We called multiple times to try and get her in 17 there so we could get a proper consultation and before West Morris started so we would know what to do. 18 19 I'm going to show you what's bee marked P-35, 0 20 do you recognize this document? 21 Α Yes. 22 There is no date on this document for when it 23 was written. Is that correct? 24 Α That is correct. 25 Okay. Since your daughter started at the

Purnell School have you noticed any changes in her?

A Yeah, huge changes. As my husband commented,

she's just a different person now. It's a very calming

experience there because it's set up like a -- almost

like a family farm and it's -- she receives a lot of

support there.

At the Purnell School, even thought it's not technically a therapeutic school, it has a tremendous amount of support and therapy, as she has a Counselor, she has an Advisor, and there is a Learning Psychologist there as well.

- Q And with regard to the noise level there has she commented about that?
- A Yeah, it's pretty non-existent. Also, they have -- she has a quiet place where she can rest in between classes. It's sort of set up like a -- almost like a college schedule that you can go back and rest between classes, it's very quiet there.
- Q And academically does she have supports in her classes as well?

A Yeah. And she also -- her Math Teacher is also a Special Ed Teacher. I just wanted to let you know, she has a Special Ed Math Teacher and they do what they call, "Reverse learning," where they watch a video and then they do all their work in the class in very small

159

1 groups, there's maybe six or eight girls in each class. 2 You cannot fall under the crack -- in the crack -under the cracks in that school, it's just not 3 possible, there's -- it's a very small group of people. 4 5 Do they have any social supports for her? 6 They have lots of social supports. Social 7 therapeutic or social -- in what aspect of social? Do they promote friendships in any way? 8 9 Yeah, absolutely. She's made two very dear 10 friends and because it's such a small group of girls 11 it's -- it's a family atmosphere. 12 Do they have any group meetings or anything 13 like that that would promote socialization? 14 All the time. I mean, they have morning meetings 15 three times a week, you know, they eat lunch together, 16 it's a very close knit group. 17 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, are we testifying 18 based upon a factual basis or personal knowledge? I'm 19 sorry for -- (out of microphone range) 20 THE COURT: I have no idea, I was waiting for 21 you to object. 22 MS. HOWLETT: Okay. I've been wanting to, I'm 23 objecting. THE COURT: Okay. Is this on personal 24 25 observations or discussions with J.?

M.H. - Direct 160 1 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry? 2 THE COURT: Is your testimony based on personal observations or discussions with J.? 3 4 THE WITNESS: Personal observations. 5 THE COURT: Okay. I'll allow it. BY MS. WARSHAW: 6 7 Is your daughter attending the Purnell School every day or is she having any absentee issues? 8 9 Α Every day. 10 I showed your husband some reports from Megan 11 DuVall and Ms. Glass regarding your daughter at the 12 Purnell School, have -- were you aware of those reports 13 as well? Yes. 14 Α 15 And I also showed your husband report cards 16 from the first few marking periods as well as the third 17 marking period for your daughter at the Purnell School, 18 were you aware of those as well? 19 Α Yes. 20 To your knowledge has J.H. ever been a 21 behavior issue? 22 Α No. 23 Would you consider her a disaffected learner? Q 24 Α No. 25 Has -- to your knowledge has she ever had a

	1274  M.H Direct 161
1	pattern of school failure?
2	A No.
3	Q To your knowledge has she ever been defiant
4	of school rules?
5	A No.
6	Q Has to your knowledge has J.H. ever
7	refused to attend school?
8	A No. She didn't refuse to attend school, she was
9	unable to attend school.
10	Q To your knowledge is the Purnell School one
11	that gets the students into college?
12	A They have a hundred percent rate of college attend
13	attendance.
14	Q Does the Behavioral Support Program have a
15	hundred percent rate of getting kids into college to
16	your knowledge?
17	A Not to my knowledge.
18	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I object, I don't
19	(out of microphone range)
20	THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.
21	MS. WARSHAW: So it's
22	THE COURT: Well, how does she know that?
23	MS. WARSHAW: I'm just asking, maybe she asked
24	the question, maybe she read about it.
25	THE COURT: And she said, "Not to my

	1275 Colloquy 162
1	knowledge."
2	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Then not to her
3	knowledge.
4	THE COURT: Sustained, the question wasn't
5	asked.
6	MS. WARSHAW: No further questions.
7	THE COURT: Cross?
8	MS. HOWLETT: No, I have no questions, Your
9	Honor.
10	THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you.
11	THE WITNESS: Okay.
12	THE COURT: Somebody is not efficient because
13	we need more witnesses.
14	MS. WARSHAW: I didn't know who her witnesses
15	were going to be, so I kind of (out of microphone
16	range)
17	THE COURT: You got a witness list, that's all
18	you get. That's all you get, you keep asking you
19	keep raising the same issue.
20	MS. WARSHAW: I do (out of microphone
21	range)
22	THE COURT: I mean, I tried cases for 35
23	years, you get a witness list, you get they don't
24	tell you when they're going to show up, they don't tell
25	you if they're going to call them or not going to call

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 397 of 590 Page 1276 Colloquy 163
1	them. You get a witness list, that's all you get,
2	that's all you ever get.
3	MS. WARSHAW: I just needed another day
4	(out of microphone range) So I didn't know, I planned
5	for two witnesses.
6	THE COURT: That's fine, I mean, that wasn't
7	unreasonable. It was more of a joke on my part that we
8	weren't efficient because we did move quickly.
9	MS. WARSHAW: I wasn't repetitive. Right?
10	THE COURT: No, no.
11	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
12	THE COURT: Well, yeah, a little bit but
13	that's okay. All right. So when is our next date?
14	MS. WARSHAW: July (out of microphone
15	range)
16	THE COURT: It's July?
17	MS. HOWLETT: Yeah, the end of July I think.
18	THE COURT: All right. That gives you guys

THE COURT: All right. That gives you guys plenty of time to go through your respective books and come up with one that has everything. I understand there's going to be some -- some that's not redundant, but not a lot, and those things that are not redundant that have been -- that have been marked and discussed you could move them when we start.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We'll do that on the record and if there's

# Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 398 of 590 PageID:

1277 Colloquy 164
any objection to anything we'll discuss it then and
I'll rule on it then.
MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
THE COURT: I anticipate most of it is going
to come in. All right. Thank you.
MS. HOWLETT: Thank you.
MR. H.: Thank you, Your Honor.
MS. H.: Thank you.
MS. WARSHAW: Thank you.
{Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned.}
* * * *

STATE OF NEW JERSEY } COUNTY OF ESSEX I, Deborah Plyler, assigned transcriber, do hereby affirm that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings in the matter of F.H. and M.H. On Behalf Of J.H. vs. West Morris Regional High School Board of Education, bearing Docket No. EDS 10706-17, heard on April 23, 2018 before the Office of Administrative Law Court. 

# Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 400 of 590 PageID:

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
OAL DOCKET NO. EDS 10706-17

F.H. and M.H. on behalf

Petitioner, : TRANSCRIPT

-vs- : OF RECORDED PROCEEDINGS

WEST MORRIS REGIONAL HIGH BOARD OF EDUCATION,

Respondent.

July 25, 2018

### **BEFORE:**

of J.H.,

THE HONORABLE THOMAS BETANCOURT, A.L.J.

#### **APPEARANCES:**

WARSHAW LAW FIRM, LLC By: Julie Warshaw, Esq. Attorney(s) for the Petitioner

CLEARY GIACOBBE ALFIERI JACOBS LLC By: Jodi S. Howlett, Esq. Attorney(s) for Respondent

Transcriber: Lee A. Romano CRT SUPPORT CORPORATION 2082 Highway 35, P.O. Box 785 South Amboy, N.J. 08879 Phone: (732) 721-4330 Fax: (732) 721-7650

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 401 of 590 PageID:

INDEX 2

WITNESS DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS

MELISSA DOLGOS

By Ms. Warshaw 5 61
By Ms. Howlett 45

ELLEN M. PLATT

By Ms. Warshaw 64 97
By Ms. Howlett 88

Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW	Document 13-21	Filed 11/26/19	Page 402 of 590 PageID:
	<b>1281</b> E X H I B I	TS	3

NO.	DESCRIPTION	I.D.	EVID.
P-46	Curriculum vitae of Dolgos		12

	Colloquy 4
1	THE COURT: This is the continued hearing of
2	F.H. and M.H. on behalf of J.H. v. West Morris Regional
3	High School Board of Education, docket number EDS
4	10706-2017.
5	Today is July 25th and I am Judge Betancourt.
6	Appearances for Petitioner?
7	MS. WARSHAW: Julie Warshaw, Warshaw Law Firm
8	representing the Petitioners.
9	THE COURT: Good morning.
10	MS. WARSHAW: Good morning.
11	MS. HOWLETT: Good morning, Your Honor. Jodi
12	Howlett, Cleary Giacobbe Alfieri Jacobs on behalf of
13	Respondent School District.
14	THE COURT: Good morning. We finished with
15	your last witness, correct?
16	MS. WARSHAW: Correct.
17	THE COURT: So your next witness please?
18	MS. WARSHAW: Yes. We call Melissa Dolgos
19	please.
20	THE COURT: Have a seat. How are you?
21	THE WITNESS: Good. How are you?
22	THE COURT: Would you raise your right hand?
23	MELISSA DOLGOS, PETITIONER'S WITNESS,
24	SWORN:
25	THE COURT: State your full name, spell your

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW
1	last name.
2	THE WITNESS: Melissa Ellen Dolgos, D-O-L-G-
3	O-S.
4	THE COURT: Proceed.
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW:
6	Q Okay. Hi Ms. Dolgos. How are you?
7	
8	Q Good. Thank you for coming today.
9	So I'm going to be asking you some questions
10	and then I just ask if you keep your voice up because
11	it everything is being recorded today. Okay?
12	A Okay.
13	Q If you have any questions just let us know.
14	Okay?
15	A Okay.
16	Q Can you tell us where you are employed?
17	A Immediate Care Children's Psychiatric Center.
18	Q And is that also ICCPC?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. How long have you been employed there?
21	A Three years.
22	Q And could you please tell the Court what's
23	the highest degree that you hold?
24	A I have a Masters of Arts and I have my license
25	my license associated counselor degree.

	1284 Dolgos - Direct 6
1	Q And what is your current job title?
2	A Senior clinician.
3	Q And what are your job responsibilities?
4	A My job responsibilities are to conduct family and
5	individual therapy sessions along with group family
6	group therapy sessions. Also, you know, I am in charge
7	of trying to help the interns and provide
8	administrative or clinical advice.
9	Q And how long have you held the title that you
10	have right now?
11	A I've held that I think for about almost two years
12	now.
13	Q And what title did you hold before that?
14	A Just a regular clinician.
15	Q Are you supervised by a licensed
16	psychiatrist?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And who is that?
19	A Dr. Srinivasan.
20	THE COURT: Spell that.
21	THE WITNESS: S-R-I-N-I-V-A-S-A-N.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	BY MS. WARSHAW:
24	Q And do you consult with Dr. Srinivasan on a
25	regular basis?

Dolgos - Direct 1 Yes, every day. Α 2 And do you consult with Dr. Srinivasan on 3 your clients? 4 Α Yes. 5 And when you were the counselor for J.H. did 6 you consult with Dr. Srinivasan on a regular basis 7 about J.H.? 8 Α Yes. 9 Could you please tell the Court about where 10 you went to school? 11 I went to Fairleigh Dickinson University for 12 graduate school and East Stroudsburg University for 13 undergraduate. And I'm going to show you --14 15 MS. WARSHAW: May I approach the witness? 16 THE COURT: Please. 17 BY MS. WARSHAW: 18 Okay. I'm going to give you a binder. Okay? 19 Okay. Α 20 I want you to turn to exhibit 46. 21 THE COURT: Which binder are we --22 MS. WARSHAW: The Plaintiff's, P-46. 23 BY MS. WARSHAW: 24 Do you recognize this document? 25 Α Yes.

- Q And can you tell the Court what this is?
- 2 A This is my curriculum vitae.

- Q And could you briefly tell the Court your employment history?
- A So prior to Immediate Care I worked at Gen Psych which is another partial hospitalization and IOP program for about two years and before that I worked at a residential facility for a few months when I was licensed. Prior to my license I had experience as case management with mentally ill clients at Easter Seals.
- Q And ICCPC, is that a full hospitalization program or a partial hospitalization program?

  A Partial.
- Q Your curriculum vitae says that you have experience in group individual counseling for adolescents. Can you just briefly describe a little bit more about what you do with that?
- A Yes. So -- the program is a day program from nine a.m. to 2:30 p.m. and there's five groups a day.

  Groups are varied on different topics such as anxiety, school issues, family issues, pretty much a variety of topics every day. They -- they change. They also get some kind of cognitive behavior therapy and --
  - Q And can you describe the program that J.H.

dialectal behavior therapy and art therapy. So --

was involved in?

A Yes. She was there -- she was in after school for a little bit which is a four to seven program for three hours a day and then she was in the day program and it's the same kind of group criteria. They don't change. It just varies from three hours to five hours a day. She also got individual therapy with me and family therapy.

Q And your curriculum vitae indicates that you have extensive experience working with -- in therapeutic settings. Can you briefly describe a little bit more about the therapeutic settings that you've had experience with?

A Yes. The therapeutic settings are, you know, this is an intensive level of care. So it's the next level from inpatient hospitalization. So I've had a lot of experience with that with immediate care and then Gen Psych. Prior to that was a higher level of care called residential. So that was really intensive therapy and then prior to that was more of an outpatient therapy basis. I've had experience in all of that.

Q Okay and your curriculum vitae indicates that you were trained in dialectic behavior therapy? Can you explain to the Court what that is?

A So yeah when I was at Gen Psych they had us do a

40 hour intensive training of dialectical behavior therapy which are, you know, pretty intense modules for people that have a lot of emotional disregulation, impulsivity, -- they need help with mindfulness and they need help with a lot of family and teenage dilemmas.

- Q Are you familiar with individual education programs or IEPs?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

21

22

- 10 Q Could you briefly describe your experience
  11 with IEPs?
- 12 A Most of the kids that come to this higher level of
  13 care have an IEP through the school district.
  - Q And what is your experience working with children with anxiety?
  - A I have a lot of experience with that.
- Q Can you -- is -- is anxiety one of the primary issues that you deal with at ICCPC?
- 19 A Yes, among -- among others yes. Anxiety is pretty
  20 primary.
  - Q And what if any experience do you have working with children with specific learning disabilities?
- 24 A I have experience with that as well. We have a
  25 lot of ADHD or Asperger clients that need a lot of

adjustment in how they're learning and adjustment in the groups. So --

MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, at this time I'd like to enter P-46 into evidence and offer Melissa Dolgos as an expert.

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, we have no -- the Respondent has no objection to P-46, but I'm not sure what this --

THE COURT: Yeah. There's no proffer -- she's an expert in what?

MS. WARSHAW: She's an expert in counseling adolescents and anxiety.

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, there's been no expert report presented and based upon the testimony and the voir dire by the Counsel I -- I don't think that they've met the burden to show that she's an expert in counseling adolescents and anxiety.

THE COURT: I agree.

MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, we have several letters that said she was a treating psychologist or therapist for J.H. throughout this process. We have multiple letters from her that the District has accepted and has used in their determinations for their IEP regarding this student. I'm happy to ask her more questions if you'd like regarding that, but she was a

Case	1290  Dolgos - Direct  12
1	treating counselor for this this individual.
2	THE COURT: In anxiety, specific to anxiety.
3	You didn't give me anything about anxiety her training
4	or background in it at all.
5	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. I'm
6	THE COURT: Other than that she
7	MS. WARSHAW: happy to ask more questions
8	
9	THE COURT: other than that she sees lots
10	of kids with anxiety.
11	MS. WARSHAW: I'm happy to ask
12	THE COURT: Go ahead.
13	MS. WARSHAW: her more questions.
14	(P-46 was received
15	in evidence.)
16	BY MS. WARSHAW:
17	Q Okay. Ms. Dolgos, can you specifically state
18	your experience dealing with adolescents and anxiety
19	with regard to any of your employments that you had?
20	A Yes. So in within the facilities that I've
21	worked there have been kids that come in with
22	generalized anxiety or, you know, phobia disorders,
23	agoraphobia. So through experience I've learned how to
24	manage kids with anxiety, you know, work with schools
25	and making adjustments and families making adjustments

to help understand the concept of anxiety and how overwhelming it is.

Q And through your training have you had any specific certifications that you've received or anything regarding anxiety or depression?

A Just that dialectical behavior therapy which is a -- component of various disorders.

THE COURT: What was that?

THE WITNESS: The dialectic behavior therapy certification, the 40 hour training that I had done.

It didn't cover any specific disorder. It covered a variety of disorders. It's primarily made for borderline personality, but it incorporates with a lot of other diagnoses.

#### BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q And how long have you been -- let's go back to your experience with ICCPC. Can you describe for me the number of patients that you have that you counsel regarding anxiety, depression and school related issues?

A All the kids that come to the program have school related issues. They are not able to function or go to school. So that's why they come to the higher level of care. So, I mean, typically we have a case load of about 40 to 60 kids and I would say almost all of them

Dolgos - Direct 14 1 have anxiety or depression. 2 And you meet with them individually for 3 counseling? Yes. Not all 40 or 60, but yes. 4 Α How many sessions do you run per week of 5 6 individual counseling? 7 Α Two to three sessions a week. And are they with the same students or with -8 - do they vary? 9 10 They vary. Α 11 So in a program such as yours how often does 12 a student see you for counseling? 13 With -- on my case load which I can hold up from Α 14 ten to 14 clients, that -- I at least see them two to 15 three times a week. So it is the same clients. It's 16 just not just the one client. I guess I was confused 17 by your question with varying. Yeah. Okay and when you worked for Gen Psych you 18 19 had the title of therapist. Is that accurate? 20 Α Yes. 21 Okay. So in that setting your curriculum 22 vitae indicates that you provided individual therapy to 23 adolescents and adults and those with special needs. 24 Can you describe for me the therapies that you

conducted in -- at Gen Psych?

A Yes. So it was the same concept of group and individual and family therapy. I had to adjust, you know, the methods that I used for the clinical background depending upon what the kids' needs were or the adults.

Q And have you ever completed any in service trainings?

A Yes.

Q And can you describe for me whether or not they relate to training in dealing with children with anxiety, depression, school related issues?

A Yes. I've also been to conferences related to anxiety, social anxiety, school anxiety and in service wise, you know, ADHD and learning disorders and anxiety as well. So --

Q And what type of -- can you describe for me approximately how many conferences you've been to and -- and if you recall who ran those conferences?

A It was an NJCA conference. It was a New Jersey conference. I can't -- it was various people running it, but they -- I've been to about three of the all weekend conferences.

Q And you also dealt with students in crisis on the hotline at Gen Psych. Is that accurate?

A Yeah.

16

1 Can you describe for me what issues you face Q 2 with the hotline as well? That was more for danger to self, suicide risk, 3 self harm. 4 5 And do -- did many of those people that you 6 spoke to have issues with anxiety and depression? 7 Α Yes. A lot of times it was more over thinking, worrying, a lot of the underlying issues of anxiety. 8 9 And you also have experience as a New Jersey 10 mentor. Can you describe for me the therapy that you 11 provided to those adolescents and whether or not it 12 related to anxiety, depression, school related issues? 13 That was more intensive level. That was Α Yes. 14 residential. So it was more -- it -- it wasn't 15 necessarily anxiety at that point, but it was more 16 behavioral issues. 17 And have you -- have you yourself run any in service trainings for other employees or staff 18 19 regarding anxiety, depression or school related issues? 20 Yeah. We had in service at ICCPC not too long ago 21 about deconstructing anxiety and -- and how to get to 22 the core root of it. 23 THE COURT: Did you run that? 24 THE WITNESS: I did not run it. I learned it

at the conference and then we had to reteach it to the

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW
1	staff.
2	BY MS. WARSHAW:
3	Q So were you one of the people who retaught it
4	to the staff?
5	A Yeah, myself and and one of my coworkers.
6	Q And do you have any other experience with
7	degrees or conferences or in service trainings that you
8	have experience in the areas of anxiety, depression and
9	school related issues?
10	A Not that I can think of.
11	THE COURT: Have you ever testified in court
12	before as an expert?
13	THE WITNESS: No.
14	THE COURT: Have you written any articles
15	regarding anxiety that have been
16	THE WITNESS: No.
17	THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify her as
18	an expert. You can ask her questions in her capacity
19	as a counselor at ICCPC and
20	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
21	THE COURT: give it whatever weight I deem
22	appropriate.
23	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
24	THE COURT: And that's no disrespect meant to
25	you.

Dolgos - Direct 18 1 THE WITNESS: No. I -- yeah -- it's okay. 2 have a lot of experience. I would not say I'm an 3 expert either. 4 BY MS. WARSHAW: 5 Ms. Dolgos, did you have the opportunity to 6 meet and work with my client, J.H.? 7 Α Yes. Okay. Can you tell me how long you worked 8 9 with J.H.? I had her from October 2016 to December 2016 and 10 Α 11 then from January 2017 to June 2017. 12 THE COURT: Give me those dates again please. 13 THE WITNESS: It was October to December of 2016 and then it was January to June of 2017. 14 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 17 BY MS. WARSHAW: 18 And in what capacity did you work with J.H.? 19 I worked with her as her primary clinician. So I Α 20 was the person that she would go to for individual 21 therapy, crisis management. I also had her in groups a 22 couple times and I did family work with her and her 23 parents. 24 Okay. Can you turn to exhibit P-15? 25 Okay. Α

Dolgos - Direct 19 1 Do you recognize this document? Q 2 Α Yes. 3 0 And --MS. HOWLETT: I think this was remarked as a 4 5 joint exhibit. 6 THE COURT: I --7 MS. WARSHAW: Okay THE COURT: Not to beat a dead horse, I -- I 8 9 don't want to be going back between the three binders 10 now. 11 MS. HOWLETT: Yeah. It was remarked as joint 12 12, Your Honor. J -- J-12. 13 THE COURT: Before we move on, we'll work --14 we'll work that way through it. I don't want to 15 disrupt the hearing. When we're done today you're 16 going to cull what's out of your -- out of your binder 17 -- respective binders that's now a joint exhibit and 18 just you can email me or let me know so I'll pull it out so it's not in and let me know if it's in evidence 19 20 and you can remark it as a joint exhibit. When I have 21 to go to write this up I really don't want to work out 22 of three binders with the same --23 MS. WARSHAW: Understood, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. So what's the number now? 25 J what?

Case 2	1298  Dolgos - Direct 20
1	MS. HOWLETT: J-12.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	MS. HOWLETT: I believe is what Counsel's
4	referencing.
5	BY MS. WARSHAW:
6	Q Have you seen this document before?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. Can you tell me the date of this
9	document?
10	A October 20th, 2016.
11	Q And did you sign this document?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Did you write it?
14	A Yes. I cowrote it with Dr. Srinivasan.
15	Q And can you describe for the Court what this
16	document says?
17	A It is just a letter to notify the school that J.
18	was admitted to the program and the expectation that we
19	have for her length of stay and also since she will be
20	missing school we wanted her to get the tutoring
21	services that we offered.
22	Q And this letter's addressed to who?
23	A To West Morris Central, the high school and then
24	it was attention Joe Joe Cusack (phonetic) because
25	he was the contact I had.

Dolgos - Direct 21 And in this letter you requested home Q instruction for the purposes of what? Since she's missing school we have to request that she gets an educational component. Do you recall why J.H. was admitted to the partial hospitalization program? Yes. She came in with severe depression and anxiety. And was that anxiety related to anything specific? It was a lot of anxiety related to school. During the time that J.H. was in the partial hospitalization program did you notice any improvements? Yes. Can you describe for me what those

Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

improvements were?

Yeah. With -- over time with the individualized attention that she received and our groups are small. We have about, you know, five to six kids per group, and I think that that smaller atmosphere helped her to start to open up socially and engage and -- and therapeutic needs that she had for group.

Okay. Can you turn to the next exhibit? MS. HOWLETT: Did we admit that?

Case 2	1300 Dolgos - Direct 22
1	BY MS. WARSHAW:
2	Q It's going to be J-13. You're going to have
3	P-16
4	THE COURT: No, no. We're not
5	MS. WARSHAW: in your binder.
6	THE COURT: cross-referencing.
7	MS. WARSHAW: Just for for the witness,
8	because that's in her binder.
9	THE COURT: Here.
10	MS. WARSHAW: That's all.
11	THE WITNESS: J-13?
12	BY MS. WARSHAW:
13	Q J-13.
14	A Okay. Okay.
15	Q Do you recognize this document?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And who signed this document?
18	A Myself and Dr. Srinivasan.
19	Q And did you
20	THE COURT: Please describe it for us. It's
21	a letter?
22	THE WITNESS: It is a letter. Yes.
23	THE COURT: Dated?
24	THE WITNESS: To West Morris Regional High
25	School dated 12/2/2016.

Ouse 2	1301  Dolgos - Direct 23
1	THE COURT: 12/2/16?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	BY MS. WARSHAW:
5	Q And did you consult with Dr. Srinivasan in
6	writing this document?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And you both signed it?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And can you please tell the Court what this
11	document is about?
12	A It is the medical clearance for J. to return to
13	school and the transition plan.
14	Q And can you describe what the transition plan
15	would be?
16	A Half days of school in the mornings and then
17	coming to ICCPC in the afternoon. We usually start off
18	with half day transitions for kids that have the higher
19	level of care to go back to school.
20	Q And can you recall why J.H. was medically
21	cleared to return to school?
22	A As I stated before she was doing a little bit
23	better in groups, you know, and and she was a little
24	bit more social and we she was willing to try to go
25	back to school with the help and support of ICCPC.

2.4

1 Q Did you receive any response to this letter 2 from the School District? 3 Α They just needed the letter. Okay and can you describe for me what in 4 5 general you look at as far as improvement for J.H. and 6 what factors you used to determine whether or not she 7 was ready to return to school in December of 2016? With the higher risk behaviors that she came in 8 9 with had to have been decreased and, you know, coping 10 skills had to be in place and demonstration that she 11 was implementing them and just an improvement in mood 12 and affect and of course Dr. Srinivasan had medication 13 management which I think also helped with the mood. So 14 15 And what is your understanding as to what 16 happened to J.H. when she tried to return back to 17 school in December 2016? 18 She went back, I think I encouraged her to try a 19 couple days. She went back two days and she just had a 20 lot of anxiety when she went back. 21 0 And --22 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, is this personal 23 knowledge or is this --24 THE COURT: I was thinking the same thing. 25 MS. WARSHAW: I was --

25

1 BY MS. WARSHAW: 2 Is -- based on what you've just testified to, can you describe for me whether or not that was told to 3 4 you by someone or did you observe that yourself? 5 Α I -- I observed that. I -- from her -- from 6 the notes that I've had in the past and everything she 7 went back two days. And during those two days were you in touch 8 9 with J.H. at all? 10 Yes. She was coming to program for the half days. Α 11 Okay. So did she tell you what she was 12 feeling during those two days when she went back to 13 school --14 Α Yes. 15 -- in December 2016? 16 Α Yes. 17 And did you observe her in an anxious state 18 during those two days? 19 Yes. She did come back to program quite anxious. Α 20 And can you describe for me what you did as a 21 result of her anxious state? 22 I tried to work with her on what we could do to Α 23 make school, you know, help her to feel better at

school. I tried to contact, you know, the school

regarding maybe the 504 plan that we could implement

24

26

for her to make it more comfortable and I worked with I think, you know, Mom and Dad as well on what we could do as a team to help J. go to school.

- $\,$  Q  $\,$  And did you contact somebody at the School District about the 504 plan?
- A Yeah. Joe Cusack was my -- was my contact.
- Q And what if anything occurred during that conversation?
- A They were willing to implement what was written on the 504 plan. They were willing to try or to maybe try a different program if that wouldn't work. I think they were considering ESS for her.
  - Q What's ESS?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- A Effective School Solutions.
- Q And to your knowledge did they ever amend a 504 plan?
- 17 A I don't know. I didn't have follow up with that.
- 18 THE COURT: Can you describe to me what
- 19 Effect, because I never heard that before?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Effective School Solutions?
- 21 THE COURT: Yes please.
- THE WITNESS: It's a specialized program

  within the public high school that has like smaller

  classes, more contained classes, but usually you need

  an IEP for that which is the individualized education

plan which she didn't have at the time.

THE COURT: Thank you.

### BY MS. WARSHAW:

- Q And during those two days that J.H. was -- had a difficult time at school, what if anything was -- was the outcome of the counseling that you provided for her?
- A So the outcome was that, you know, she at least did what -- she was willing to try to go to school which, you know, was really great on her part, because most of the kids, you know, if they're defiant or behavioral they won't go to school, which J. was willing to try. Her anxiety just overwhelmed her.
- Q As J.H.'s counselor, did you find that her behavior was willful or defiant in any way?

  A No.
- Q With your knowledge of J.H., do you believe that J.H.'s anxiety was a conscious behavior or something that was over -- that she was overwhelmed with?
- A I think she was just really overwhelmed and not ready to, you know, really utilize coping skills effectively at that time because the anxiety was severe.
  - Q And after those two days in December of 2016,

- do you know if J.H. went back to school?
  - A I'm sorry. What date?

- Q After those two days in December of 2016 when she tried to go back to school and was anxious, to your knowledge did she go back to school after that?

  A No.
- Q And it was your understanding that for the -in December when she was trying to go back to school
  that she was supposed to return to school on a full
  time basis?
- A No. We typically do the half day transition and we would contact them when she's ready to go back full time.
- Q And in -- in your December 2nd, 2016, letter did you make any other recommendations to the School District?
- A Just with the 504 and accommodations that she would need going back due to the anxiety, such as like taking breaks or, you know, maybe trying to take tests in a private room.
- Q And at the time that you and Dr. Srinivasan wrote the December 2nd, 2016, letter did you feel that J.H was suffering from anxiety in any other areas of her life aside from attending school?
- A No -- just school and social peer related anxiety.

29

1 And does your -- sorry -- does your December Q 2 2nd, 2016, letter include any other recommendations regarding her being successful at school? 3 Just the coping skills and breaks that we 4 5 recommended. 6 Who came up with these recommendations for 7 J.H. to be successful at school? Well, I collaborate with Dr. Sriniavasan on a 8 9 regular basis, but we have a -- a weekly team meeting. 10 So we talk about the case more in depth then and 11 together we kind of decided that's what maybe benefit 12 J., but we also have to go through, you know, sorry, 13 J.H. and her family to discuss that. So --And was J.H. part of that discussion? 14 15 Yes. After I -- I -- I collaborated with the 16 Doctor I collaborated with J.H. and the family to see 17 if they were in agreement. To your knowledge did J.H. ever receive a 504 18 19 plan? 20 I -- I don't think so. 21 THE COURT: Do you know or you don't know? 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know. She discharged 23 shortly after the December period. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. 25 BY MS. WARSHAW:

Dolgos - Direct 30 1 Did the School District to your knowledge Q 2 consult with you or Dr. Srinivasan when they -- when 3 they wrote a 504 plan? 4 Α No. 5 Based on your knowledge of J.H., did she ever 6 have any behavior issues? 7 Α No. To your knowledge if J.H. was around others 8 9 with behavior issues do you think that would have 10 affected her positively or negatively? 11 Α Negatively. 12 And why would you say that? 13 Α With J.'s -- sorry -- J.H.'s anxiety the way it was, she was highly affected by loud noises and -- and 14 15 peers that had a lot of severe issues and would be loud 16 and inappropriate in groups. So a lot of times she 17 would need to take breaks which portrayed in school 18 settings. 19 Okay. I'm going to show you what's been 20 marked J-14. Do you recognize this? 21 Α Yes. 22 Can you tell the Court what this is? 23 This is a letter dated January 6th, 2017. Α 24 THE COURT: January 6th?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Dolgos - Direct 31 1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 THE WITNESS: To Joe Cusack. BY MS. WARSHAW: 3 And who signed this letter? 4 5 Α Myself and Dr. Srinivasan. 6 And did you consult with Dr. Srinivasan with 7 writing this letter? Α Yes. 8 9 Can you describe for the Court what this 10 letter says? 11 It's a recommendation for her to be assessed for 12 an individualized education plan, IEP. 13 And what was the basis for recommending that Q she be assessed for an IEP? 14 15 Because she had difficulty returning to school in 16 December and she needed I think more intensive 17 accommodations due to the severity of her anxiety. 18 Okay. There is a sentence in this letter. 19 Can you tell me what this means, "J.H.'s anxiety has 20 also prevented her from being able to attend a regular 21 high school as she feels judged, pressured and scared?" So as she reported in sessions there was a lot of 22 Α 23 fear of the large hallways, the noises, the peers in 24 the school. She felt a lot of judgment from the peers 25 as she did not relate to them.

Q Do you recall why she didn't relate to the peers?

A She has a lot of social anxiety to begin with and it was difficult for her to connect with peers and it was just a lot of them had a lot of -- they were loud and already friendly and it just scared her to be a part of that.

Q Can you also describe what you meant in your letter when you said, "J.H. greatly improved while in program. Does in fact that she is able to be in small group -- small class group settings process her feelings and emotions and receive more individualized attention from school work."

A Um-hum.

Q Can you describe what you meant by that sentence?

A So since the groups are small with approximately five to six kids per group, if they get larger we usually end up splitting the groups in our program, she seemed to be more comfortable when that happened, because sometimes the groups were a little bit larger and she did get more anxiety when that happened and then she had individualized tutoring since she was out of school which she seemed to excel with.

Q And you indicated in this letter that she

greatly improved when she received more individualized attention for school work. Can you describe or can you give some examples as to what she excelled in when she had the individualized work?

A She just seemed to have better grades, the anxiety seemed to decrease. I can't talk about the academic component itself, because I wasn't -- that's a separate component from the clinical, but yeah.

Q Did J.H. ever report to you that that was a - a better learning environment for her?

A Yes. She said that it was better to have somebody walk her through the steps and to be more patient with her.

Q You indicated in your letter dated January 6th, 2017, that one of J.H.'s diagnosis was axis four educational social support. Could you tell me what that means?

A So that means -- like there's primary stressors upon diagnosis and the primary stressor for her was education which is why she was, you know, having a hard time with going back to school and then social support meaning that there was a lot of lack of peer support that she had, a lot of social anxiety, very little social support outside of the immediate family.

Q To your knowledge did J.H. have friends at

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 433 of 590 PageID:

Ousc 2	1312  Dolgos - Direct  34
1	the regular high school?
2	A No.
3	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, again is this
4	personal knowledge or
5	THE WITNESS: This is what
6	MS. WARSHAW: I asked her if it was
7	THE COURT: She was answering. Go ahead.
8	THE WITNESS: That's what J. report sorry
9	J.H. reported to me.
10	THE COURT: You could say J.
11	THE WITNESS: Okay.
12	THE COURT: Just when the transcripts get
13	done it's going to get washed out.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	THE COURT: And
16	THE WITNESS: I'm like
17	THE COURT: They'll put the initials in. So
18	you don't have to worry about it.
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	THE WITNESS: That's what, you know, I mean I
22	did work with her on social aspects a lot. She did
23	have friends at times, but there was a lot of anxiety
24	that caused her to not maintain friendships, a lot of
25	fear of judgment, worrying, over analyzing things that

Dolgos - Direct 35 1 peers would say. So it affected her friendships. 2 BY MS. WARSHAW: 3 To your knowledge did J.H. have a learning 4 disability? 5 Not to my knowledge, but there is no evidence for 6 that. 7 And you also indicated in your letter dated January 6th, 2017, that J.H. had major depressive 8 9 disorder, recurrent severe without psychotic features and generalized anxiety disorder. Can you describe for 10 11 the Court what that means? 12 So depression would mean, you know, sadness, 13 isolation, sometimes suicidal thoughts, you know, low 14 self esteem. Without psychotic features just means 15 that she didn't have delusions or hallucinations. 16 There was no, you know, psychosis and generalized 17 anxiety is basically having anxiety on a regular basis caused by various issues with peers in school and --18 19 and family. 20 I'm going to show you what's been marked J-Can you tell me what that is? 21 This is dated March 15th, 2017, and it's a 22 Α 23 psychiatric evaluation. 24 THE COURT: By whom?

THE WITNESS: By Dr. Srinivasan.

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 435 of 590 Page
	1314 Dolgos - Direct 36
1	BY MS. WARSHAW:
2	Q Did you consult with Dr. Srinivasan regarding
3	what to include int his evaluation?
4	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, did she prepare
5	this evaluation? This appears to be signed by the
6	Doctor.
7	MS. WARSHAW: I'm just asking her if she
8	THE COURT: She asked her I'm going to
9	allow that question. Go ahead.
10	THE WITNESS: We did we did talk about him
11	doing the evaluation and he took initiative on writing
12	it himself and preparing the questions and the wording
13	himself, but we did talk about him doing the
14	evaluation.
15	BY MS. WARSHAW:
16	Q Okay. Were you aware of what the evaluation
17	said regarding J.H.?
18	A He shared it with me after he wrote it.
19	THE COURT: I'm not going to allow her to
20	testify about somebody else's report.
21	MS. WARSHAW: I understand. This is already
22	it is a joint exhibit.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MS. WARSHAW: So

THE COURT: But I don't need her to interpret

Dolgos - Direct 37 1 it. If Dr. S. is going to come in, great. 2 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. 3 THE COURT: If he's not going to come in, I can read it myself. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. 6 THE COURT: Okay. And I said "Dr. S.," 7 because I can't pronounce his name. THE WITNESS: We call him Dr. S. anyway. 8 9 THE COURT: There you go. See that. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 BY MS. WARSHAW: 12 Did you ever discuss with Dr. Srinivasan an 13 appropriate educational placement for J.H.? 14 Α Yes. 15 And can you describe for me what that 16 discussion was? 17 Basically we -- we both agreed that it was better for her to be in a smaller educational environment, 18 19 that would help her with her, you know, learning needs 20 and her anxiety. 21 Did there ever come a time when you were made 22 aware of the District's intention to place J.H. in a 23 different high school in the District in a self 24 contained behavior class? 25 Yes. They did mention that. Α

Dolgos - Direct 38 1 And who mentioned that to you? Q 2 Joe Cusack I -- I believe mentioned that. I'd 3 have to check. And did he describe to you what that program 4 5 was? 6 Α That would be the Effective School Solution 7 program, the ESS program. It's a smaller component of the regular high school that would have contained 8 9 classrooms and they have therapists there as well. 10 And was it your understanding that J.H. would 11 have to go to another large high school to get to that 12 program? 13 Α Yes. 14 Was that a learning environment that you and 15 Dr. Srinivasan agreed with? 16 Α No. 17 Can you describe why? 18 Him and I discussed how, you know, we just 19 encouraged J. to go look -- J. to go look at it and she 20 did go look at it from the records that I remember in 21 my notes, but she -- it was still too large of a school 22 environment for her. She did better in the 23 individualized setting like in our program. So we

recommended something that was a little bit smaller

even than that program.

24

Dolgos - Direct 39 1 In general are there various underlying Q 2 factors that can cause anxiety? 3 Α Yes. And in general does anxiety affect people 4 5 differently? 6 Α Yes. 7 Do you have an opinion as to -- based on your treatment of J.H. as to the underlying cause of her 8 anxiety, if -- if you haven't already stated it? 9 10 Most of her anxiety did stem from educational and Α 11 social support that we talked about. 12 Did there come a time when you were made 13 aware that the school district wanted to classify J.H. as emotionally disturbed? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 And did you agree with the proposed 17 classification emotionally disturbed? 18 Α No.

Can you describe why?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Most of the kids that have emotionally disturbed Α as the diagnoses in the IEP are behavior, like oppositional defiant kids or kids that have more severe issues.

Did you ever review any of the evaluations conducted by the District in support of their proposed

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 439 of 590 Pagel 1318  Dolgos - Direct 40
1	IEP?
2	A Yeah, it was shared with me after it was written.
3	Q Do you recall who shared it with you?
4	A I believe Mom gave me a copy of it.
5	Q Do you ever recall reviewing an educational
6	evaluation by the School District?
7	A The the IEP plan, is that what you're asking?
8	Did I
9	Q Did you do you recall any educational
10	evaluation conducted by a child study team?
11	A No. Dr. Srinivasan had to do the report.
12	Q Okay. Based on your experience as a
13	therapist, can you comment as to whether or not you
14	think learning disabilities can cause anxiety for
15	students?
16	A Yes. They can. If there's difficulty focusing,
17	frustration with, you know, not understanding something
18	in in a classroom, but they have fear of asking for
19	help, that can all lead to anxiety.
20	Q Do you typically review IEPs for your
21	patients?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Showing what's been marked J-19. Can you
24	tell me what date this is?

A This is dated August 17th, 2017.

Dolgos - Direct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

41

And can you describe what this document is? This is a letter, just describing to the school J.'s anxiety and the effects that it has on her education. And did you write this letter? Α I did.

Can you describe for the Court specifically what this letter says?

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the witness' testimony on the basis of Your Honor's order regarding the snapshot rule and the evidence presented as this letter's dated after the IEP was presented in April 2017. This letter is dated in August 2017. Pursuant to Your Honor's order, "The Petitioner shall be permitted to submit evidence dated after the date of the IEP only for the purpose of demonstrating that the District failed to comply with the IDEA after the date of the IEP." It appears that this testimony is going to be substantive as to what this letter entails.

THE COURT: Ms. Warshaw?

MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, this letter was dated in August of 2017, plenty of time for the District to amend the IEP. They had at least one independent evaluation at that time and they failed to 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do so. We have a right to show that they had plenty of time to amend the IEP and failed -- failed to do so and that that was their responsibility and their violation of the IDEA, because they at the day that school started there was nothing in place for this child. There was no appropriate placement whatsoever and they had plenty of time and knowledge to -- to do that. THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. You can address it on cross and when you submit -- when you submit your closing statements you can address it as too late. MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Proceed. MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Thank you. BY MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Ms. Dolgos, can you please describe for the Court what this letter states? It's a description of how, you know, she -- she was unable to complete most of her assignments due to anxiety and confusion and it was also just describing how from my -- my point of view that, you know, what I've seen clinically that there was no behavioral issues as the IEP stated that there were.

And is it fair to say that you again requested that J.H. be provided with small classroom

Dolgos - Direct 43 1 with college bound peers? 2 Α Yes. 3 And what if anything did you say int his 4 letter about her struggling in large group settings? 5 It just -- it affects her anxiety more and it 6 causes more confusion for her and she just -- she --7 she shuts down usually when she is in those larger group settings. 8 9 You also indicated specifically in this 10 letter about why J.H. was unable to return to school 11 after the two days in December of 2016. Can you 12 describe for the Court what the reasoning is that you 13 put in this letter? I have to refresh my memory. I'm sorry. 14 15 Sure. Take your time. 16 THE COURT: Didn't we address that already? 17 MS. WARSHAW: It is in this letter --18 THE COURT: No, but didn't this witness 19 address that already --THE WITNESS: Yeah. It was --20 21 THE COURT: -- through previous testimony why 22 -- why J. didn't go back to school? 23 MS. WARSHAW: Right, but it's also included 24 in this letter which is pertinent, because it also went

to the District again reiterating her concerns and

Dolgos - Direct 44 1 again they didn't do anything. 2 THE COURT: Okay. But the letter speaks for itself and I'm going to read it because it's a joint 3 4 exhibit. So it's already in. 5 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 7 THE COURT: Ask another question please. BY MS. WARSHAW: 8 9 In this letter dated August 17th, 2017, did 10 you make a recommendation regarding a school that 11 offers therapy? 12 I made -- I - we suggested a structured but non --13 non-strict educational environment that can help her function better with more flexible schedules for her. 14 15 So did you recommend a therapeutic placement 16 or a non-therapeutic placement for J.H.? 17 It -- we didn't specify therapy. We just said 18 smaller school. 19 Okay. I'm going to show you what's been 20 marked P-30 which is not to my knowledge a joint 21 exhibit. 22 THE COURT: Which books? You could just put 23 it up here. 24 BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q Have you ever seen this letter before?

Guod 2	1323  Dolgos - Cross 45
1	A No.
2	Q Is Evelyn Kaminsky (phonetic) somebody who
3	works at ICCPC?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. Thank you. Did you ever become aware
6	that J.H. was undergoing independent evaluations and
7	she was diagnosed with a specific learning disability?
8	A No.
9	THE COURT: What was the answer?
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	MS. WARSHAW: All right. No more questions
12	at this time.
13	THE COURT: Before you start cross I want to
14	take a short break for me.
15	MS. HOWLETT: Sure, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you.
18	THE COURT: Five minutes.
19	(BRIEF RECESS)
20	THE COURT: Okay. We're back from the break.
21	Cross, Ms. Howlett?
22	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT:
24	Q Good morning.
25	A Good morning.

Dolgos - Cross 46 1 Melissa -- can I call you Melissa? Q 2 Α Yes. 3 It's just easier, right? 4 Α Yes. 5 Okay. So I'm going to try and make this as 6 quick as possible. You testified earlier that you 7 treated or provided counseling to J. through June 2017? Α Um-hum. 8 9 You're not seeing her anymore? 10 Α No. 11 And at that time do you recall what her 12 educational situation was? Was she planning on 13 attending school? No. We were -- I know that they were planning on 14 15 doing a different placement, but it was summertime. 16 there wasn't really a lot of school involvement at that 17 point. 18 And by "they" do you mean, like, "the 19 family?" The family, yes. 20 Α 21 In your letters, J-13, you probably don't 22 have to even flip to it. 23 Α Okay. 24 You talked about transition -- a transition

25

plan?

1325

Dolgos - Cross 47

1 A Um-hum.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Q And you said you normally recommend transition planning for kids that are having school anxiety— related anxiety?

A Yes.

Q Can you describe what that looks like normally?

A Yeah. Any kid that usually goes from school to our higher level of care, we contact the schools about half day transitions just to make it easier for the mental health and -- and emotional aspect of the kids and the schools are usually accommodating to that.

- Q Is the goal to get kids back into school --
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q -- on a full time basis?
- 16 A Yes. It's a slow transition.
- 17 Q Was that initially your goal for J.?
- 18 A Yes.
- Q Are you aware that the -- the IEP that the
  District provided for J. actually provides for a half
  day transition program?
- A I -- I don't remember. I haven't seen the IEP in a while. No.
- Q Do you know if she had a half day transition program for her current school placement?

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 447 of 590 Pagel 1326  Dolgos – Cross 48
1	A No.
2	Q No, you don't know or no, she didn't?
3	A I I don't believe she did. No.
4	Q You said that J. was suffering from social
5	and peer related anxiety.
6	A Um-hum.
7	Q Can you talk a little bit more about that,
8	what she reported to you?
9	A Yes. J. has always had difficulty making friends
10	in the school settings. She has a hard time just, you
11	know, contacting peers and collaborating and and
12	fitting in and it's the large social settings she
13	hasn't really been able to function in those when I had
14	her. She had difficulty, you know, leaving the house,
15	just the social atmosphere in general scared her.
16	Q So social atmospheres in general were an
17	issue for her?
18	A Yes.
19	Q So that's not necessarily just school. It
20	could be any sort of social situations?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And what do you usually recommend for in
23	your experience for individuals that are having, you
24	know, trouble with social anxiety?
25	A We usually try to have them, you know, start off

in like a small setting as, you know, such as ourselves
-- like ICCPC and then maybe transition to social
groups outside of school that are groups in like
depression or anxiety as well, things that -- they can
relate to when they leave our program.

Q You mentioned using coping skills and breaks or recommending things like that for kids that are suffering from especially school related anxiety. Is that --

A Um-hum.

Q -- is that accurate? So what does that look like, coping skills and breaks? Can you talk a little bit more about that?

A Yes. So most of the time if -- if kids are returning to school with anxiety we recommend that they at least maybe can work out a plan where they can go see the guidance counselor and, you know, take breaks to go to the bathroom maybe like once or twice per class depending upon what the school agrees to and coping skills would be -- you know, that would be more or less just deep breathing and -- and teachers trying to help or professionals in the school that can try to help with anxiety, have them come down. So --

Q Do you still have the joint binder in front of you? Oh, I think so.

Dolgos - Cross 50 Can you just turn to J-9? It's the IEP. And then if you flip through on the bottom it's going to be marked "WM028." See the little numbers at the bottom?

- Α Yup.
- Q Okay. 8

Yeah.

Okay.

9 Α Okay.

Α

Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

18

19

- 10 Have you -- you testified earlier I believe 11 that you reviewed the IEP. Is that --
- 12 I did see it, yes, when they first got it. Α
- 13 At some point. Q
- 14 Α Um-hum.
- 15 Can you -- this page, it's entitled 16 modifications, supports and progress reports?
- 17 Α Um-hum.
  - At the -- at the top box you'll see filled in, were -- were you aware that the IEP provides for extended time on tests and quizzes?
- 21 Yes. I believe that that was on there. Yes. Α
- 22 And meeting with the counselor, the school 23 counselor upon J.'s request whenever she needed to?
- 24 Α Um-hum.
- 25 And frequent breaks?

Dolgos - Cross 51

1 A Yes.

Q That sounds like what you were recommending as far as coping skills and breaks for school related anxiety. Does that --

A Yeah.

0 -- sound accurate?

A Yes.

Q You spoke earlier about your joint letter with Dr. S. I'm not even going to attempt to say it, from January. That was J-14 just for reference.

There's a recommendation in here that -- that J. be assessed and approved from an IEP.

A Right.

Q What -- what's the basis for that?

A We usually consider that when kids are having a really hard time to go to school to make it easier for them to transition to school and we collaborate with the schools on that recommendation.

Q Are you personally familiar with the Administrative Code regarding eligibility for special ed.?

A No. Usually the schools would tell me whether or not they qualify before assessing.

Q Right. You testified earlier that you didn't agree with the classification of emotionally disturbed.

Dolgos - Cross 52 1 I think it's because you said that most kids with 2 emotionally disturbed are behavioral, they have ODD and 3 more severe issues. Um-hum. 4 Α 5 Does that sound accurate? 6 Α Yes. 7 So what classification did you think was 8 appropriate for J.? 9 I was just thinking maybe more along the lines of 10 either assessing for ADHD or learning, you know, a 11 learning disability, because I know she had 12 difficulties in math, but that's all I was aware of. 13 How did you know she had difficulties in 14 math? 15 That was reported with the tutoring, that she had 16 -- she --17 The tutor reported to you or --Yeah. She needed more help with the math 18 19 component. 20 And ADHD, does she have an ADHD diagnosis? No. I mean, I'm not the specialist in IEPs. I 21 22 just didn't think that emotionally disturbed really fit 23 Sometimes IEPs have other health impaired or they

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I'm just going to

have less severe diagnoses.

24

	1331 Dolgos - Cross 53
1	refer to the Code which isn't obviously in evidence,
2	but
3	BY MS. HOWLETT:
4	Q Are you aware that the criteria for
5	emotionally disturbed includes an inability to build or
6	maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships with
7	peers and teachers?
8	MS. WARSHAW: Objection, Your Honor, because
9	
10	THE COURT: No. I want to hear it.
11	THE WITNESS: No.
12	BY MS. HOWLETT:
13	Q Are you aware that the criteria for
14	emotionally disturbed includes a general pervasive mood
15	of unhappiness or depression?
16	A No.
17	Q Or that the criteria includes a tendency to
18	develop physical symptoms or fears associated with
19	personal or school problems?
20	A No.
21	Q Are those the type of characteristics that
22	you would use to describe to J.?
23	A Some of them I think, yes.
24	Q An inability to build or maintain
25	satisfactory interpersonal relationships with peers and

Ousc 2	1332  Dolgos - Cross  54
1	teachers?
2	A Yes.
3	Q A general pervasive mood of unhappiness or
4	depression?
5	A Yes. Depression was better when she went to
6	school, but yeah, I think that would still qualify.
7	Q A tendency to develop physical symptoms or
8	fears associated with personal or school problems?
9	A Yes.
10	MS. HOWLETT: And Your Honor, for the record
11	that's <u>N.J.A.C.</u> 6A:14
12	THE COURT: I know what it is. Thank you.
13	MS. HOWLETT: Okay. I just didn't know for
14	the record purposes.
15	THE COURT: I was
16	MS. HOWLETT: I know Your Honor knows.
17	THE COURT: I was going to ask her that
18	question myself.
19	MS. HOWLETT: Recording.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	BY MS. HOWLETT:
22	Q You testified earlier that J. had some
23	difficulty returning to school when she tried
24	A Um-hum.
25	Q in December and my notes say that you

1 testified that she needed more intensive accommodations

2 due to the severity of her anxiety. What type of

3 accommodations were you referring to?

A Well, this is before the IEP got implemented. So

I -- I would say that that's why in January we

recommended that.

Q Okay. That --

A Yeah.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

19

Q -- was the --

A Um-hum.

11 Q Right. What type of accommodations in school
12 do you generally recommend for students that are

suffering from school related anxiety?

A It's -- it's pretty much the same that we -
that's on her IEP, the -- the breaks, individualized

help with guidance counselors, sometimes ability to use

coping skills, you know, within the classroom,

sometimes classrooms don't allow certain coping skills.

So we make accommodations.

20 Q Right.

21 A Yeah.

Q Have you ever been to West Morris Central

23 High School?

24 A No.

25 Q Or West Morris or Mendham High School, yeah.

56 1 Α No. 2 West Morris Mendham. Have you ever observed 3 the being successful program at Mendham High School? 4 Α No. 5 Did you contact anyone from the program at 6 any time? 7 Α No. Do you know how many students are in the 8 Q 9 program? 10 Α No. 11 Or what the characteristics of the students 12 in the program are? 13 Α No. So in this January letter you recommended a 14 15 therapeutic setting to continue progressing with 16 anxiety, depression and function in school. 17 Α Um-hum. 18 Not to be redundant, but as far as 19 therapeutic setting, what -- can you describe what you 20 meant by that? 21 Just to have access to therapeutic needs in 22 school, whether it's a counselor or extra services with 23 aids or whatever she could get. 24 And J-19, that was that last letter that 25 August one that was -- that you spoke about before,

1 testified to.

A Um-hum.

Q I noticed that Dr. S. didn't send this letter. Is there -- but he had signed all the previous letters that had gone to the -- the school.

A Right.

Q Is there a reason why he didn't sign this one?

A He wasn't involved at that time, because I think they followed up with Evelyn Kaminsky for outpatient which is why she wrote the October letter. J. was discharged in June, but I did follow up with her in the summer at least twice a month for just regular outpatient therapy and then that's -- I stopped seeing them briefly after that and then I think they were seeing Evelyn.

Q So what prompted you writing this letter in August?

A Just to further explain the emotional component of J. and the anxiety. You know, I wasn't aware of what emotionally disturbed actually meant. So I just wanted to clarify what my perspective was.

- Q Did anyone ask you to write this letter?
- 24 A Yes. The family asked me to write it.
- Q Did they say why?

Dolgos - Cross 58 1 I --I mean, I can't remember actually. Α 2 -- almost a year ago. That's okay. Questions I have to ask. 3 4 Α Okay. 5 So just to clarify, you stopped treating her 6 in June and the family contacted you at some point 7 after that? We had a follow up plan for outpatient. 8 9 Okay. 10 So in the summer the plan was for her to continue 11 with mea and then during the school year find a 12 different therapist starting the next school year. 13 she did see me in the summer prior to September. 14 So in your previous letters you had 15 recommended a therapeutic setting, access to a 16 counselor, everything that you had testified to 17 already. In -- in this letter you said that she's not in need of therapy while in school. Can you explain 18 19 that? 20 I guess because the plan was that she was going to have outpatient therapy outside of school. 21 22 figured that would be enough for her after further 23 evaluation. In -- in the summer she seemed to be doing

Q So is that ordinary for a student with major

better, but she also wasn't in school. So yeah.

24

depressive disorder and school related anxiety not to need any therapeutic supports in school?

A Not necessarily, no. I mean, it depends upon where -- you know, where they're at with their ability to -- to manage their anxiety and at that time she was doing better. So I figured outpatient maybe would be more -- you know, enough if -- since school -- there was a hard time with her going to school, but as long as the accommodations were in place which they were from the IEP which I also think is why I recommended outpatient outside of school.

Q So you recommend the outpatient with the assumption that she would be provided with those -- with the -- what was the IEP?

A IEP, yeah.

Q So that -- your recommendation here for not being in need of therapy while in school, that was really -- that recommendation was based on the fact that you believed that the IEP would be implemented?

A Yes. I guess -- I guess too part of me meant that like not necessarily, she doesn't need a therapeutic school. So I -- I think that my wording maybe could have been better in this letter honestly, but just some kind of -- she needed some kind of therapy outside of school, but not within the school necessarily.

60

1 Q So when you wrote this letter you still were 2 recommending that she receive frequent breaks? Yeah. I mean, I -- I definitely think that the 3 structured but not strict educational environment can 4 5 help her function better. It was just in general like 6 having her to be an environment that's smaller, but I 7 didn't want to necessarily recommend therapeutic school without a -- a doctor signing off on it. So yeah. 8 9 Do you think that J. needed to have access to 10 a counselor while in school? 11 I mean, at the time it -- it probably would have 12 been helpful for her to have a counselor in school, 13 yes, and I mean, I also thought maybe she would stick 14 with me outside of school. So I wasn't really sure 15 what the transition plan would be come the school year. 16 MS. HOWLETT: Just one moment, Your Honor. 17 I'm sorry. 18 BY MS. HOWLETT: 19 Were you aware that the IEP couldn't be 20 implemented because the parents didn't consent to it? 21 Α No. 22 Were you aware that the District offered J. a 23 504 plan for the 2017-'18 school year? 24 No. I -- I -- I know I wrote the letter and I --25 I didn't -- you know, she was discharged for a couple

Dolgos - Redirect 1 of weeks in December and then come January I know that 2 it wasn't implemented, but I'm not sure why. So --That was the '16-'17 school year? 3 4 Α Right. 5 Are you aware that the District offered J. a 6 504 plan for the upcoming year? 7 Α No. For the '17-'18 school year? 8 9 Α No. 10 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I have no further 11 questions at this time. 12 THE COURT: Any redirect? 13 MS. WARSHAW: Yes. 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW: 15 Ms. Dolgos, you indicated that you were in 16 agreement with some of the modifications set forth in 17 the IEP. Were you aware of the actual placement that the District wanted to put J.H. in in that IEP? 18 19 I honestly do not remember what they suggested. Α 20 think at the -- I think it was ESS program, but I -- I 21 can't recall for a fact. 22 Did any of your recommendations or Dr. 23 Srinivasan's recommendations include putting J.H. in a 24 self contained behavioral class in a large high school? 25 Α No.

Dolgos - Redirect 62 1 So you're not aware that that is the Q 2 placement that was proposed in the IEP? 3 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, this is one, assuming facts that are in evidence. Counsel's 4 characterizing for her and we've already had testimony 5 6 about that. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. 8 MS. HOWLETT: Thank you. BY MS. WARSHAW: 9 10 You had indicated that J.H. was suffering 11 from school related anxiety. Would you say that her anxiety was -- or that she had generalized pervasive 12 13 mood or depression throughout every aspect of her life 14 or just related to school? 15 For depression or anxiety? 16 Let's start with anxiety. 17 Anxiety was mostly related to school. Some peer social anxiety as I mentioned. 18 19 Depression was mostly a personal issue that she 20 was suffering with. 21 So in your letters when you indicated a 22 therapeutic setting were you referring to a therapeutic 23 out of District placement or a school environment with 24 supports?

Just school environment with supports.

	1341 Dolgos - Redirect 63
1	Q Were you aware that the IEP proposed by the
2	District did not address her math issues?
3	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, this is
4	objection.
5	THE COURT: Remember the IEP, she already
6	said that.
7	THE WITNESS: Should I answer the question?
8	I don't know
9	THE COURT: No.
10	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
11	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Okay. That's okay.
12	BY MS. WARSHAW:
13	Q Ms. Dolgos, do you use the Administrative
14	Code definition of emotionally disturbed when you
15	determine your diagnosis of a student?
16	A No. We just use the DSM criteria for mental
17	health diagnoses.
18	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. No further questions.
19	THE COURT: Okay. You can step down.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
22	MS. WARSHAW: I'm going to show our next
23	witness in.
24	THE COURT: Now?
25	MS. WARSHAW: I'm getting our next witness.

Casc 2	1342 Platt - Direct
1	She's having trouble parking.
2	THE WITNESS: Do you want me to
3	THE COURT: You can just leave that there.
4	THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay.
5	THE COURT: You're free to go. Thank you.
6	THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: While Ms. Warshaw's doing that,
8	my secretary just handed me a note. So I'm going to go
9	find out what that note is about.
10	(Pause in recording.)
11	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Warshaw has located
12	her witness.
13	How are you? How are you?
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Do me a favor and raise your
16	right hand?
17	E L L E N M. P L A T T, PETITIONER'S WITNESS,
18	SWORN:
19	THE COURT: State your name, spell your last
20	name.
21	THE WITNESS: Ellen M. Platt, P-L-A-T-T.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Proceed.
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW:
24	Q Okay. Dr. Platt, could you please state your
25	full name for the record and your job title?

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 464 of 590 Page II 1343
	Platt - Direct 65
1	A Ellen M for Michelle Platt, P-L-A-T-T. I'm a
2	child and adolescent psychiatrist.
3	THE COURT: Child what psychiatrist?
4	THE WITNESS: Child and adolescent.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	THE WITNESS: Well, also and general
7	psychiatrist.
8	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Your Honor, although
9	in your J-21, do you have Dr. Platt's curriculum vitae?
10	Because I didn't see
11	THE COURT: I hope so.
12	MS. WARSHAW: that.
13	THE COURT: Dr. Platt, you have you have
14	the joint exhibit book there?
15	MS. WARSHAW: It should be a black book.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
17	THE COURT: Could you look to see if J-21 is
18	your C.V.?
19	MS. WARSHAW: Otherwise I have it.
20	THE WITNESS: J-21 is
21	THE COURT: Just 21. Number
22	MS. HOWLETT: It's not included, Your Honor.
23	I can save everybody time. It's not
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MS. HOWLETT: in J-21.

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 465 of 590 PageID:

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW   Document 13-21   Filed 11/26/19   Page 465 of 590 Page) 
	Platt - Direct 66
1	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Then I'm going to use my
2	exhibit.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	BY MS. WARSHAW:
5	Q Okay. Dr. Platt
6	THE COURT: Let's stop for a second. Are we
7	going to are we going to challenge her credentials?
8	MS. HOWLETT: No. We'll stipulate to
9	THE COURT: Okay. What are you offering her
10	as?
11	MS. WARSHAW: She's an expert in
12	THE COURT: In child and adolescent
13	psychiatrist.
14	MS. WARSHAW: Correct.
15	THE COURT: Psychiatry. Sorry.
16	MS. WARSHAW: And she's done independent
17	THE WITNESS: Correct.
18	MS. WARSHAW: evaluations. So
19	THE COURT: You're qualified.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: You're welcome.
22	MS. WARSHAW: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right. J-21's her C.V. What
24	what's the P number?
25	MS. WARSHAW: So

	1345 Platt - Direct 67
1	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
2	MS. WARSHAW: P-33.
3	THE COURT: P-33 is her C.V.
4	MS. WARSHAW: Has her yes.
5	THE COURT: And she's qualified as an expert
6	without objection. Okay.
7	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Well, that saves a lot
8	of questions.
9	THE COURT: It certainly does.
10	BY MS. WARSHAW:
11	Q Okay. You were hired am I correct that
12	you were hired by the West Morris Regional High School
13	District to perform an independent evaluation of J.H.?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Were you ever informed by the School District
16	as to what this independent evaluation was going to be
17	used for?
18	A Well, they sent me referral information which I
19	always request prior to doing an evaluation so that I
20	understand the basic issues that have been going on
21	with any student.
22	Q And do you recall what information the
23	District sent to you regarding J.H.?
24	A I they sent me
25	MS. HOWLETT: Is the witness referring it

Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 467 of 590 PageID: Platt - Direct 68 1 looks like --2 MS. WARSHAW: I can refer you --MS. HOWLETT: -- she has her own file. 3 4 BY MS. WARSHAW: 5 Okay. In -- I can refer you to your report. 6 Would it be in your report? 7 Α It should be. Yes. Okay. So let's go to the black binder, J-21. 8 9 That should be your report. 10 Yes, it is. Α 11 Okay. Can you -- just for the record can you 12 state the date of that report? 13 The date of the consultation was September 6th, 2017. 14 15 MS. HOWLETT: And Your Honor, for the record 16 I just want to maintain my objection the date of this 17 report is after the IEP was --18 THE COURT: Understood. 19 BY MS. WARSHAW: 20 So you were going to state the documents that were sent to you by the School District prior to you 21 22 writing your report. Can you state those for the 23 record? 24 Well, yes, actually they're listed in my report.

The documents that I received included a social history

Platt - Direct

by the school social worker, Ms. Goldberg (phonetic), that was dated 1/18/17, a psychological evaluation by the school psychologist Sherry Wilk (phonetic) dated 1/19/17, a psychiatric evaluation by Dr. Shankar (phonetic) Srinivasan dated 3/15/17, an IEP dated April 6, 2017, a letter from Melissa Dolgos, licensed associate counselor and senior clinician dated 8/17/17, psycho-educational report by Natalie Shuberth (phonetic), Psy.D., BCBAD, dated 8/21/17.

- Q Did the School District provide you with any educational testing that the School District did?

  A If they had -- it would have been listed in these documents.
- Q Okay. Great. So based on the information that you were provided do you feel that your independent evaluation report is a fair and accurate representation of what was going on with J.H.?

  A Yes, I do.
- Q And is it fair to say that your findings and conclusions are based on a reasonable degree of medical certainty with your expertise as a psychiatrist?

  A Yes.
- Q I'm going to refer you to page ten of your report. In the third paragraph, you refer to J.H. as being extremely fearful of entering school buildings,

appearing phobic and she describes becoming weak and unable to proceed -- proceed upon approaching the school. Can you tell the Court what those are symptoms of?

A Wait just one second. Exactly where -- oh, I see it. Okay. Okay. Well, they could be symptoms of a number of different disorders. They could be symptoms of a generalized anxiety disorder. They could be related to a depressive disorder. They could also be related to a developmental disorder.

Q Can you describe for the Court what the substance of your report says regarding J.H., what your findings were?

A Well, I think that I found her to be relatively non-functional at the time that I saw her. She had severe anxiety. She had irrational fears. She felt socially excluded. She had been at a treatment center, intensive outpatient treatment center, ICCPC, for some time and she also presented with features of depression and related anxiety. So her overall presentation was that she was highly symptomatic and honestly I would say at best marginally functional.

Q And what did you attribute the underlying reasons for being in this dysfunctional state? Was it related to anything in particular?

Platt - Direct 1 It was related to her neuro-behavioral structure Α 2 which was manifested in a very aggressive way when she attended school. 3 4 On page 11 of your report, the first Q 5 paragraph, can you describe for the Court what you 6 meant by that as well, where it begins with, "She 7 remains exceedingly emotionally fragile?" That --8 Α 9 The first four lines of page 11. 10 That's not the first four lines on this page 11. Α 11 Oh, okay. Then it's different. I have page 12 12 of 15, on the bottom. 13 Α This page 12 is --14 Okay. If you go back --15 The reason -- the reason is that this was a draft 16 which I sent prior to sending the final report, but I 17 typically send a draft to the District. So the staff will have a sense of my general overview. 18 19 Okay. So I'm looking at the final draft 0 20 which is what I had in P -- in my exhibits. So why 21 don't we refer to the final draft? Because that was 22 the final report, correct? 23 Α Yeah. Yes. 24 Okay. Was there any changes in your draft

report after you showed it to the District and your

boddinent 10 L1 Thea 11/20/10	r age +1 ± or ooo r ager
1350	
Platt - Direct	72

final report?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I would honestly have to go over it line by line, but typically the changes are grammar, spelling, tense and things of that nature. There are occasions when I may add information that I received after the initial information or sometimes I will re-review the case and alter -- want to add something to my determination.

Okay. Do you recall if you reviewed the case again after you sent your draft to the District and added or changed anything in your report?

I -- I keep a lot of -- of those things. So if you just hang on I will --

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I believe the witness is referring to her own personal notes that are

THE WITNESS: It is personal notes. Yes. MS. HOWLETT: Right. I just want to bring

that to the Court's attention. We don't have -- I

don't know what she's --

THE COURT: I don't know either. You're not going to be referring to them, because she doesn't have them.

THE WITNESS: Okay. That's fine.

MS. WARSHAW: I don't have them either.

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 472 of 590 Pagel 1351
	Platt - Direct 73
1	THE COURT: Yeah. We don't have them either.
2	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Did
3	THE COURT: All right. I'm just going to
4	stop for a second.
5	The draft is what number?
6	MS. WARSHAW: Well, I haven't seen I
7	haven't seen the joint exhibit book. I didn't get a
8	copy of it. So I sent I sent the list of what the
9	exhibit should be to Ms. Howlett. She did the the
10	book.
11	THE COURT: She did the book.
12	MS. WARSHAW: I didn't see the book. So what
13	I'm saying for my joint exhibit was supposed to be the
14	final copy of the report, because
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. WARSHAW: that's what I have.
17	THE COURT: Stop for a second.
18	Doctor, in the exhibit book, the report
19	you're looking at is your draft report?
20	THE WITNESS: Correct.
21	THE COURT: Okay and that's the joint exhibit
22	book?
23	THE WITNESS: That is
24	MS. WARSHAW: Yes.

THE WITNESS: -- this book. Yes.

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 473 of 590 Page 1352 Platt - Direct 74
1	
1	MS. WARSHAW: I have is the final copy which
2	is what I have been referring to in in the in the
3	Petitioner's exhibit. So if I could refer to
4	THE COURT: Let's get a final copy.
5	MS. WARSHAW: refer to that?
6	THE COURT: Yeah and that's P what?
7	MS. WARSHAW: That is that's P-33. It
8	includes
9	THE COURT: P-33 is the final copy?
10	MS. WARSHAW: Final copy and her curriculum
11	vitae.
12	THE COURT: C.V. and what number is in the
13	joint book? What
14	MS. WARSHAW: That is J-21.
15	THE COURT: J-21 is the Doctor's draft copy.
16	Counselors? We're going to eliminate the draft copy
17	from at P-21 and go with the with the final copy?
18	Does that make the most sense?
19	MS. WARSHAW: Yes.
20	MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor. P-33 in place
21	of J
22	THE COURT: P-33
23	MS. HOWLETT: 21.
24	THE COURT: in place of J-21, correct?

MS. WARSHAW: Yes.

Casc 2	1353 Platt - Direct 75
1	MS. HOWLETT: Correct, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. So J-21
3	THE WITNESS: So now I
4	THE COURT: is not going to be in
5	evidence.
6	THE WITNESS: So where P-33
7	MS. WARSHAW: So in the
8	THE WITNESS: would be in the other book?
9	MS. WARSHAW: In the blue book.
10	THE COURT: In the other book. If you need
11	some room, Doctor, you can just put some of the stuff
12	up here out of the way. Let me get this out of the
13	way.
14	THE WITNESS: Well, since we're not going to
15	use this
16	THE COURT: Well, you you may eventually,
17	but you can leave it there for now.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. We're just on the
20	same page.
21	MS. WARSHAW: And so
22	THE COURT: So that's page 11.
23	MS. WARSHAW: Just to be clear, yes, P-33 is
24	now in evidence?
25	THE COURT: P-33 is in because it was was

going to be a joint exhibit.

MS. WARSHAW: Yes.

THE COURT: So it's in and J-21 is going to be excluded because of it's only the draft.

MS. WARSHAW: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Good. Let's get back to the questions.

#### BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q Okay. So on the first four lines of page 11 on your final -- final report, can you describe for the Court what you meant by this statement?

A Are you referring to the statement, "She remains exceedingly emotionally fragile" --

O Yes.

A -- "and the probability of her attending school is extremely low at this time." Well, to expand upon that, when I saw J. she was as I said before marginally functional. She was very depressed. She manifested her depression interpersonally and behaviorally and her energy level was low. So the probability of her being able to act -- go to school, et cetera, was limited. She also indicated fearfulness and what I would -- what I refer to in the report is a hint of inappropriateness which references a kind of a disconnection to what the events and people going on -- people around her and the

events going on around her.

- Q You mentioned behavioral. Did you -- what is the basis of that? Were there any disciplinary actions or anything that you are referencing or --
- A No. Her behavior which had become exceedingly withdrawn.
- Q And you refer to a disconnect to the events and people. Is that related to her extreme anxiety?
- A That's on page nine, which paragraph?
- Q Are you referring to a specific paragraph on page nine?
- A No. I -- I want to know where you're --
  - Q Oh, you had just mentioned that you found a disconnect to events and people and I'm asking you if that is related to her extreme anxiety?
- A Oh, yes.
- Q Okay.
- 18 A Yes.
  - Q And going back to the first four lines of page 11, where you indicated, "She requires an academic environment with the capability of a great deal of emotional awareness and intervention." Were you referring to like an out of District therapeutic setting or some supports within a school?
- 25 A I was referring to an alternative setting.

Q Okay. And in your professional opinion could you describe for me what type of learning environment would have been appropriate for J.H.?

A She required an environment where -- where she would be shielded or protected from the provocations, the standard interactions of standard peers that would be found in a typical high school. She needed a -- she needed to be separated from that. She needed a place where she believed that her emotional reactivity could be understood.

Q On page 11 at the end, the last paragraph, you refer to DSM five criteria that J.H. met.

A Um-hum.

Q Could you review what those mean for the Court?

A Well, these are diagnoses and DSM is a new diagnostic -- relatively new diagnostic manual that is a little bit more detailed and specific than prior manuals.

Do you want me to go through each diagnosis?

Q If you could and -- and just highlight for the Court what you meant by these, what your findings were?

A She had a history of major depressive disorder which was documented in the information from ICCPC and

Dr. Srinivasan also noted "with irrational thinking."

My mental status examination of her -- let me just turn

to that -- did include what I call an empty depressed

mood and truncated responses, a posture which indicated

that she was distant and separate from -- or wanted to

be distant and separate from me at that time, but I

would assume that that was regarding everybody that she
encountered.

She moved very slowly. That's what psycho-motor retardation references and she spoke slowly in a monotone voice and those are frequently symptoms of depression.

Q You noted that she had a DSM five -- she met the criteria for specific learning disorder with impairment in mathematics, specifically with fluent calculations moderate. Is that something that you also found?

A I did not assess for that. That was part of the history.

Q Okay. You recommended a central auditory processing disorder evaluation. Can you tell me the basis of that?

A Let me reference that.

O That's the last --

A I know where that is.

Q Okay.

A I just want to find my -- the details that led me to that.

Q It's mentioned in the -- the middle small paragraph on page 11 of your report.

A Yes. I know that, but I'm -- I -- I want to -- I want to find the specific details, that is the clinical details.

The history indicated that a central auditory processing dysfunction which I am not an expert in, but which in a sort of -- colloquial way means that a person can literally hear what you're saying, but their brain does not process the words. So that they don't actually understand it and then when they follow through with behavior, their behavior may not be connected to what you asked or what you said.

Q In the DSM five criteria it says on the -- I guess the second line in that paragraph on page 11, the last paragraph, it says in parentheses "with irrational thinking." Can you describe what is meant by "irrational thinking?"

A Okay. When I interviewed her she explained that at times she would try to talk to herself to drown out noise and the noise was internal noise and I would consider that to be an example of irrational thinking.

	Platt - Direct 81
1	Q Could anxiety cause someone to have
2	irrational thinking?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you believe that anxiety was the basis for
5	J.H. having this irrational thinking?
6	A Yes.
7	Q In your professional opinion in general,
8	could a person think rationally in one situation and
9	then in another situation if they're under high anxiety
10	think irrationally?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Are irrational fears or phobias considered
13	behaviors?
14	A They are behaviors. They're based on obviously
15	feelings that a person has.
16	Q Are they willful or intentional behaviors?
17	A No.
18	Q On page 13, you list actually 13 and 134,
19	you list 14 recommendations for J.H. and in section two
20	
21	A You mean recommendation two?
22	Q Recommendation two. You indicated that you -
23	- that J.H. would benefit from academic environment
24	that was structured, monitored and designed to
25	accommodate her academic needs and provide emotional

support and therapeutic input. Could you describe for me what was the basis of this and what you envisioned would be an appropriate placement for her?

A The basis was that she was unable to attend school because of a build up of emotional distress and so when she would return to school her school would have to provide enough of a comfort level so that she would experience emotional support from the staff and that she would not be provoked by aspects of the environment and therapeutic input meaning supportive input, typically with a staff member that had some education in mental health, mental health processes and in school.

Q And also on page 13 you indicated that if these recommendations were not implemented that there would be no change in her anxiety towards school. Do you -- can you describe that recommendation a little bit more thoroughly for the Court?

A Is that in number two?

Q I believe it is. Yes.

A Okay. Oh, right, "Without these issues being addressed the manner in which J. deals with school is not likely to change." She was unable to function in her current academic environment and in order to enable her to function her school setting had to provide

enough of a comfort level, reduced stimulation,
communicate specific support for her and that would in
the school setting contribute to her ability to
stabilize internally and then inter personally.

Q You indicated that she needed a learning environment with reduced stimulation. Can you describe what you mean by that?

A I mean a learning environment with a modest number of students, a -- I'll use the word small, but a small building that is not overwhelming to navigate and measured input by the staff. So for example, in a standard educational placement if a student is walking down the hall and doing something that a teacher thinks is untoward, the teacher may respond in any way at all, maybe in a sensitive matter, maybe in a direct matter or maybe in an insensitive matter -- manner, but she really needed to be in a place where there was never any question that the staff would respond to her in a sensitive manner.

Q Were you aware that the School District wanted to put J.H. in a self contained behavioral class in a large high school?

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I'm objecting again, same basis as before.

THE COURT: I agree.

	1362 Platt - Direct
1	BY MS. WARSHAW:
2	Q Were you aware that the District wanted to
3	put her in a self contained classroom in a high school?
4	MS. HOWLETT: This is leading, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: It is also, but that's the
6	questions on both sides have been leading up to this
7	point.
8	MS. HOWLETT: Well, I'm on cross, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: On direct before. Apparently it
10	doesn't apply in EDS settings.
11	Restate the question.
12	BY MS. WARSHAW:
13	Q Okay. Were you aware of the type of learning
14	environment that the School District wanted to place
15	J.H.?
16	A No.
17	Q Would in your professional opinion a self
18	contained class in a large high school be appropriate
19	for J.H.?
20	A No.
21	Q Can you explain why?
22	A Well, first of all, a student has to arrive at
23	school and if it's a large public high school that
24	usually means a school bus that's unsupervised and
25	unmonitored, which would be a problem.

It would mean entering a school with many other students that are in all sorts of communication with each other and activity. That would be a problem for her.

It would mean when she's navigating the hallways that there would be unstructured, unanticipated responses from other students that may or may not have something to do with her, but that would be overwhelming to her.

It also might mean that another staff member might see her appearing to daydream or something of that nature and intervene in a non-therapeutic manner.

Situations such as that.

- Q Are you familiar with the classification of emotionally disturbed in the education setting?

  A I am familiar with the term. I am not familiar with the actual details as they're written in the manual.
- Q Are you familiar enough with IEPs and the definition of emotionally disturbed to formulate an opinion as to whether or not J.H. could be classified as emotionally disturbed? Would that be appropriate?

THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. HOWLETT: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Well --

Casc 2	1364 Platt - Direct 86
1	THE COURT: She just don't answer. When I
2	say
3	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
4	THE COURT: No, no. It's okay. Nobody
5	explained the rules to you.
6	If one of one of the attorneys objects to
7	a question you just don't answer it and then I'll make
8	I'll make a ruling. If I say "sustained" you're not
9	answering it.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11	THE COURT: If I say "overruled," you're
12	answering it.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	THE COURT: Okay? All right. Right now it's
15	sustained.
16	She just said she wasn't familiar with the
17	E.D. classification.
18	MS. WARSHAW: She
19	THE COURT: She wasn't. So how are you
20	MS. WARSHAW: She said she was
21	THE COURT: going to how is she going
22	to answer that question?
23	MS. WARSHAW: Because she said she was
24	familiar with the the definition of it, but
25	THE COURT: No, she said

Platt - Direct 87 MS. WARSHAW: -- not the classification. 1 2 THE COURT: Well, that's what we're talking 3 The classification in the Code for -- for 4 emotionally disturbed in terms of an IEP is one thing and the clinical classification -- since she doesn't 5 6 know what the Code says in terms of definition I'm not 7 going to let you ask her the question. BY MS. WARSHAW: 8 9 In your expert opinion, was J.H.'s 10 sensitivity to noise a cause of her anxiety? 11 Α Yes. 12 In your professional opinion was J.H.'s 13 specific learning disability a cause of her anxiety? 14 Α Yes. 15 Are you familiar with the Pernell (phonetic) 16 School? 17 I only know the name. 18 When dealing with a student with anxiety is 19 it fair to say that if you change the anxiety provoking 20 situation that the anxiety could get better? 21 Yes. Α 22 Was it your expectation that your report would be used to help determine an appropriate 23 24 placement for J.H.?

I thought that it would be included in other

25

Α

Platt - Cross 88 1 material that the child study team had. 2 MS. WARSHAW: No questions. 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. 4 THE COURT: Cross? 5 MS. HOWLETT: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT: 7 Good morning, Dr. Platt. How are you? 0 8 Α Hi. 9 Still morning for a little bit longer. 10 You testified earlier about -- in describing 11 your report that J. was marginally functional. I think 12 that's the term you used. You saw her in September. 13 She wasn't at -- in school at that time and she hadn't 14 attended school since December which you acknowledge in 15 your report. So what do you think the basis of her --16 the issues with her functionality were in September? In September? 17 Α 18 When you saw her. 19 Okay. I -- I thought that she had not at that Α 20 point had sufficient treatment to get on a better 21 emotional track. 22 When you say "sufficient treatment" can you describe what you were thinking? 23 I think she was at ICCPC at that time and it 24 Α 25 appeared as if she needed additional therapy and she

Platt - Cross 89 1 also needed to gain a perspective about her 2 expectations of what was possible academically. 3 On -- and I apologize if the page numbers are 4 a little bit different, it was what you were looking at before. It's my page 11. It's after that part that 5 6 Counsel asked you about with the emotionally fragile, 7 that line. It might be 11 or 12 on your copy. I don't 8 have that one. I'm not finding it quickly, but -- oh, it's at the 9 10 top of page 11. 11 Okay. Can you just hold that page open for a 12 little bit? In that next paragraph, I -- my copy says, 13 "Mother indicates." 14 Α That's correct. 15 Okay. Can you talk about what you were 16 describing in this paragraph that Mom was reporting to 17 you? 18 So -- well, I urged Mom to convey the -- well, the 19 description of J.'s impulsive and erratic anger to the 20 therapist and so that they could add that to what they 21 already knew about her irrational fears, to be sure 22 that they had -- the therapist had all of the

Q So it says in here that Mom was reporting

information or additional information about her

clinical condition at the time.

23

24

Platt - Cross 1 that she was having irrational fears and pervasive mood 2 disturbances and avoidant behaviors even when not under 3 stress. 4 Could you just tell me where? Α Oh, yeah, I'm sorry. It's in that paragraph. 5 6 Α Okay. 7 Like halfway -- maybe three-quarters of the 8 way down. 9 Okay. I have it. Yes. 10 So is it safe to say that -- or fair to say 11 that J. was exhibiting -- or under some stressors that 12 weren't related to school? 13 She might have been. Α 14 And she was -- it was reported to you -- was 15 it reported to you that she was exhibit irrational fears and anxiety even though she hadn't been in school 16 17 for several months at that point when you saw her, at 18 least nine months? 19 I think so. Yes. Α 20 So the effect that you described before and 21

the way that she presented, that was even in the

absence of attending school?

Α Right.

22

23

24

25

Would you be surprised to learn that only a couple days after you met with her that she attended

Guod 2	1369 Platt - Cross 91
1	school full time?
2	MS. WARSHAW: Objection to the
3	THE WITNESS: I
4	MS. WARSHAW: couple days reference.
5	THE COURT: Couple of days.
6	MS. HOWLETT: August 11th, I believe I'm
7	sorry September 11th. I don't know the exact date
8	that
9	THE COURT: Within a week?
10	MS. HOWLETT: Within a week.
11	THE COURT: Within a week.
12	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't necessarily be
13	surprised depending upon what the specific arrangements
14	were for her.
15	BY MS. HOWLETT:
16	Q Would you be surprised to learn that she
17	attended school a non-therapeutic school
18	environment?
19	A Well, I'd want to know for how long and what the
20	circumstances were. In other words, I can't answer
21	that yes or no question.
22	Q That's fair. When you used the term
23	"behavior" before, I assume you're using it in a a
24	clinical type of way, we aren't clinicians. So we
25	typically think about behavior maybe I a different

manner. Can you just describe when you use the word "behavior" what that might refer to?

A Okay. So behavior that she displayed when I saw her included a lot of anxiety and the way that I described it was she projected tension, sat with her arms tightly crossed, indicated posture that was ill at ease, but part of the tension is what's known as psycho-motor retardation which is pretty classical -- a pretty classical symptom of depression. So could you just ask the question again, because I can -- I'm gong to give -- I can give you more mental status information, but I want to make sure I'm answering your question.

Q Yeah. No. Thank you, Dr. Platt. We're really just trying to get to the sense of when you use the term "behavior." You're not necessarily referring to what -- what the common sense of aggressive or erratic, behavior -- do you use behavior to describe a bunch of different types of --

A You're correct and my use of the term in regard to her was immediate interaction, immediate response to the environment that she was in.

Q Did -- in your assessment of J. did you observe or find that she had an inability to build or maintain inter personal relationships with her peers?

Platt - Cross

- A Well, I never saw her with her peers. So I couldn't say by direct observation, but I certainly thought that based on what I knew about her.

  Q Based upon what was reported to you?
- A Yeah, and also based upon her presentation in the interview which would suggest that there was some limitation in her peer relationships.
- Q Did she present with a pervasive mood of unhappiness or depression to your recollection?
- A Pardon?

- Q To your recollection.
- A She did. Yes.
  - Q And did -- did in your observation did -- or review of her the information that was provided to you, did she present with fears associated with school or being around other people?
  - A Well, she looked fearful when she was with me. So I considered that to be significant. She -- some of the self harming behaviors that she had did relate to school as for example she said she hated school since sixth grade, she couldn't relate to the kids, but she also said everybody hated school, but I did extrapolate from her comment that her feelings and behaviors were, yes, related to school.
    - Q You testified earlier that you were not -- or

Platt - Cross 94 1 you're not familiar necessarily with the specific 2 definition of emotionally disturbed under the 3 Administrative Code. Are you aware that the 4 Administrative Code and the DSM do not necessarily have the same criteria? 5 6 I -- if I thought about it I would be aware, yes. 7 Have you ever been to Mendham High School? 8 Α No. 9 Have you ever observed the Being Successful 10 program at Mendham High School? 11 Α The what program? 12 The Being Successful program? 13 Oh, no. Α 14 0 The BSP. 15 Α No. 16 When you made your recommendations, we talked 17 about those earlier, Counsel had --18 Α Um-hum. 19 -- asked you about those 14 recommendations, 20 do you think that J. would have benefitted from having 21 access to a counselor throughout the school day? 22 She could. Α What about a transition program where she 23 24 slowly went from a half a day or portions of a day into 25 maybe -- with the goal of getting into a full day at

school?

A Well, that by itself is theoretically possible, but that does not include other exposures within the building that could affect her, unmonitored, unanticipated types of interactions.

Q So a program that limited those types of interactions, would that be beneficial to J.?

A Well, they pretty much have to be absent, not just limited. She was very fragile at the time and any interaction was really very risky.

Q So when you say -- you commented before about maybe a traditional high school or -- or a big space versus a small space, I think you even commented on the word "small," in your opinion what -- what do you consider to be a small environment? You talked about a lot of kids coming to school at the same time and J. having to interact with them and so what -- what in your vision or expertise would you consider to be a small environment?

A Well, small meaning a building that is not -- I don't know what Mendham High School looks like, but I have been in a number of high schools and typically they're three or four stories and many rooms on each floor, et cetera. So my image of a place where she would be comfortable would be a setting much smaller

than that with a -- with things like entrances and exits that are monitored and controlled with options in terms of where kids could have lunch and interact with others and where there is some control to the noise level and where there is immediate access to support staff and yes, a -- a daily counselor.

- Q So some therapeutic supports at school?

  A I would say some therapeutic support in the context of a -- of an atmosphere that she experienced as therapeutic.
- Q Can you just explain what you mean by that?

  A In the context of an atmosphere where she would not be overwhelmed by number of people, by size, by having -- thinking that she might have to navigate a long distance between this location that she would have to go to and that location that she would have to go to and where she had immediate access or fairly immediate access to support staff.
- Q And by "support staff" you mean -- can you describe what you mean by that?

A Support staff meaning special education teachers, counselors, therapists, psychologists, I guess staff of that nature, perhaps -- perhaps an educational specialist, but that is kind of out of my territory, but in thinking about the totality of things that she

Platt - Redirect 97 would need I would mention that. 1 2 And besides this report and this -- you've 3 met with J. once. Is that correct? And have you met with her since then? 4 5 Α I have not. 6 Or before this report did you meet with her? 7 Α No. 8 Q Or her family? 9 Α No. 10 MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. I have 11 no further questions. 12 THE COURT: Any redirect? 13 MS. WARSHAW: Sure. 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW: 15 You had indicated that the additional 16 information that Counsel was talking about that the 17 mother -- that you noted the mother shared in your 18 report, does that assist with determining what would be 19 an appropriate educational placement for a child? 20 Α Can you reference the --21 Oh, sure. 22 -- exact --Α 23 It's on my page 11 or it's that paragraph that said, "Mother indicates." 24 25 Α Okay.

Platt - Redirect 98

Q You had -- you had specified that that was additional information to give to the therapist that would help in the treatment of J.H. Is -- is that a fair characterization?

A Yes, it is.

Q Okay. So would that information be helpful to the School District to determine an appropriate placement for J.H.?

A Perhaps.

Q And even if J.H. suffered from extreme anxiety and it's pervasive depression, even if that, is it in -- in your opinion would it be appropriate just to address that aspect of a child or all of her needs in a learning environment?

A I'm not sure that I completely understand the question, but her emotional issues were so pervasive that -- that the totality of her had to be understood and addressed.

Q So would you have expected the -- the School
District to determine a placement based on her
emotional needs as well as her academic needs of having
a disability?

A Well, I would say primarily her emotional needs, but I mean, at some point her academic needs would have to be included.

	1377 Platt - Redirect 99
1	Q So if I if I told you that in her current
2	placement she has special education teachers, access to
3	counselor
4	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, these are all facts
5	that aren't in evidence.
6	THE COURT: Very true.
7	MS. WARSHAW: I'm just asking if that would
8	be appropriate in her would that fit within her
9	definition of what would be appropriate for her?
10	MS. HOWLETT: It sounds like the BSP, Your
11	Honor.
12	THE COURT: Sounds like. You know what?
13	I'll allow it. Go ahead.
14	MS. WARSHAW: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: You can answer the question,
16	Doctor.
17	THE WITNESS: Could you ask the question
18	again?
19	BY MS. WARSHAW:
20	Q Sure. If if I told you that J.H. was in a
21	learning environment right now where she had access to
22	a counselor and weekly sessions, she had special
23	education teachers, small classes and a a a
24	psychologist that came a few times a week, would that
25	fit into the definition of what you believe would be

Platt - Redirect 100 1 appropriate --2 Α It would. 3 -- environment for her? 4 Α It would. 5 And if I told you that she only had five or 6 six kids in -- in her class and she had her own dorm 7 room to go to in between classes to, you know, do her 8 homework or just to get away, would that fit into 9 something like the learning environment that you are 10 describing? 11 Α Yes. 12 So if -- if I told you that J. -- when J.H. 13 arrives to school she checks in with the nurse -- well, before school starts as well as in health center as 14 15 well as at the end of the day, would that also be 16 within your definition of what would be appropriate for 17 J.H. for a learning environment? 18 Well, I actually think it's mandatory. So yes. 19 MS. WARSHAW: No further questions. Thank 20 you. 21 THE COURT: Do you have any --22 MS. HOWLETT: I have no --23 THE COURT: -- any --24 MS. HOWLETT: -- questions, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Thank you, Doctor. You're

# Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 500 of 590 PageID:

Casc 2	1379 Colloquy 101
1	excused.
2	THE WITNESS: Can I just leave this here?
3	MS. WARSHAW: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MS. WARSHAW: Thank you very much.
6	THE COURT: Do you have another witness?
7	MS. WARSHAW: I have one more witness, yes,
8	Your Honor. The fourth one is going to come in August.
9	She couldn't make it suddenly. So
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MS. WARSHAW: Can we take a five minute
12	break?
13	THE COURT: Sure.
14	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
15	(BRIEF RECESS)
16	THE COURT: J., how are you?
17	J.H.: I'm good.
18	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sure your your
19	attorney told you what I said
20	J.H.: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: when I asked you to leave the
22	room. I didn't meant to be abrupt with you, but it is
23	highly unusual for the child and you're still a child
24	in a special education case to testify. It's really
25	and I don't mean I don't mean to be disrespectful.

It is not going to help me make a decision what you're going to tell me. What's going to help me make a decision are the factual statements that were made to me by the various witnesses from the school and by the — by the witnesses that were called by your attorney to tell me what situation you're in and how that could be remedied.

So what you're going to tell me is you're going to help me -- it's highly unusual and quite frankly I didn't notice you were there in the beginning and -- I didn't, because I was -- I had this view and you were -- you were -- your face was blocked out by the thing.

When I finally realized well, that's a young girl there, that must be J.

Had I known initially I would have asked you and your Mom to go sit outside, because what has to be said about your situation can be trying to you and I think it's -- I really personally think it's not appropriate for you to be here and listen to what has to be said. I mean, in your situation it's not quite as bad as other children that have special ed. needs, but to hear what has to be said can be -- can be problematic for you.

So I understand you wanted to testify, that you're strong and you want to go forward with that, but

## Colloquy I'm not going to allow it and I'm -- so for that matter we're done today. Okay. Okay? We're done. Very good. Our next date is the 29th, yes? You have one more witness? MS. WARSHAW: I have three more witnesses. THE COURT: Excuse me? MS. WARSHAW: I have three more witnesses. THE COURT: You have three more witnesses? Oh, I thought you only had one. Let's go off -- we don't need to be on the record. {Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned.}

Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 502 of 590 PageID:

STATE OF NEW JERSEY } COUNTY OF I, Lee A. Romano, assigned transcriber, do hereby affirm that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings in the matter of F.H. and M.H. on behalf of J.H. v. West Morris Regional High Board of Education, bearing Docket No. EDS 10706-17, heard on July 25, 2018, before the Office of Administrative Law Court. 

### Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 504 of 590 PageID:

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
OAL DOCKET NO. EDS 10706-17

F.H. AND M.H. ON BEHALF

OF J.H.,

Petitioner,

: TRANSCRIPT

-vs- : OF RECORDED PROCEEDINGS

WEST MORRIS REGIONAL HIGH BOARD OF EDUCATION,

Respondent.

August 29, 2018

### **BEFORE:**

THE HONORABLE THOMAS BETANCOURT, A.L.J.

#### **APPEARANCES:**

WARSHAW LAW FIRM, LLC By: Julie Warshaw, Esq. Attorney(s) for the Petitioner

CLEARY GIACOBBE ALFIERI JACOBS LLC By: Jodi S. Howlett, Esq. Attorney(s) for Respondent

Transcriber: Lee A. Romano CRT SUPPORT CORPORATION 2082 Highway 35, P.O. Box 785 South Amboy, N.J. 08879 Phone: (732) 721-4330 Fax: (732) 721-7650

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 505 of 590 PageID:

1384 INDEX WITNESS DIRECT <u>CROSS</u> <u>REDIRECT</u> RECROSS NICOLE DOWD 26 By Ms. Warshaw By Ms. Howlett 25 CHRISTINE DUVALL 28 By Ms. Warshaw By Ms. Howlett 38 NATALIE SCHUBERTH By Ms. Warshaw 43 84

79

By Ms. Howlett

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 506 of 590 PageID:

E X H I B I T S NO. DESCRIPTION I.D. EVID. Duvall's observation notes P - 4043 P - 422017-2018 fall term report card 19 P - 432017-2018 spring interim report card 19 College Board accommodation letter P - 4425 P-48 Duvall curriculum vitae 43 P - 4919 Letter

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 507 of 590 Pagel 1386  Colloquy 4
1	THE COURT: Okay. This is the continued
2	hearing in the matter of $F.H.$ and $M.H.$ on behalf of
3	J.H. v. West Milford West Milford West Morris
4	Regional Board of Education, docket numbers EDS 10706-
5	17.
6	Today is the 20 August 29, 2018. I'm
7	Judge Betancourt.
8	Appearances for Petitioners?
9	MS. WARSHAW: Julie Warshaw, Warshaw Law Firm
10	on behalf of Petitioners.
11	THE COURT: Good morning.
12	MS. HOWLETT: Jodi Howlett, Cleary, Giacobbe,
13	Alfieri, Jacobs, on behalf of Respondent School
14	District.
15	THE COURT: Good morning. Good morning to
16	you unknown person.
17	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
18	THE COURT: I assume she's your witness?
19	MS. WARSHAW: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Would you raise your right hand
21	please?
22	N I C O L E D O W D, PETITIONER'S WITNESS, SWORN:
23	THE COURT: Thank you. State your name and -

25 THE WITNESS: Nicole -- Nicole Dowd.

Dowd - Direct THE COURT: Spell your last name. 1 2 THE WITNESS: D-O-W-D. THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Dowd. Proceed. 3 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Thank you. 4 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW: 6 Ms. Dowd, where are you employed? 7 Α Purnell (phonetic) School. And how long have you been employed there? 8 9 Α This will be my second year. 10 What is your job title? 11 Α I'm a teacher in the STEM department as well as a learning specialist in our LAC program. 12 13 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, can I ask what the 14 proffer of this witness is? 15 THE COURT: You can. 16 MS. WARSHAW: Part of the -- the case is that 17 we placed her unilaterally at the Purnell School and part of it also is to show that she's doing well and 18 19 also what the issues are that the Purnell School has 20 seen when she got there as well as now and those are 21 relevant to our case as to, you know, why this is an appropriate placement for her. 22 23 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, Respondent objects 24 to this witness. As per Your Honor's order, the 25 Court's order, first of all this witness -- it's from

Purnell School which the student was placed after the date of the IEP and has no relevance to whether the District offered the student FAPE.

MS. WARSHAW: And Your Honor, you had allowed us to file an amended complaint and in that amended complaint it not just looks at the time that the IEP was initiated, but it also goes through the time that the parties were trying to work something out for placement as well as the independent evaluation --

THE COURT: Settlement discussions are not coming into play here at all. I'm not going to --

MS. WARSHAW: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: You're talking about settlement discussions?

MS. WARSHAW: No, but it was during the time frame that we were trying to work something out plus the independent evaluations came in and that is part of --

THE COURT: After the IEP?

MS. WARSHAW: -- our -- correct, but that's also part of the due process action. We're not just looking at the -- the moment in time that the IEP was formulated. We're looking at whether or not that IEP would have even met her needs and also, you know, the independent evaluations and what they were and -- and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dowd - Direct

if they were applied and also the unilateral placement and whether or not that was appropriate and she is going to testify as well as our next witness about the appropriateness of the Purnell School. THE COURT: Your next witness is also from the Purnell School? MS. WARSHAW: Yes and she's the counselor at the Purnell School. THE COURT: Last word. This witness and any witness MS. HOWLETT: from Purnell School has no relevance as to whether the District complied with the IDEA or whether the IEP was reasonably calculated to offer meaningful educational benefit to this student. So we object. MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I have to show that the --THE COURT: Last word means last word. All right. I'm going to take five minutes. I'm going to think about this seriously, because I'm not sure I'm going to allow this witness. (BRIEF RECESS) MS. WARSHAW: -- do that. There's only a few of them. THE COURT: Okay. We're back on the record.

We had some colloguy about joint exhibits and

Dowd - Direct 1 Petitioner's exhibits and Respondent's exhibits which will be rectified before we're finished I'm sure. 2 3 Actually I'm not sure, but I hope so. All right. I've made a decision. I'm going 4 5 to allow Ms. Dowd to testify. You can proceed with 6 your direct. 7 And your objection is noted, Ms. Howlett. MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 9 BY MS. WARSHAW: 10 Ms. Dowd, can you describe for us your job 11 responsibilities at the Purnell School? 12 I am a teacher there. So I teach math and science 13 classes as well as I meet students one on one with --14 if they need some remediation or help with executive 15 functioning. 16 Are you also a school counselor as well? 17 Α No, I am not. No. Okay. Did you have J.H. -- and we're 18 19 going to refer to the Petitioner as J.H., her initials. 20 Was J.H. in any of your classes at the Purnell School? Yes. She was in my algebra two class. 21 And how would you characterize your 22 23 relationship with J.H.? 24 I knew her as a student in my class as well as she 25 was my advisee for the school year. So I met her once

Dowd - Direct 1 a week to talk about how she was feeling at school and 2 academics. And what is your -- what are your job 3 4 responsibilities as school advisor? 5 We are the contact with a student's parent and as 6 I said we meet them once a week and go over academics 7 as well as anything the student may wish to talk about. So that can vary. 8 9 Do you recall any issues regarding J.H. 10 academically that you would have discussed with her? 11 Α No. 12 Can you please describe for the Court what 13 J.H. was like emotionally when she first started at the Purnell School? 14 15 She was quiet and reserved. 16 Anything else? Q 17 Α No. 18 Okay and can you describe for the Court what 19 J.H. was like academically when she first started at ht 20 Purnell School? 21 She was a very conscientious student, always 22 arrived on time with the right materials, good listener 23 in class. 24 Were you ever made aware that J.H. had a

specific learning disability in math?

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW   Document 13-21   Filed 11/26/19   Page 513 of 590 PageI 
	Dowd - Direct 10
1	A Yes.
2	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, objection. That's
3	
4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Where are you going with that?
7	MS. WARSHAW: I'm just asking her whether or
8	not she was made aware of that because my next
9	questions would talk about whether or not she gave any
10	accommodations for her.
11	MS. HOWLETT: That's a fact not in evidence,
12	Your Honor. We haven't established that the student
13	has a learning disability in math.
14	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Our next one of our
15	next witnesses will certainly do that and also in our
16	joint exhibit binder is the report from Dr. Schuberth
17	which diagnoses her as specific learning disability.
18	MS. HOWLETT: Under the DSM, Your Honor, not
19	the Code.
20	THE COURT: Got it. Ask another question.
21	BY MS. WARSHAW:
22	Q Did you ever provide strike that.
23	I'm going to refer you to what's been marked
24	P-49. Turn to that exhibit in the binder in front of
25	you.

Guod Z	1393  Dowd - Direct 11
1	Can you identify what that is?
2	A It's a letter I wrote describing J. at our school
3	this past year.
4	Q And in this letter in the fifth paragraph do
5	you identify some issues that J.H. had with regard to
6	learning?
7	A I did.
8	Q And what are those?
9	A Slower processing speed and dyscalculia.
10	THE COURT: Say that last one again.
11	THE WITNESS: Dyscalculia.
12	MS. WARSHAW: Can you
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	MS. WARSHAW: Do you want it spelled, Your
15	Honor?
16	THE COURT: No. I want to know what it is.
17	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. That's what my next
18	question was.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	BY MS. WARSHAW:
21	Q Can you describe for the Court what
22	dyscalculia is?
23	A It's a math learning disability.
24	MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, is this witness
25	qualified to diagnose

Case 2	1394 Dowd - Direct
1	THE COURT: That was going to be my next
2	question. Why don't you ask her that? What's her
3	background in able to diagnose
4	MS. WARSHAW: I'm not asking her to diagnose
5	it.
6	THE COURT: Well, you're going to have to if
7	she's going to testify about it. If she doesn't have a
8	background in this I'm not going to allow it.
9	MS. WARSHAW: I'm just asking her what this
10	was.
11	THE COURT: No, no.
12	MS. WARSHAW: Ms. Dowd
13	THE COURT: No, no. She just testified that
14	she identified it. That was your question. In
15	paragraph five did you identify issues with her
16	education or words to that effect and she said, "Yes.
17	Slower processing speed and," could you say that last
18	word again?
19	THE WITNESS: Dyscalculia.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	MS. WARSHAW: I'm not okay. I will
22	clarify with my question.
23	THE COURT: Please.
24	BY MS. WARSHAW:
25	Q Ms. Dowd, did you diagnose J.H. with these

Case :	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 516 of 590 Pagel
	Dowd - Direct 13
1	two issues or were they told to you at some point?
2	A They were told to me.
3	Q Okay. Who told you?
4	A We have an educational psychologist at Purnell and
5	she told us information.
6	Q And what's that person's name?
7	A Martha Torrez (phonetic).
8	Q Okay. Thank you.
9	In your classroom did you provide any
10	accommodations for J.H.?
11	A Yes. She was always allowed for untimed tests and
12	quizzes as well as use of a calculator.
13	Q You mentioned something in your letter about
14	a flipped classroom. Can you describe what that is?
15	THE COURT: A flip, F-L-I-P?
16	MS. WARSHAW: Flipped, F-L-I-P-P-E-D.
17	THE COURT: Thank you.
18	THE WITNESS: That is where for certain
19	lessons I make a video that the students will watch on
20	their own time. It's a short, ten to 15 minutes where
21	they can get some of the definitions in the beginning
22	concepts and have the ability to rewind it, take notes
23	at their own speed, come into class all ready with
24	questions.
25	BY MS. WARSHAW:

Dowd - Direct

14

1 And can you describe the learning environment Q 2 in your classroom at the Purnell School? 3 We have small classrooms. There was usually eight to ten students in a class, quiet, individualized 4 5 attention. 6 Can you describe for us the academic level of 7 the students at the Purnell School? It is a college preparatory school. So they --8 9 all our classes are geared towards that and there's 100 10 percent college admission for our last graduating 11 class. 12 And in your opinion do you feel that J.H. fit 13 into that academic environment? 14 Α She did. 15 If a student is feeling stressed or needs a 16 break during school at the Purnell School do they have 17 a place to go? 18 They do. In between classes they may go to their 19 dorm room or if it's during a class they may go to the 20 health center and sit with the nurse or there's some 21 quiet rooms in there they can go or speak with the 22 counselor. 23 And even if a student is not a residential 24 student are they assigned a dorm room?

25

Α

They are.

Dowd - Direct 15 1 And where do the students eat lunch at the Q 2 Purnell School? In the dining hall. 3 Α And how many students are at the school? 4 5 Α This past year there was 58 students. 6 THE COURT: How many? 7 THE WITNESS: Fifty-eight. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. BY MS. WARSHAW: 9 10 To your knowledge does J.H. have friends at 11 the Purnell School? 12 Yes, she does. 13 And how -- if you can describe for the Court 14 how J.H. did academically in your class as well as if 15 your advisor, if you knew of anything personally about 16 the other classes? 17 J.H. made all A's last -- last year at Purnell School. She did very well. As her advisor speaking to 18 19 other teachers they always had very positive things to 20 say about her and her school work. In my class it was 21 the same. 22 And to your knowledge did J.H. take advantage 23 of the accommodations that you provided in your class 24 for her?

She always had her calculator out. I don't

Dowd - Direct

16

remember if she always used the extended time, but it was always offered to her.

- Q To your knowledge has J.H. ever had any behavior issue at school?
- A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q To your knowledge did you notice a difference in J.H.'s level of anxiety and depression from the time that she started at the Purnell School until the time at the end of the school year?
- A I -- I don't know.
- Q Did J.H. ever talk to you about attending college?
- 13 A Yes, she did.
  - Q To your knowledge has she visited any colleges or universities?
- 16 A Yes. She did. She has.
- 17 Q I'm going to refer you to P-42 and P-43. Can
  18 you look at those exhibits?
- 19 A Yes.

MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, these exhibits come again after -- they're not in compliance with the Court's order where the Petitioner is only permitted to enter or submit evidence after the date of the IEP for the purpose of demonstrating that the District didn't comply with the IDA. So I'm just curious how these

Casc 2	1399  Dowd - Direct 17
1	documents do that.
2	THE COURT: I don't know what they are. What
3	are they?
4	MS. WARSHAW: They're report cards with a
5	from the Purnell School.
6	THE COURT: I'll allow the report cards.
7	BY MS. WARSHAW:
8	Q Have you seen these report cards before?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. To your knowledge are they
11	THE COURT: P-42 is a report card for which -
12	- which
13	MS. WARSHAW: It's the first
14	THE COURT: is it is it a marking
15	period? Is it this year? Last year?
16	MS. WARSHAW: Correct. It's the first
17	marking
18	THE COURT: Let's identify it so I know what
19	it is.
20	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
21	BY MS. WARSHAW:
22	Q Ms. Dowd, can you describe for us what the
23	report card is and what time frame for
24	THE COURT: Start with
25	MS. WARSHAW: P-42.

	1400 Dowd - Direct 18
1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	THE WITNESS: $P-42$ is the fall term report.
3	THE COURT: For which year?
4	THE WITNESS: So it would have been 2017-
5	2018, the fall term.
6	BY MS. WARSHAW:
7	Q And to your knowledge is is that report
8	card accurate as her advisor?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And you had testified that she received all
11	A's. Is that accurately reflected in that report card?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And are there comments in that report card
14	from her teachers?
15	A Yes, there is.
16	Q Turning to P-43, can you identify the time
17	frame for those that report card?
18	A This was the in the spring of 2017-2018 school
19	year, interim. So halfway into the spring term.
20	Q And can you tell me if those grades
21	accurately reflect your knowledge of J.H.'s grades at
22	that time?
23	A Yes, they do.
24	Q Okay and are those all A grades as well?
25	A They are. Yes.

Dowd - Direct 19 And are there comments by all the teachers 1 Q 2 about her performance? 3 Α Yes. MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I'd like to enter 4 5 those into evidence. P-49 which is her letter as well as P-42 and P-43. 6 7 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, I maintain my 8 objection. 9 THE COURT: I'm going to allow them. (P-42, P-43 and P-49)10 11 were received in 12 evidence.) BY MS. WARSHAW: 13 You mentioned earlier that J.H. uses a 14 15 calculator. Do you know if she was ever approved for 16 using a calculator for any other entity? 17 For the college boards she was allowed extended time as well as the use of a four function calculator 18 19 on the non-calculator portion. 20 I'm going to refer you to P-44 and can you tell me if you recognize that document? 21 22 It's from the college board for J.H.'s 23 accommodations. 24 Okay and just for the Court's knowledge the

college board is for what?

Dowd - Direct 20 It would be for the -- the PSAT and the SAT. 1 Α 2 THE COURT: Is this a letter? BY MS. WARSHAW: 3 Is that -- can you describe what that 4 document is? 5 6 It is a letter from the college board to J.H. 7 telling her what she has been approved on for their 8 testing. 9 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor --10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MS. WARSHAW: And those --12 MS. HOWLETT: -- we object again to this. I 13 don't -- I don't know how this demonstrates whether the 14 District complied with the IDEA. 15 THE COURT: Neither do I, but I'm going to 16 allow it. 17 MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, there's more to 18 this than just the District complying to the IDEA. We 19 also have to show that the -- that the placement was 20 appropriate for her that unilaterally placed her and 21 I'm demonstrating that, that this was appropriate for 22 her as well as that she was receiving --23 THE COURT: I understand --24 MS. WARSHAW: -- accommodations --25 THE COURT: -- what you're doing. First, you

	Dowa Direct
1	got to establish that FAPE wasn't offered. I mean, at
2	this point I know you made a motion to dismiss which
3	I denied, because we don't have that rule, but at this
4	point the School District established FAPE. You
5	haven't rebutted it yet and you're going on to whether
6	or not the placement was correct.
7	MS. WARSHAW: Well, there is another witness
8	coming
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MS. WARSHAW: today that the expert
11	witness that will certainly address that as well.
12	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to allow the
13	questions and and
14	MS. WARSHAW: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
16	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I have to note at
17	this time the concern of Petitioners that the Court has
18	indicated that the School District has demonstrated
19	FAPE when we're still in the middle of presenting
20	evidence as to whether or not
21	THE COURT: I'm questioning I'm
22	questioning why you're presenting a witness who's
23	who's who's who's testimony seems to be
24	that the Purnell School is the appropriate placement.
25	That may well be and she's certainly testifying to

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 525 of 590 Pag
1	that, but I mean, there's it's a two prong test.
2	The first test is whether or not FAPE was offered. If
3	FAPE wasn't offered then this is the appropriate next
4	step and you
5	MS. WARSHAW: Correct.
6	THE COURT: haven't addressed FAPE in your
7	in your case. Ms. Howlett has in her case
8	obviously. That's her burden.
9	MS. WARSHAW: Well, we we have addressed
10	it with certain witnesses to date, but also we have
11	another witness coming at 10:30 that will address it as
12	well.
13	THE COURT: Very well. Thank you.
14	BY MS. WARSHAW:
15	Q Okay. Can you just Ms. Dowd, can you
16	just clarify for the Court what accommodations J.H. was
17	approved for by the college board?
18	A A four function calculator for use on the math
19	sections, reading, writing and math calculations time
20	and a half.
21	THE COURT: That's the extended time?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

24

25

Based on your knowledge of J.H. do you feel

Dowd - Direct

23

that she would be able to learn using a computer based 1 2 online program as opposed to a classroom? 3 Α No. And can you describe why not? 4 5 Α Her accommodations may still not be used or her 6 disabilities recognized and she wouldn't be allowed to have that one on one interaction with the teacher. 7 MS. WARSHAW: No further questions. 8 9 THE COURT: I have a question. You're not 10 going to know the answer to that. That was offered --11 was it online -- online -- online computer classes 12 offered to J.H. as part of the IEP? I don't recall. 13 MS. HOWLETT: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: It wasn't. 14 15 MS. HOWLETT: It was an in District 16 placement. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MS. WARSHAW: Your -- the --19 THE COURT: I'm not -- somehow -- and I have 20 -- I've reviewed the notes this morning, but I don't remember -- I remember somehow that that came up during 21 22 the course of this, but I don't -- I don't -- I don't 23 seem to have it in my notes and I -- I just want some 24 clarity on -- on -- I mean, you asked the question and

I -- I know there's a reason for it, but I -- help me

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 527 of 590 Pagel 1406  Dowd - Direct 24
1	here.
2	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, in the behavior
3	support program she was offered online programs such as
4	gym class and if she was unable
5	THE COURT: That's what it thought.
6	MS. WARSHAW: Right and and if she was not
7	able to go into the general ed. setting for college
8	preparation classes she could do it online in the
9	behavior support program.
10	THE COURT: I thought that was part of your
11	case.
12	MS. WARSHAW: Correct.
13	THE COURT: Ms. Howlett?
14	MS. HOWLETT: There could be alternatives for
15	that if she
16	THE COURT: Yeah.
17	MS. HOWLETT: but that that's not what
18	the IEP provides.
19	THE COURT: Okay. All right. I may ask you
20	both to address it in your closings, because I think
21	that's an important that's an important element for
22	me to know. Okay?
23	MS. WARSHAW: Your Honor, I'd like to just

MS. HOWLETT: We maintain our objection,

enter P-44 into evidence.

24

<b>G</b> 400 2	1407  Dowd - Cross 25
1	Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Over your objection.
3	(P-44 was received
4	in evidence.)
5	THE COURT: Cross?
6	MS. HOWLETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT:
8	Q Just a couple questions, Ms. Dowd. Just give
9	me one second. I'm sorry.
10	What sort of licenses and certifications do
11	you hold?
12	A I have a teaching certification in Georgia. I'm
13	in the process of transferring it to New Jersey.
14	Q So you don't have a teaching certificate in
15	New Jersey?
16	A Not at this time, no.
17	Q Do you have a are you a licensed LDTC?
18	A No.
19	Q Are you a licensed school psychologist?
20	A No.
21	Q Do you have any qualifications that allow you
22	to diagnose disabilities in children?
23	A No.
24	Q Do you have a certification in special
25	education?

Case 2.19	-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 529 0159 1408 Dowd - Redirect	26
1	A In Georgia.	
2	Q In Georgia. Did you ever observe the Being	
3	Successful program at Mendham High School?	
4	A I did not. No.	
5	Q Did you review the IEP that the District	
6	provided to J.H.?	
7	A I never saw that, no.	
8	MS. HOWLETT: No further questions, Your	
9	Honor.	
10	THE COURT: Any redirect?	
11	MS. WARSHAW: Yes.	
12	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW:	
13	Q Ms. Dowd, did did you ever diagnose J.H.	
14	with any type of learning disability?	
15	A No.	
16	THE COURT: Yeah. We established	
17	MS. WARSHAW: Were you informed	
18	THE COURT: we established that. I got	
19	that. You cleared it up.	
20	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.	
21	THE COURT: All right. It was the school	
22	psychologist who informed her of that.	
23	MS. WARSHAW: Correct.	
24	THE COURT: Okay.	
25	BY MS. WARSHAW:	

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 530 of 590 Page 1409  Dowd - Redirect 27
1	
1	Q Okay. Ms. Dowd, can you just please tell the
2	Court the degrees that you hold?
3	A I have a Bachelors degree in math from University
4	of Chicago and MST in mathematics from the University
5	of Florida.
6	Q Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Okay. You can step down. Thank
8	you very much.
9	So we can take a ten half an hour break
10	for your next witness?
11	MS. WARSHAW: I have another witness and then
12	there's a third one.
13	THE COURT: Oh okay. All right. So we can
14	keep moving. Great.
15	MS. WARSHAW: Yes.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	THE WITNESS: Do you want me to leave this
18	here?
19	THE COURT: Yes please. Leave that there.
20	MS. WARSHAW: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: All right. I'm just going to
22	pause the recording until the next witness.
23	(Pause in recording.)
24	THE COURT: Good morning.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.

	1410 Duvall - Direct 28
1	THE COURT: Would you raise your right hand
2	please?
3	MEGAN CHRISTINE DUVALL,
4	PETITIONER'S WITNESS, SWORN:
5	THE COURT: Thank you. Would you state your
6	name and spell your last name please?
7	THE WITNESS: Megan Christine Duvall, that's
8	D-U-V-A-L-L.
9	THE COURT: M-E-G-H-A-N?
10	THE WITNESS: M-E-G-A-N.
11	THE COURT: M-E-G-A-N. I should have asked
12	you to spell both.
13	Proceed.
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW:
15	Q Ms. Duvall, thank you for coming. Can you
16	please tell us where are you employed?
17	A I'm employed at the Purnell School.
18	Q And how long were you employed there?
19	A I was employed for two years.
20	Q And what is your job title?
21	A School counselor.
22	Q And did you hold that position the whole time
23	you were at the Purnell School?
24	A Um-hum. I held it for two years and then I was
25	director advising this last year as well.
ļ	

	1411 Duvall - Direct 29
1	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Director of what?
2	THE WITNESS: Advising.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	BY MS. WARSHAW:
5	Q So were you J.H.'s counselor?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Were you also her advisor?
8	A No.
9	Q And what were your job responsibilities as
10	the school counselor?
11	A So I focus mainly on the social emotional. I
12	didn't do the academics or the scheduling. That was
13	somebody else. So I did guidance lessons, group
14	group counseling and individual counseling.
15	Q I'm going to show you what's been marked P-
16	48. Can you turn to that exhibit?
17	A Yup.
18	Q And can you identify for the Court what that
19	is?
20	A That is my curriculum vitae.
21	Q How long have you been a therapist or a
22	school counselor?
23	A Well, school counselor the last two years at the
24	Purnell School. Before that I was a regular therapist
25	doing mobile therapy work in people's houses.

Duvall - Direct

30

And how long did you do that? 1 2 I did that for -- so my husband was in the military. So I graduated with a Masters in 2014 and 3 from there we moved a couple different places, because 4 5 he was in training, but while I was there I worked at a 6 place called Shelter Care. That is for students who 7 are going into juvenile detention or coming out of it and I was their counselor working with them and then 8 9 from there I did mobile therapist work and then I was a 10 school counselor at Purnell. 11 And can you tell me how many years you've worked with adolescents? 12 13 Since -- well, that -- since I've graduated since 14 2014. Before that I did my internship for a year. So 15 I would say five years. 16 And have you worked with adolescents with 17 anxiety and school related anxiety? 18 Α Yes. 19 And how about depression? 20 Α Yes. And you testified that you had occasion to 21 22 work with J.H. Can you describe the work that you did 23 with J.H.? 24 Yeah. We met once a week and I've met with her

since the beginning of the year, because I knew coming

in that she had anxiety and depression in the past. So we met weekly and we really worked on coping skills and utilizing them and just how she is adjusting to this new school.

Q And can you describe J.H. at the time that she entered the Purnell School?

A Sure. When she first got there -- her first day I think she had a little bit of harder time. She was very anxious about coming. Then she didn't stay for -- all the activities and in her first month just watching her, she was-- she kind of kept to herself at first just because I think she was new. It's a new environment, but after about the second month she was really putting herself out there, making friends, sitting with new people and just she was -- she was getting out of her comfort level. She was getting out of her shell.

Q And can you describe J.H. emotionally from your knowledge, you know, at the end of this school year how was she?

A Well, yeah. So at the beginning of the school year when I first started counseling her she was very shy, very reserved, didn't want to give too much information at first, but by the end of the year she was excited to come to our counseling session. She was

1414
Duvall - Direct 32

like -- I want to talk to you about this week, so she was always willing to talk and like divulge so much information just to like tell me and get off her chest and see what's going on so we can process it together.

Q And in -- in your opinion do you feel that J.H. gained coping skills with her anxiety and depression?

A Yes.

Q I'm going to refer you to P-40.

A Okay.

Q Can you describe for me what those are?

A Those are just my observations from -- that I wrote down about J. for the first about month of school.

Q Okay and what's the date of that report?

A October 12th, 2017.

THE COURT: Is it a report or is it --

MS. WARSHAW: I'm sorry. A letter.

THE COURT: It's a letter. Okay.

MS. WARSHAW: A letter.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q Can you describe for the Court, just summarize kind of what is in that -- that letter?

A Yes. The first paragraph just says how she has

Duvall - Direct

been adjusting to Purnell and I said so far she's very organized, she's up to date on all of her class work, she's not really stressed out about that and then I ended it with -- she's opening up and willing to work on some social anxiety with surrounding school. So that's when she really started opening up and trusting me.

Q And can you describe the school setting at the Purnell School?

A Sure. Purnell is about 58 girls and it's a very small environment. We're very small community. The classroom sizes are small between five and it gets as big as 20 if that's a new seminar, but that only meets twice a week.

It's just very a safe space. There -- they get comfortable with each other because they're always there the same people, because it's a boarding school. So they feel comfortable with the teachers and we get to know them on different levels and just speaking up more in class.

Q To your knowledge did J.H. have some friends?

A Yes.

Q Yes?

A Um-hum.

Q Okay. If you turn the page, there's another

Duvall - Direct 34 letter. Did you write this? 1 2 Forty-one? Α 3 It has the letterhead on it. It says, "Purnell School." 4 5 THE COURT: What was the number? 6 MS. WARSHAW: It's also P-40. 7 THE COURT: Oh, it's the same --8 MS. WARSHAW: It is. It's just the next 9 page. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. I wrote that. 11 BY MS. WARSHAW: 12 And is there a date on this letter? 13 There's not a date and I apologize. I'm looking 14 at that, but I remember writing it in the spring, the 15 second semester. 16 Okay. Can you describe to the Court what 17 this letter says about J.H.? This is just about her progress from when she 18 19 started and then how she is, I mean that second 20 semester and basically how much she has come out of her shell. For instance one thing I'm going to name in 21 22 here is, you know, she does have performance anxiety 23 and she has anxiety around some classrooms, but by the 24 second semester she was a lead in the school play that 25 she had to try out for and sing in front of everybody.

She stayed in like a dance class. She doesn't want a dance class. She tried it and she had anxiety with it, but she stayed in it and she killed it, like she did so well. So she's just trying new things and like getting past her anxiety and coping with them so she can do fun thing and get out of her comfort zone.

- Q Are there any other types of therapy aside from counseling available at the Purnell School?

  A So I was the only school counselor there for two years. They got a school psychologist this last year and now they just hired another psychologist.
- Q And does the -- does the psychologist that was there for this year to your knowledge did that person ever meet with J.H.?
- A I don't know. I'm not sure.
- Q If a student is stressed or -- or needs a break, is there a place for them to go at the Purnell School?
- A And that's what's -- what's great about it. They can go to the dorm room. That's their safe space. A lot of the times though the girls actually come to the health center. They come to the health center to just relax in my office if they want to or in the health center they have rooms they can go to to just rest and like deep breath before they go back out.

1	Q And did J.H. ever take advantage of those
2	those services available?
3	A Only I for me when she came to see me
4	outside of our counseling session only one time.
5	Q And to your knowledge how was J.H. performing
6	academically at the Purnell School?
7	A To the best of my knowledge she's doing very well.
8	Once a week we have wellness meetings and we go over
9	academic and social supports with the various
10	teachers, various amount of teachers and she was never
11	brought up academically. She never struggled. She was
12	never one of our students that we worried about
13	academically at all. She was always one higher
14	achieving ones.
15	Q Were you ever informed that J.H. had a
16	specific learning disability or any type of learning
17	disability?
18	A At the beginning of the year.
19	MS. HOWLETT: Object, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. Go ahead.
21	Answer the question.
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, at the beginning of the
23	year.
24	BY MS. WARSHAW:
25	Q And do you know who informed you of that?

Duvall - Direct 37 1 The school psychologist. Α 2 To your knowledge has J.H. ever had any behavior issue at school? 3 4 Α No. 5 And can you describe the academic rigor or the Purnell School? 6 7 Α Sure. It's -- it's a college prep school. So I think what makes Purnell a little different than the 8 9 public schools or any other school is they go through 10 the same amount of work, they just focus on it a little 11 longer and like really dive into it and get more 12 information instead of just going to it real quick and 13 skipping over it. So we just dive into things. Did J.H. ever talk to you about attending 14 0 15 college? 16 Α Yes. 17 To your knowledge has she visited any 18 colleges? 19 Α Yes. 20 I'm going to refer you to what's been marked 21 P-42 and 43. 22 Α Um-hum. 23 Have you ever seen those reports before? 24 I haven't. Α No.

Okay. Were you aware that J.H. was approved

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Duvall - Cross 38 for accommodations through college board? Α Yes, 7 Did you ever see any kind of letter, anything indicating such? No, just the school psychologist mentioned it in our wellness meeting. And do you have an opinion as to based on your information from J.H. and your meetings with her as to what she is doing to ensure that her anxiety and depression are under control? I think just from our meetings she has learned some coping skills, like she takes a break --

if she starts getting anxious she knows when to walk away and just take a breath before she comes back. likes to process things. So that's when she'd come to me to talk about things, anything that's on her mind. She likes to listen to music and write music sometimes. So that's like her main coping skills. That really worked for her.

MS. WARSHAW: No further questions.

THE COURT: Cross?

MS. HOWLETT: Briefly, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT:

Good morning, Ms. Duvall.

Good morning. Α

Duvall - Cross

39

1 What sort of licenses and certifications do Q 2 you hold? I have a school counseling license in the 3 State of New Jersey and I'm currently a licensed 4 5 associate counseling in the State of New Jersey as 6 well. 7 So is that the educational services certificate? 8 No. So I'm -- I'm getting my license in licensed 9 10 professional counseling, before I get there I have to 11 have 4,000 hours of supervised hours before I get that. 12 So right now I'm intermediate, because I passed the 13 test. So I'm just a licensed associate counselor in 14 the State. So I can do agency and school for that. So you don't hold an educational services 15 16 certificate through --17 Α School counseling. -- the Department of Education? 18 19 School -- yes. I have a school counseling 20 license. 21 Yes, I have a school counseling license for the 22 State of New Jersey. 23 The --24 And I hold it from the education. Yes. 25 the Board of Education.

Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 543 of 590 PageID: Duvall - Cross 40 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor can ask her a 1 2 question. 3 THE COURT: Let her -- let her finish --THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 4 5 THE COURT: -- the question. That's okay and 6 do me a favor, talk a little slower. 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. THE COURT: I'm old. I don't --8 THE WITNESS: I'"m a fast talker. Yes. I 9 10 know. I'm sorry. 11 BY MS. HOWLETT: 12 Do you hold an instructional certificate 13 through the Department of Education? Instructional? No. 14 Α 15 Do you hold an educational services personnel 16 certificate through the Department of Education? 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. Thank you. 19 Counsel asked you about P-40. Those are two 20 of the letters that you indicated that you drafted. 21 One of them's dated in October and you said that the 22 other one's undated, but you recall -- I believe you 23 testified that you recalled writing that in the spring.

Is that correct? What caused you to write

24

25

Α

Yes.

Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 544 of 590 PageID: Duvall - Cross 41 1 these letters? 2 The first one, the school asked me to do it, the admissions director, Kate Davis and so I just wrote it 3 and then the second one Julie reached out to me. That 4 5 was in -- March, because I remember I was on spring 6 break when that happened. 7 Okay. So Counsel asked you to write the letter? 8 9 Α Um-hum. 10 Thank you. Did you ever observe the being 11 successful program at Mendham High School? 12 Α Um-um. 13 Did you review the IEP that the District 14 provided for J.? 15 No, because that's not my role. 16 MS. HOWLETT: No further questions, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: Any redirect? 19 MS. WARSHAW: No. Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: You can step down. Thank you. 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: You have one more today? 23 MS. WARSHAW: One more. 24 THE COURT: They're not here yet?

MS. WARSHAW: I can check.

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 545 of 590 Pagel
	Colloquy 42
1	THE COURT: Okay. Let me pause the recording
2	while you do that. If not, we'll take a break.
3	(BRIEF RECESS)
4	THE COURT: We're back on the record.
5	Good morning. How are you?
6	THE WITNESS: Good morning. Good. How are
7	you?
8	THE COURT: Very good. Would you please
9	raise your right hand?
10	NATALIE SCHUBERTH, PETITIONER'S
11	WITNESS, SWORN:
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Would you state your
13	name and spell your last name please?
14	THE WITNESS: Natalie Schuberth, S-C-H-U-B-E-
15	R-T-H.
16	THE COURT: Any relation to the theater
17	people?
18	THE WITNESS: No.
19	THE COURT: Too bad.
20	THE WITNESS: Not that I know of.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Warshaw, go ahead.
22	MS. WARSHAW: Thank you. Your Honor, before
23	we start with Dr. Schuberth, can I'd like to enter
24	P-48 and $P-40$ into evidence from the last witness.

MS. HOWLETT: Maintain my objection, Your

	Schuberth - Direct 43
1	Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. They're in over Ms.
3	Howlett's objection.
4	(P-40 and P-48 were
5	received in
6	evidence.)
7	MS. WARSHAW: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MS. WARSHAW: Okay. Thank you.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WARSHAW:
11	Q Hi Dr. Schuberth. Thank you for coming in.
12	Can you please tell the Court just your
13	involvement with this case, how you came about to know
14	J.H.?
15	A Sure and where do I find my report?
16	Q I can show you. It's going to be in a
17	smaller binder. I can give you mine.
18	THE COURT: Is that in the joint exhibits?
19	MS. WARSHAW: It's joint exhibit 31.
20	THE WITNESS: So I was contacted, I think it
21	was by you about a year ago to do an independent
22	psycho-educational evaluation on the student.
23	BY MS. WARSHAW:
24	Q Okay. Thank you. Can you please tell the
25	Court the highest degree that you have?

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 547 of 590 PageID:

Case 2	::19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 547 of 590 Page
1	A Doctorate in psychology.
2	Q And where are you employed?
3	A Alexander Road Associates.
4	Q It's J-31 so you know.
5	THE COURT: Alexander who?
6	THE WITNESS: Alexander Road Associates.
7	MS. HOWLETT: J-18?
8	MS. WARSHAW: Oh I'm sorry. Your
9	THE COURT: Thirty-one she said.
10	MS. WARSHAW: your resume. The resume
11	THE WITNESS: That's my resume.
12	MS. WARSHAW: Okay.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay. I was just looking
14	THE COURT: J-31 is the report?
15	MS. HOWLETT: Is the resume.
16	MS. WARSHAW: J-31 is her curriculum vitae.
17	THE WITNESS: Okay. I know that one.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	THE WITNESS: I know what's
20	THE COURT: Thanks.
21	MS. WARSHAW: J-18 is her report.
22	MS. HOWLETT: I mean, Your Honor, we'll
23	stipulate that Dr. Schuberth is an expert and that her
24	C.V. speaks for itself unless Your Honor wants to hear
25	her qualifications.

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 548 of 590 Page
	1427 Schuberth - Direct 45
1	THE COURT: I do not.
2	MS. HOWLETT: Do you promise to look
3	MS. WARSHAW: resume.
4	THE COURT: I promise. I read everything. I
5	read everything I get.
6	MS. HOWLETT: And we have no objection to
7	entering it.
8	THE COURT: I read everything I get. I read
9	my notes over and over again. I actually listen to the
10	to the to the hearing recordings again, because
11	I'm not that smart and I need to refresh everything in
12	my head. That's why I asked you that question, because
13	I knew that somewhere in the back of my head and I $$ I
14	didn't write it down for some reason, but I knew it had
15	to that question was asked about online learning,
16	but I'm sorry. Go ahead. Okay. You're an expert
17	without objection in psychology, yes?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
20	BY MS. WARSHAW:
21	Q All right. Okay. We're going to skip a lot
22	of the questions that I was going to ask you about your
23	qualifications because you've just been entered in as

an -- as an expert in evidence.  $\ensuremath{\text{I'm}}$  going to refer you to J-18 in that

24

Schuberth - Direct 46 1 binder. That should be a copy of your report. 2 Α Um-hum. 3 Is that correct? Okay. So when you were 4 hired to perform independent evaluation are you typically provided with documents to review? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 And can you tell me in general what documents you typically received and from who? 8 9 That's on page four. So it was the previous 10 psychological testing from the school and her 11 psychiatric evaluation and a report card. 12 And did you ever receive an educational 13 evaluation from the child study team? 14 Α No. 15 Do you know if one was conducted? 16 Α No. 17 And did the school district provide you with 18 a reason as to why you were doing this independent 19 evaluation? 20 No. I didn't really talk to the school other than 21 to get the records. 22 0 Okay. 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I wasn't -- I wasn't 24 clear as to why the -- that question was asked.

25

school --

Schuberth - Direct 47 1 MS. WARSHAW: Sometimes the schools will 2 contact the independent evaluators and explain what 3 they need or what the purpose of the evaluation is. 4 I'm just asking her in general if -- if that was done in this case. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MS. WARSHAW: That's all. THE COURT: Thanks. 8 9 BY MS. WARSHAW: 10 I'm going to refer you to the first page of 11 your report. You have a section called "Reasons for 12 Referral." 13 Um-hum. Α 14 Can you just briefly describe what your 15 understanding of why you were doing this independent 16 evaluation? 17 That J. was diagnosed with depression and anxiety 18 and she has been having trouble going to school, but 19 she wanted to go to school. So to try to figure out if 20 there's some underlying reason why that's been 21 difficult. 22 When you see school testing have you ever seen it without an educational evaluation? 23

testing and educational and some emotional screener.

Not that I can remember. It's usually I.Q.

24

25

Α

	Schuberth - Direct 46
1	Q And can you describe for us the types of
2	reports types of testing that you did in general for
3	J.H.?
4	A I did intellectual, educational, executive
5	functioning, memory and emotional.
6	Q When J.H. was taking the tests the tests
7	and performing the tests in your presence did you make
8	any observations about her?
9	A She was really quiet and reserved, but she
10	persisted with testing. She would answer my questions,
11	but she didn't really initiate conversation and she
12	worked slowly and was slow to even start to answer and
13	then while she was answering she was kind of thinking
14	and answering slowly.
15	Q Okay. We're going to take your report and
16	kind of go step by step.
17	A Okay.
18	Q So you have a heading on page on page four
19	of your report, it says "Assessment of Intellectual
20	Functioning."
21	A Um-hum.
22	Q Could you walk us through some of your
23	findings under this heading?
24	A Sure. Give me one second to look at the scores.
25	So her overall intelligence was measured in the

average range. So that's just where it should be.

It's not a bad word. Just statistically where most people her age fall. So her overall I.Q., her verbal skills, her visual spatial skills were average. Her processing speed was also average, but statistically it was weaker than her other skills and working memory was low average. That was her lowest score. So working memory and processing speed were significant weaknesses. So in the classroom that would be that she just needs a little more time to take in information to answer questions and that it -- she may have some trouble with retaining information the first time that she hears it.

Q And can you define like exactly what working memory is?

A Sure. It's taking in information, manipulating it in your head and spitting it back out. So this might be like mental math or remembering a phone number and entering it at the same time or taking notes while listening to the lecturer.

Q And can you also just define what processing speed is?

A Sure. This is how quickly someone takes in information, makes a decision and acts on it. So again this would be like answering questions on tests or

writing notes.

- Q So having scores for working memory in the low average range, could that affect J.H.'s ability to learn?
- A Yes. It could.
  - Q In what way?
- A Again it might take her a little bit longer to answer questions. She might miss some information as she's taking notes, because that's a very active process where you have to listen to information, write it down while continuing to listen to what's coming in. So she may miss some things.
- Q And having a -- a weakness in processing speed, could that also affect J.H.'s ability to learn?

  A Yes.
  - Q In -- in what way?
- A Again that she might need repetition, she might miss some things and then she would need more time to answer and to do her work.
- Q Okay. Let's turn to the next heading in your report on page six, "Executive Functioning Memory and Learning." Could you walk us through your findings in that section?
- A Sure. Are we skipping "Academics?"
- Q Did I skip "Academics?"

A Sorry.

Q I'm sorry. Hang on. Oh, you're right.

Sorry. Let's do that first. "Assessment of Academic

Functioning," can you walk us through your findings in

that section please? That's on page five of your

report.

A Okay. So her -- most of her scores were above grade level. Most of her scores were actually above high school level. So her language abilities were average or above for the most part. Her reading abilities were average or above and her writing was average or above. The only outlier was her math. So when it was untimed she scored above high school level, but when there was a time limit her math skills looked like a sixth grader and so she needed more time to really show her really advanced math abilities. So that tells us that there's a learning disability and specifically in math fluency. So timed math.

Q Okay and having this outlier of math being such a discrepancy, would that affect J.H.'s ability to learn?

A Yes.

Q And in what way?

A So timed math tasks might underestimate her true abilities and because it takes her longer class work

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and homework may take her longer and it would take her away from other tasks. It also could just be really frustrating and exhausting for her, taking her away from other subjects.

Q Okay. Now let's turn to page six, "Executive Functioning Memory Learning." Can you describe for us the findings in that section of your report? It's on page six.

Sure. So with that -- I'm sorry. I forgot, with the previous, because I just saw, I'm looking at the scores, that her reading was actually at a college level, but when things were timed she did lower. So oral word fluency also, not just the math fluency. So this is how quickly she can generate responses. So she gets there eventually and will have a grade level or even better response, but it will take a little bit more time. I kind of see it as like a computer when you have a lot of windows open. So it's doing complex things, but it slows it down a little bit and so that kind of feeds into you were asking about executive functioning and memory. So her verbal memory was better than her visual memory. So she struggled with specifically design memory was in the low average. this is like abstract things which kind of feeds into that and is congruent with that issue in math.

best -- unlike most people she did best at remembering a list of words that were unrelated as opposed to a story. So that tells me that she might get overwhelmed if there's too much extra information and she has trouble picking out the most important parts. So in a classroom it would be really important to really highlight the most important parts for her and to repeat things.

Also with the executive functioning she showed good flexibility of thinking and problem solving. The only issues were when things were timed. Then she -- her responses looked like they were lower than her true abilities than -- than her I.Q. score says she can do because of that time limit if that makes sense.

Q So you had found very low range for immediate memory. Can you describe what that is for the Court? On page seven.

The immediate verbal memory was in the low average range and immediate visual memory was in the very low range or fourth percentile. So with verbal that means again that she had trouble recalling what she heard.

Later when she was given multiple choice it did -- we can see that it did get in there. So again she's trying really hard, but her brain was just kind of fighting her a little bit where it was hard for her to

recall all of the information, particularly when it was visual. So she did better only than four percent of people her age when it was only visual. She needs the verbal or verbal and visual together which is what the WISC-V immediate symbol translation shows. So she needs multiple sources and types of information.

- Q And what does it mean to score in the very low range for the D-KEFS verbal fluency test on page seven of your report?
- A Again that's going to the lower processing speed and that she might be slower to respond, but that says nothing about the quality of the response.
- Q And you also indicated that she scored in the low average range for color naming on page seven. Can you describe what that is?
- A Again I feel like a broken record, but same thing. She was consistent at least that it -- that she's slow to respond, she's methodical, but the content is good.
- Q And you mentioned in your report on page eight about J.H.'s attention and could you describe how her attention issues would affect her in a classroom?

  A Yes. So she did not meet criteria for ADHD, but she had some trouble with attention particularly this could mean that she's just not attending or more likely a lot of the time she was attending to everything and

not able to pick out the most salient points. So that could be really overwhelming in a classroom and can kind of make someone just shut down and also just miss the most important part.

Particularly for her she was really sensitive to sound. So when there's a lot of auditory stimulation it might be hard for her to pick out the important things that a teacher is saying.

Q Would that affect her in other areas of a school?

A Yes. So I talk about in the recommendations schools a lot more than just a classroom. So you have — particularly the cafeteria can be really overwhelming for kids like this and hallways can be an overwhelming place for a lot of us in high school. So yeah, it would affect her across the board.

Q Okay. On page nine of your report it indicates that J.H. scored in the at risk levels for shifting, working memory, task completion and is there anything different in these that you haven't already described to the Court or is there something new that would be affecting her ability to learn?

A So this unlike the other ones, this is the answers to a questionnaire that she filled out. So the other things we've been talking about is me seeing her work

in real time. This is her perception of herself, but it very much parallels the things I was seeing. It might be hard for her to move her attention from one thing to another, the issues with memory that we talked about and she felt like she had trouble getting things done within the time limit, which again makes sense with the fluency and processing speed we've talked about.

- Q And on page nine you have a heading, "Social Emotional Adaptive Functioning." Is that what you were just describing, how she rated herself or is there something else in there as well of your findings?

  A There's more ratings of herself that also look at not just executive functioning, but behavioral and emotional functioning.
- A That she rated that she has a negative view of school or that -- more so a negative view of herself in school at that time and that she was struggling with anxiety or with stress particularly social and interpersonal and that she felt pretty badly about herself. So that's what the sense of inadequacy is, that no matter how hard she tries it doesn't matter. She was feeling pretty defeated and depressed.
  - Q And what was the date of your report as well

as the dates that you saw J.H.?

A I saw her in 2017 on July 31st, August 2nd and August 3rd. It was about eight hours total together.

THE COURT: All three days?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: All three dates was a total of

eight hours?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Thank you.

## BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q On page 11 of your report, there is a chart in the middle and can you describe for me what this test is and what your findings were?

A Sure. So this is just the I.Q. testing that we talked about already and next to her previous I.Q. scores that were done as part of the school's evaluation six months earlier. So I just wanted to see -- and -- and the scores were pretty consistent between the two.

Q So I'm going to -- this might be a little confusing, but I'd like you to look at both the school report as well as your findings and just compare a few of the findings. Okay?

A Okay.

Q So the school report is located in J-15 which

Schuberth - Direct 58 1 is -- it should be the same booklet and I'm looking at 2 the fourth page and fifth page of --THE COURT: J-15 is -- you're free to 3 4 disagree, but what exactly is J-15? 5 MS. WARSHAW: That is the school 6 psychological report --7 THE COURT: Thank you. MS. WARSHAW: -- that was done. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. 11 THE COURT: Did you review this when you did 12 your report? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 15 BY MS. WARSHAW: 16 Okay. So let's go through a few things. So Q 17 when you go to the school report, page four, at the top 18 section it says, "Composite Score Summary, Working 19 Memory." Can you tell us what that score was? 20 Yes. So she --21 Do you see that? 22 -- she got a standard score of 86 which puts --Α 23 put her in the 18th percentile. So she did better only 24 than 18 percent of people her age, even though her I.Q.

is way higher than that, in working memory. So again

59 1 this is the ability to take in information and 2 manipulate it in your head and spit it back out which 3 is a lot of what's happening at school with answering 4 questions, both in the classroom and on tests. And that was categorized as the low average 5 6 range. Is that --7 Α Correct. -- fair and accurate? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 Okay and when you go to your report the Q 11 working memory, can you describe what you found? If it 12 was consistent with the school district? 13 Yes. It was consistent. It was in the low Α 14 average range. 15 Okay. 0 16 In the ninth percentile. Α 17 Turning to the -- the fifth page of the 18 school district's psychological report you'll see block 19 design. Can you describe what that is? 20 The person has a stimulus book in front of 21 them that has a picture of a design with blocks and 22 then she actually gets physical blocks and she has to make the same design and it's within a time limit. 23 24 And can you describe, you know, what the

range of that score was that the school district found?

Schuberth - Direct 60 1 Α You mean just how to interpret the nine? 2 0 Yes. 3 Α That was in the average range compared to peers. 4 And in your report on page 11 you also tested for block design. Was your score comparable to that of 5 6 the school district's? 7 Α Yes. Going down on the school district's report, 8 9 page five of their psychological report, in the section 10 that says, "Working Memory Subtest Score Summary for digit span arithmetic," can you describe for me those 11 12 scores and what the range -- were for those? 13 On the test -- the school scores? Α 14 0 Yes. 15 Digit span was in the low average range. So this 16 is her ability to take in numbers and then rearrange 17 them in a certain way in real time out loud. So just 18 the auditory input. So again think about lectures in 19 class or people talking to you and then arithmetic was 20 timed mental math and that was in the average range. 21 And going to your findings for digit span and 22 arithmetic on page 11 of your report, did you come up 23 with comparable results?

A Digit span, yes. It was statistically the same and low average. It was -- the arithmetic was a little

24

61

1 lower in the testing that I did and it was in the low 2 average range. 3 Okay. Going back to the school district's 4 report, page five, under "Processing Speed Subtest Score Summary," it says "Symbol Search 5." Could you 5 6 describe what that is and what the range of that score 7 is? So that was even below low average. That 8 9 was borderline impaired. So that was quick -- how 10 quickly she could scan a page and notice similarities. And going to your report with the same 11 12 testing, page 11 for Symbol Search, is your score 13 comparable to what the school district found? 14 Yes. Α 15 In your professional opinion was there a 16 discrepancy between these scores and her overall I.Q. 17 functioning? 18 Between the working memory and processing speed --Α 19 0 On --20 -- on her overall --Α 21 Yes. On scores --22 I'm sorry. I'm not sure what you mean. Α 23 -- that -- okay. Let me rephrase that. 24 your professional opinion was there a significance to

the low average and the borderline scores in these

Schuberth - Direct 62 1 areas compared to her overall functioning? 2 MS. HOWLETT: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure what she means by "significance." 3 4 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm finding a hard time following. Are we talking about -- are we talking 5 6 about the school's report? 7 MS. WARSHAW: Yes. THE COURT: Or her -- because her report and 8 9 the school reports are -- seem to be fairly in sync 10 with -- except my notes except for -- for one thing where she found her to have -- on the math, she found 11 12 her to be low average on the working memory and -- I'm 13 sorry. If I misstate something jump in. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. You got it. 15 THE COURT: All right. The Doctor found 16 working memory in math in low average and the school 17 found it as average and so far that's the only 18 discrepancy I note between her findings and the school 19 findings based on her testimony so far. So your 20 question is regarding the school's report or her 21 report? 22 MS. WARSHAW: With regard to the school's 23 report. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Because you're asking for

an opinion. I just need to know what the opinion

1 relates to.

MS. WARSHAW: Okay. No problem.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

Q Okay. With regard to the School District's report, in your opinion would there have been a discrepancy with these scores compared to some of her other scores which were in the higher average range?

A Yes. I would consider working memory and processing speed relative weaknesses in my test results which were pretty much the same as the school's.

Q And can you describe if you haven't already if there's anything new the significance of this discrepancy in the School District's psychological evaluation?

A Sorry. Say that again.

Q Okay. Let me rephrase that.

In your opinion if the School District came up with these discrepancies would that have warranted further testing?

A I don't know. That's hard to answer, but I think

-- I think I get your first question now, that it would

impact or it would reflect issues in the classroom,

again in terms of taking in information which is what

learning is and then I did the academic testing because

64

1 that hadn't been done yet and so I wanted to see how it 2 was impacting specific subject areas. 3 Thank you. Okay. In the back of your report 4 there are a bunch of charts. Are those reflective of the written synopsis that you did in the rest of your 5 6 report? 7 Α Yes. Okay. Is there anything different in those 8 9 charts that is not reflected in the written format of 10 your report? 11 Α No. 12 Okay. Do you have an understanding as to 13 what it means to be emotionally disturbed? MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, object to that. 14 15 Emotionally disturbed general? Emotionally disturbed 16 under the Code? 17 THE COURT: Yeah. I mean --18 MS. HOWLETT: Are we talking about --19 THE COURT: -- I'm going to allow the 20 question, but clarify --21 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. 22 THE COURT: -- clarify the question please. 23 BY MS. WARSHAW: 24 Do you have an understanding of what it means to be emotionally disturbed in the sense of classifying 25

a student under the IDEA?

- A Not really. It's not an DSM diagnosis and so I don't really deal in that.
- Q What if anything did you find with regard to J.H.'s emotional state?
- A So the things that she was endorsing was really being hard on herself, wanting to go to school, but not being able to which would then -- I think because of that anxiety and feeling overwhelmed and so then that was leading to feeling badly about herself and depression.
- Q You had mentioned something about noise.

  Could you describe for the Court what you found with regard to noise issues?

A Sure. So there's one test of auditory attention, when the person puts on headphones and has to listen to sounds and respond to certain sounds and as soon as she started she lowered the sound significantly to the point -- I've never ran -- I've done hundreds of these. I've never written this in a report before or really noticed it where -- I don't know how she heard it, but she turned it down so low. So it was really noticeable to me that sound of that testing to bother her. So I asked her about it and she said that she's been told that she's sensitive to noise. So then I was thinking

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

66

that that probably is feeding into issues at school, because like we were talking about before, the cafeteria and the hallway could be really loud or in the classroom the teacher might be talking and someone's tapping their foot in the back and someone else is whispering and the clock is going and so it's hard for her to focus on and process what the teacher is saying in that setting. Okay. Could you please turn to page 13 of your report? And is it fair to say that page 13, 14

- and 15 of your report contains your recommendations? Α Yes.
- And can you tell us on page 13 what the diagnosis was that you came up with?

Yeah. There were three, so specific learning Α disorder with impairment in mathematics, specifically with fluent calculation moderate. So that's a really long way of saying a learning disability in math fluency or speed, times math and then major depressive disorder, recurrent episode moderate and generalized anxiety disorder.

- And can you go step by step through your recommendations for the Court?
- Okay. All of them? Α
  - In -- you know, maybe you could summarize?

67

Let's start with -- let's start with number two.

Okay. So basically trying to take all that information together and synthesize it, it seemed like J. would benefit from -- or sorry -- J.H. would benefit from a small classroom setting in a small school setting without overwhelming stimulation and the other things that relates to that were the relative weaknesses in processing speed or anything that required timing, math fluency, oral fluency, anxiety and depression. So that she needs extra time to process information and to formulate responses, but she can do it and then I talk about ways to work on the anxiety and helping her speak up for herself to teachers when she hasn't gotten all the information and that she needs to be in a classroom where she's challenged at her intellectual level.

Q You specifically say in -- in part two that she needs academically vigorous classroom based on her ability and achievement scores. Is that -- would you categorize that as a college bound classroom?

A Yes.

Should I keep going to number three?

- Q Yes, please.
- 24 A Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sorry. And because of the hypersensitivity to Α noise that I noticed, I'm not an audiologist. It was just kind of qualitative that I noticed it and so I was recommending auditory processing evaluation to understand that piece better and then in the meantime just accommodations, like noise canceling headphones or being able to listen to headphones with music to kind of make the environment less overwhelming, being able to take breaks in -- in a quiet space. So maybe this was a guidance office, but not necessarily to talk to the person, just to have a quiet space and then because cafeterias can be so overwhelming and she was having trouble making friends, possibly having a smaller like some school quidance counselors will have like lunch bunch groups in the guidance office. So it would help with getting her out of that noisy environment and help with trying to build relationships with a smaller group of people.

In terms of testing accommodations, because of the weakness in processing speed and math fluency and anxiety and depression which also can slow someone down, that she would benefit from expanded time on tests, a distraction free setting, especially because she's so sensitive to noise and breaks if she does get overwhelmed.

Because of the math disability that she might need accommodations like doing every other math problem for homework just to check for understanding without causing like unnecessary frustration and allowing extra time for math tests.

Because -- with the memory findings, that means in the classroom that she would need information presented in a verbal and a pictorial way together and that's how her brain really maximized memory and not to have too much extra information in that. That's not relevant, because she has trouble picking out those salient points.

That she would benefit from continue -- from counseling and support in the school to deal with the anxiety and helping her build friendships and then I have some accommodations for just kind of executive functioning and structure of a classroom so that she might especially with the auditory sensitivity be better sitting next to the teacher, reducing assignment length so she doesn't get overwhelmed, so she can still show her abilities.

Kind of chunking information. So not throwing too much at her at one time, but delivering it in chunks, making directions really clear, making sure directions are auditory and written down. So she can refer back

to them, especially because she's less likely to ask for help at that point because she was so anxious.

That it should still be paced at her level. So not too low and not too high and that she might need step by step instructions.

I'm almost there.

And then on page 15, breaking tasks into shorter segments. Again so she doesn't get overwhelmed and can feel a sense of accomplishment and keep going and build that momentum and continuing to reinforce her at home and at school for this and then continued therapy to build up her distress tolerance and coping with anxiety and depression, build up her self image, noticing negative thoughts and challenging them, regulating her emotions and helping her interact with others more effectively and then just kind of the usual reevaluation and some information for families.

- Q Did you make any recommendations for J.H. to be in a behavior oriented class?

  A No.
- Q Would you recommend her being in a selfcontained class where they use a reward or token economy systems?
- A No, because usually those might be paced too low for -- she still needs instruction that's at high

school level or above.

Q Is it fair to say that putting J.H. in a large high school would be contrary to your recommendations?

A It's definitely more likely for her to be overwhelmed in that large high school and not thrive.

Q In your professional opinion if J.H. had to take a class through an online computer program would she be able to learn in that way?

A She might, but it wouldn't be optimal, because she needs that visual and auditory and the ability to ask for clarification and then I always worry with online schooling that people miss out on the social aspect which is really important.

Q Can a student suffering with a disability, like a -- a learning disability, could that create anxiety in a student?

A Yes.

Q In what way?

A If they're not performing that they would like to, if they're highly motivated and they don't understand why they might be putting in a lot of work and the output is just not quite where they wanted to be.

Q Do you think that was affecting J.H. in this situation?

Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 575 of 590 PageID: Schuberth - Direct 72 1 Α Yes. 2 I'm going to show you what's been marked J-3 20. Can you look at that? 4 Α Sure. 5 Can you just describe for the Court what that 6 is? 7 It's a letter that I wrote just clarifying some of Α 8 the psychology jargon that in the newer version of DSM 9 specific learning disorder with impairment in 10 mathematics specifically with fluent calculation 11 moderate is the same as a mathematics disorder which is 12 a learning disability in the previous version of the 13 DSM. 14 So is it just for clarification is it fair to 15 say the specific learning disorder with impairment in 16 mathematics specifically fluent calculation is the actual name used for this type of specific math 17 18 disability in the DSM 3? 19 Α DSM 5. 20 DSM 5. Q 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Sorry. 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. So in this case a disorder is the same

thing as a disability?

1 Α Yes. 2 In your professional opinion would a large 3 high school with crowded hallways and general ed. 4 classes be an appropriate educational setting for J. -for J.H.? 5 6 No, that would definitely be more difficult for 7 her. Socially and academically in your opinion 8 9 what level would J.H. be best at? 10 Academically she should definitely be challenged Α 11 at a high school level, because I know that there --12 she talked about how she will get bored and kind of 13 tune out if it's too easy and socially she was 14 struggling, but I think she had the skills, just needed 15 some more support to make that happen. 16 In your professional opinion would a self Q 17 contained multi grade level class be an appropriate 18 placement for J.H.? 19 MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, there's a lot of 20 speculation in all these hypothetical questions that --21 THE COURT: There is. 22 MS. HOWLETT: -- we're going to object to. 23 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to allow it 24 anyway, but your objection is noted.

MS. HOWLETT:

Thank you.

Schuberth - Direct 74 1 THE COURT: Self contained multi --2 MS. WARSHAW: Multi grade level. THE WITNESS: So usually I think -- kids with 3 4 intellectual disabilities or autism being in those types of classrooms and J.'s pretty typical kid, just 5 6 has -- needed a little more support in math fluency. 7 So that wouldn't have been my recommendation. 8 BY MS. WARSHAW: You indicated -- well, we didn't go through 9 10 your -- all of your qualifications, but as one of your 11 qualifications are you a board certified behavior 12 analyst? 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay and so as a BCBA are you trained to 15 evaluate people with behavior issues? 16 Α Yes. 17 In your expert opinion if you had seen 18 behavior issues of concern would you have noted those 19 in your report? 20 Α Yes. 21 Based on your evaluation of J.H. would you 22 say in your professional opinion that J.H.'s anxiety 23 was wilful behavior? 24 Α No.

25 Q How about her depression?

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 578 of 590 Page 1457
	Schuberth - Direct 75
1	A No.
2	Q I'm going to show you what's marked J-1 in
3	that book.
4	A Okay.
5	Q Okay. This document was provided to J.H. by
6	the School District. Can you go through the
7	THE COURT: Let's identify it. I don't know
8	what it is.
9	MS. WARSHAW: Sorry.
10	Can you
11	THE COURT: What is that?
12	MS. WARSHAW: tell the Court what that is?
13	THE COURT: You tell me what it is.
14	THE WITNESS: It's the 504 student
15	accommodation plan from the high school.
16	BY MS. WARSHAW:
17	Q Can you go to the section where it says the -
18	- basically the accommodations and review them and tell
19	me if they complied with your recommendations for J.H.?
20	A So it says reasonable or sorry extended time
21	on quizzes and tests, assessments in a private setting
22	and the ability to take breaks when she's anxious. So

those are consistent -- oh, sorry, there's more. Sends

think she needs all of that, but I don't think it would

own -- being able to talk to a counselor. So I do

23

24

25

1458
Schuberth - Direct
76

be sufficient.

THE COURT: Why?

THE WITNESS: Because it doesn't address the learning aspects of her needing repetition and clarification in actual instruction and the noisy areas like the cafeteria or hallways.

BY MS. WARSHAW:

Yes.

Q I'm going to refer you to J-9, the -- do you recognize this document as -- as an IEP?

Q Okay. So page numbers are going to be a little difficult, but -- because it's a little cutoff on my version. So we're going to -- I'm just going to count the pages --

A Okay.

Α

Q -- and let's see if we get to the same page.

Okay. On my version it's the 14th page and it says, "Modification, Supports, Progress Reports."

A Okay. I think I'm there.

Q In the first section it talks about modifications in the general ed. curriculum. Can you tell me if those modifications that were in this proposed IEP are consistent with your findings?

A Yes.

Q And do you believe that these modifications

Schuberth - Direct

77 1 alone would be sufficient for J.H. in a general ed. 2 setting? 3 No. I don't think it would address getting her 4 back into the classroom. And would they address her academic needs? 5 6 It doesn't talk about modified assignment 7 length or some of the teaching methods that we talked about. 8 9 And again placing J.H. in a regular high 10 school in a general ed. type setting or even in the self contained class within a general high school, 11 12 would that be consistent with your findings? 13 I guess it just would have to be a small -- a Α 14 smaller school and still age appropriate material. 15 In your professional opinion based on the 16 scores and the information with the I.Q., the 17 discrepancies in the psychological report by the child 18 study team, do you feel that it was prudent for them 19 not to ask for further information? 20 MS. HOWLETT: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not 21 sure what that means. 22 THE COURT: I'm not sure either. Did you 23 read the IEP? 24 THE WITNESS: Not the IEP, but the testing. 25 I had access -- the school shared that with me.

1400	
Colloguy	7

1 THE COURT: What was the question? 2 MS. WARSHAW: Based on her knowledge of the 3 discrepancy in the scores that the School District had 4 in their psychological report, in her opinion would it have been the next step would have been to have more 5 6 testing done? 7 THE COURT: You read this whole report, you said that? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. I mean, I don't like to 10 critique other professionals' work, but usually when I see school reports there are those three components we 11 12 talked about. 13 THE COURT: No, I don't want "usually." I 14 want this one. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 16 THE COURT: Usually doesn't help me. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, yeah, there was no 18 educational. So it just doesn't say one way or the 19 other whether there's a learning disability, which is 20 why I tested just to see. 21 THE COURT: So the question was after reading the school's report would you -- do you think they 22 23 should have ordered more testing? 24 THE WITNESS: I like data. So yes, I would 25 do more.

Case 2	2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 582 of 590 Page 1461
	Schuberth - Cross 79
1	THE COURT: That's not the question though.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	THE COURT: The question is based on what the
4	school did, do you think the school should have ordered
5	more testing, not because you like data?
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't think that
7	there's sufficient information. I think more more
8	testing.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
10	MS. WARSHAW: No further questions.
11	THE COURT: Cross?
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HOWLETT:
13	Q Hi Dr. Schuberth. How are you?
14	A Hi. How are you?
15	Q I'm just going to piggy back on that last
16	question. On what basis did you think that the that
17	the school should have done more testing after reading
18	Dr. Welk's (phonetic) report?
19	A Can you remind me where that is?
20	Q Well, you just testified to the to the
21	Court that you felt that the School District should
22	have done additional testing. So what's that
23	w4hat's your basis for that?

disability underneath that could be leading to the

A To understand whether there's a learning

Schuberth - Cross

80

1 anxiety. So sometimes kids who are getting straight 2 A's or A's and B's can still have an underlying 3 learning disability if they're really smart. Their 4 ability's just -- or their actual skills aren't measuring up to their natural ability and that leads to 5 6 tension and anxiety. 7 So what in the report specifically would 0 trigger your opinion that educational testing was 8 9 warranted? 10 Because it's hard to say if there's a learning Α 11 disability or not without any educational testing. 12 So you recommend that any kid that presents 13 with anxiety has educational testing? 14 It's hard to say in general, but probably. 15 Did J. present with an inability to build or 16 maintain interpersonal relationships with her peers? 17 Do you think that's a fair description of J.? 18 From their report, yes. Α 19 Did she present or report to you that she had Q a general pervasive mood of unhappiness or depression? 20 21 Recently due to all this, yes. Α 22 What do you mean by that? 23 That she's not someone that I see as just 24 persistently depressed or having a more depressive

Eeyore kind of personality, but because she wanted to

25

Schuberth - Cross 81 1 succeed and she wasn't able to get herself in school 2 that discrepancy was causing depression. 3 Did she have any fears or any sort of 4 symptoms related to school problems? I mean, anxiety about attending. 5 6 Are you familiar with the Administrative Code 7 having to -- or regarding the criteria for special 8 education? 9 Not in detail, no. 10 So are -- are you aware that -- that a 11 student or -- strike that. 12 Are you aware that a child may qualify for a 13 disability under the DSM, but that may not qualify for 14 criteria for special education? 15 Α Yes. 16 Are you a learning consultant, a certified 17 learning consultant? 18 Α No. 19 Did you ever observe the Being Successful 20 program at Mendham High School? 21 I'm not familiar with the school. Α 22 Counsel asked you before about J-20, it was a letter that you wrote. 23

Why did you write that letter? 25

24

Α

Um-hum.

Schuberth - Cross 82 1 Ms. Warshaw contacted me just asking for Α 2 clarification if that's the same as a -- the diagnosis 3 in my report is the same as a learning disability. 4 So the basis for your letter was that Counsel asked you to write it? 5 6 Yes. She didn't dictate what I would say, but she 7 just asked me whether it was a learning disability or not and to put it in writing. 8 9 A learning disability under the Code or a 10 learning disability under the DSM? Under the DSM. 11 12 Can you just flip back to -- Counsel asked 13 you questions about J-1, which is the 504 plan. Can 14 you just clarify what the -- what the date of that 504 15 plan is? Do you see a date on it? 16 Date of meeting, 12/7/2016. Α 17 And then Counsel asked you about the IEP. 18 There's a meeting date on that as well. Can you just 19 flip to that? That was J-9, just -- I know you're flipping all over there. 20 21 Meeting date and start date was 4/6/2017. 22 And when did you meet with J.? Do you 0 23 remember? 24 In the summer of 2017, July and August. Α

MS. HOWLETT: Just give me one moment, Your

25

Schuberth - Cross 83 1 Honor. 2 BY MS. HOWLETT: 3 As part of the records that you reviewed, do 4 you recall reviewing any of J.'s grades? Yes. I had a report card. 5 Α Did you have a report card? And her 6 7 standardized assessments, were they included also, like 8 ASK scores or --9 Α No. 10 -- PARC or anything like that? 11 Α No. 12 Besides the -- sorry. You found a -- a --13 severe discrepancy in math fluency subtraction 14 according to page 17 of your report. Is that accurate? 15 One second as I look at the scores. Yes. 16 Is that a subtest? What is that, math 0 17 fluency subtraction? 18 Yes. It's a subtest. So there are three fluency 19 subtests where they have to answer as many addition, 20 subtraction and multiplication questions that they can 21 in 60 seconds. 22 Did she have any severe discrepancies on any of the other areas of testing, on the -- on the WIAT? 23 24 The overall math fluency score was statistically 25 below her I.Q.

3

MS. HOWLETT: -- without looking.

THE WITNESS: No, but it --

BY MS. WARSHAW:

22

23

25 Q Is it in your --

Case 2	19-60-144	1467 Schuberth – Redirect 85	
1	А	I think they're	
2		Q report?	
3	A	in the report.	
4		Q Okay.	
5	А	There are A's A's and B's and I have specific	
6	subj	ects for each.	
7		Q I'm sorry. Say that again.	
8	А	That they were all A's and B's and I wrote the	
9	spec	ific subjects.	
10		Q Okay. Great. I'm going to refer you back to	
11	the	School District's psychological reports and their	
12	find	ings.	
13		MS. HOWLETT: Your Honor, is this relative to	
14	cros	s?	
15		THE COURT: No, it's not.	
16		MS. WARSHAW: Okay. It was. Okay.	
17		THE COURT: She didn't ask a question about	
18	the	School District's psychological report, not one.	
19		MS. WARSHAW: Okay. No further questions.	
20		THE COURT: You can step down. Thank you,	
21	Doct	or.	
22		THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.	
23		THE COURT: Next witness?	
24		MS. WARSHAW: We're done.	
25		THE COURT: You're done. You rest?	

## Case 2:19-cv-14465-SDW-LDW Document 13-21 Filed 11/26/19 Page 589 of 590 PageID: Schuberth - Redirect 86 MS. WARSHAW: Yes, we do. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MS. WARSHAW: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you both. 4 5 All right. I assume you both want to submit written post hearing briefs or whatever we call them 6 7 here. MS. WARSHAW: Yes, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Yes? Okay. All right. So let's 10 -- and I assume we're -- we're going to -- we have all the transcripts but this one I believe. 11 12 MS. WARSHAW: Actually, Your Honor, I was on 13 the phone with Jill Davis (phonetic) --THE COURT: We'll stop -- we don't need to 14 15 have this on the record --16 MS. WARSHAW: Okay. 17 THE COURT: -- that we're talking about 18 transcripts. 19 {Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned.} 20 21 22 23

STATE OF NEW JERSEY } COUNTY OF ESSEX I, Lee A. Romano, assigned transcriber, do hereby affirm that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings in the matter of F.H. and M.H. on behalf of J.H. v. West Morris Regional High Board of Education, bearing Docket No. EDS 10706-17, heard on August 29, 2018, before the Office of Administrative Law Court.